# CONSUMER PERCEIVED LEADERSHIP OF SYNONYMITY BRANDS IN COMPARISON TO GENERIC, PROTOTYPICAL, OR ME-TOO BRANDS



# A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FELLOW PROGRAM IN MANAGEMENT INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT, INDORE

By

Siva M Kumar

Date: Nov 22, 2017

## THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

PROF. JAYASIMHA K.R [CHAIRMAN]	
PROF. RAJENDRA V. NARGUNDKAR [MEMBER]	
PROF. MANOJ MOTIANI [MEMBER]	

#### **ABSTRACT**

Brand synonymity is a prime position a brand reaches, when its name becomes a word (noun or verb or adjective), in the consumer vocabulary that is used as a synonym to represent their individual references or actions. The brand name gains word strength, that triggers natural word of mouth, transforms into a well-positioned brand by planting a word in consumer minds (Ries & Trout, 1993), becomes highly prototypical to its category, and remains part of consumer lexicon and discourse. However, extant research focuses on brand prototypicality that explains a brand representing its category (Eleanor Rosch & Mervis, 1975; Nedungadi & Hutchinson, 1985), eponymous brands that derives name from its founder or celebrity, and antonomasia or generic brands that becomes common names (Munteanu & Lupan, 2011) losing trademarks. The prototypical brand leadership literature is the foundation to our concept, as all the synonymity brands spotted are prototypical to their respective categories, though the reverse is not true. Our research expands on prototypical brand becoming a word used by consumers in their day to day discussions, and explores into consumer leadership perceptions, due to the affective meaning of the word. The research opens a new leaf in prototypical brand literature; Synonymity Brands – and a way to measure it empirically.

The thesis is structured in a three-essay approach with each of them unveiling a specific aspect of synonymity brand nature, its impact, and implications. Essay 1 of the research analyzes the synonymity brand nature, identifies dimensions, aggregates scale items from extant research, measures the synonymity brand and compares it with generic, prototypical and me-too brands. A brand chosen from each type is rated using scales representing four dimensions of synonymity; (1) word strength, (2) WOM strength, (3) prototypicality, and (4) brand positioning. Essay 2

expands on the first study, by correlating the synonymity brand dimensions on consumer perceived brand leadership. It also examines external validity of the synonymity brand scales, by rating a new set of consumer brands from each of the brand types. Results confirm external validity and shows a positive influence of synonymity brand dimensions over consumer perceived brand leadership. Essay 3 was designed as a vignette to check signs of a synonymity brand sustaining leadership, and the signs that indicate loss of its word strength, becomes a generic brand name and poses risk to turn into a defunct trademark. It is learnt that congruence of the brand name (noun) to the synonymity word (verb) sustains leadership, and incongruence between the two, points to the generic brands of lost leadership.

**Keywords:** Brand Synonymity, Prototypicality, Word strength, Word of Mouth, Brand Positioning, Consumer Perceived Brand Leadership, Leadership sustenance, Speech-Act verbs, Brand verbs, Generic Brands.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	2
INTRODUCING BRAND SYNONYMITY	7
ESSAY 1: Measuring Synonymity Brand Strength in 4 Dimensions and Comparis	ng it with
Prototypical, Generic and Me-too brands	-
Abstract	
Introduction	
Conceptual Development	
Study 1	
Discussion	
Conclusion	36
References:	37
APPENDIX A – Scale Items of Study 1	50
ESSAY 2: Confirming External Validity of Brand Synonymity Research and Che	ecking its
Influence on Consumer Perceived Brand Leadership	
Abstract	
Introduction	65
Theory and Hypothesis	
Stage 1 (Study 2)	76
Stage 2 (Study 2)	83
Discussion	91
Conclusion	95
References	97
APPENDIX B: Scale items for Study 2	107
ESSAY 3: Congruence of the Synonymity Brand name (Noun) and the Synonymi	ity word (verb)
for Leadership Sustenance	125
Abstract	125
Introduction	126
Theory and Hypothesis	129
Study 3	138
Discussion	146
Conclusion	155
Reference	
APPENDIX C – Vignette Survey for Measuring Synonymity Congruence	
APPENDIX D – Vignette Survey for Measuring Incongruence	167
CONCLUSION	170

## **TABLE OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: Reduced Model (Synonymity Dimensions)	15
Figure 2: Conceptual Model of Study 1 – Synonymity Brand Dimensions	22
Figure 3: Reduced Model (Synonymity Brand Leadership)	68
<b>Figure 4:</b> Conceptual Model of Study 2 – Synonymity Brand Leadership Construct	76
Figure 5: Reduced Model (Synonymity Brand Congruence)	
<b>Figure 6:</b> Conceptual Model of Study 3 – Synonymity Brand Congruence	
Figure 7: Congruence continuum of Brand Synonymity	147
LIST OF TABLES	
LIST OF TABLES	
	29
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results         Table 2. Demographic Statistics of Study 2 (for both Stage 1 and 2)	81
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results         Table 2. Demographic Statistics of Study 2 (for both Stage 1 and 2)	81 82 85
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 89
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 89 135
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 89 135 136
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 89 135 136
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 89 135 136 136
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 135 136 137 137
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results	81 82 85 135 136 137 137
Table 1. Study 1 – Descriptive Statistics and ANOVA results  Table 2. Demographic Statistics of Study 2 (for both Stage 1 and 2)  Table 3. Study 2 (Stage 1) Descriptive Statistics & ANOVA confirming external validity  Table 4: Consumer Brand Selection Grid for Study 2  Table 5: Study 2 (Stage 2) Regression Results  Table 6: Vignette v1 examining congruence of synonymity brand name and verb  Table 7: Vignette v2 examining incongruence of generic brand name and verb  Table 8: Study 3 - Demographic Statistics of the Pretest  Table 9: Study 3 Pretest Results - Congruence of Synonymity Brand Noun to Verb  Table 10: Study 3 Pretest Results - Incongruence of Generic Brand Noun to Verb  Table 11: Codification process (e.g., Vignette v2) examining incongruence levels	81 82 85 135 136 137 137 140