ENCOUNTERING ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE HYPOTHESIS IN INDIAN CONTEXT: SOME METHODOLOGICAL CONCERNS



BY

AVIK SINHA

A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT INDORE

Date: March 2016

ENCOUNTERING ENVIRONMENTAL KUZNETS CURVE HYPOTHESIS IN INDIAN CONTEXT: SOME METHODOLOGICAL CONCERNS



A THESIS SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT INDORE

BY

Avik Sinha

Date: March 2016

THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Dr. Joysankar Bhattacharya [Chairman]	Prof. Deepak Sethia [Member]
- D. A. I. I. W.	D 1 04 1 1
Dr. Amlendu Kuma	ar Dubey [Member]

ABSTRACT

Considering economic policy decisions in a sustainable development framework has always been a challenge for policy makers, and one of the major predicaments in this framework has been environmental sustainability. In the literature of ecological economics, Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) hypothesis is one of the mostly used and debated theoretical foundations, which encompasses these two aspects of sustainability. However, over the years, researchers have questioned the applicability of this hypothesis many times due to several issues, and we have identified and addressed three such issues, namely absence of social aspects, absence of appropriate feedback link, and lack of a consistent range of turnaround points. Based on the theoretical foundation built upon the review of relevant literature, this dissertation addresses these three issues in Indian context, and thereby, estimating EKC for India. The study has been carried out for 139 Indian cities during 2001-2013.

For the case of an emerging economy, establishing the EKC association entails various policy level decisions regarding sustenance of environmental quality. Considering the level of emission in Indian cities, this issue can prove to be a crucial decision making objective for policymakers. During 2001 and 2013, India has experienced the rise in sulphur dioxide (SO₂) and nitrogen dioxide (NO₂) emissions by nearly 71 percent, and this enormous growth in ambient air pollution has been attributed to majorly fossil fuel based energy consumption, and vehicular pollution. This has been experienced in several Indian cities. As according to EKC hypothesis, rise in income beyond a certain point results in decline in environmental degradation, it is required to observe the emission pattern in Indian cities, especially in growing income scenario. For that purpose, we have analyzed the EKC hypothesis for SO₂ and NO₂ emissions in

139 Indian cities, for the duration of 2001-2013. In the first chapter of the thesis, we have

investigated about the existence of EKC for Indian cities for the aforementioned pollutants, and

have found out several forms of EKCs for both of these pollutants.

For analyzing the feedback effect of environmental degradation on economic growth,

three pollutants have been used, and those are CO₂, SO₂, and NO₂. For CO₂ emission, the

feedback analysis has been carried out based on the time series data for India, and for the latter

two, the same has been carried out based on the panel data for Indian cities. The feedback

analysis using CO₂ emission is bifurcated into two parts. The first one looks into the quadrilateral

causal association between CO₂ emission, economic growth, gross capital formation, and

urbanization. The study has been carried out for 1960-2010. For the second part, trilateral causal

association between CO₂ emission, economic growth, and fossil fuel consumption has been

analyzed using interventions based on economic liberalization. The study has been carried out

for 1971-2010. For SO₂ and NO₂ emissions, the feedback analysis has been carried out based on

the panel data of Indian cities, and it focuses on the estimation of the three way linkages between

 SO_2 / NO_2 emission, economic growth, and inequality in energy intensity.

In an emerging economy, like India, social parameters play an important role in

determining environmental quality. Generation of environmental awareness among citizens is

not a voluntary process, and it is possibly the combined effect of whole range of social aspects,

which plays a crucial role in explaining the turnaround point(s) of EKC. Therefore, in the final

section, we have analyzed how environmental quality gets influenced by the structural

inequalities and their interactions with social indicators.

Keywords: Environmental Kuznets curve, emission, social sustainability, feedback, India

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my TAC Chair, Dr. Joysankar Bhattacharya, for his continuous support, patience, motivation, and enthusiasm. I would like to thank him for all I have learnt from him and for his unremitting help and support in all stages of my thesis. I would also like to thank him for encouraging and helping me to shape my interest and ideas. From time-to-time, he rectified my mistakes and helped me in improving.

I would also like to express my sincere gratitude to both of my TAC members. I am indebted to Prof. Deepak Sethia, who helped me in recognizing the patterns in the dataset and how to transform the data in an interpretable format. I am also indebted to Dr. Amlendu Kumar Dubey for teaching and helping me in understanding the analytical tools and techniques. Without the help of both of my TAC members, I couldn't have performed the data analysis successfully.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the anonymous reviewers of "Ecological Indicators", who have given valuable comments in terms of redesigning the findings of my first research question.

I would like to thank my wife Tanaya for providing me mental support during the hard phases. Her continuous support and inspiration didn't let bog down by the situational pressures. I would like to thank my colleague Atul Mehta for providing me timely insights and criticisms regarding my work. Finally, I would like to thank the FPM Office staffs for providing me all administrative supports.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	i
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
LIST OF TABLES	vii
LIST OF FIGURES	ix
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
CHAPTER II: ESTIMATION OF EKC FOR NO ₂	10
1. Introduction	10
2. Review of literature	11
3. NO ₂ emission in India	13
4. Econometric methodology and data	15
5. Data analysis for industrial area	17
5.1. Analysis for low income industrial area	21
5.2. Analysis for medium income industrial area	21
5.3. Analysis for high income industrial area	21
6. Data analysis for residential area	24
6.1. Analysis for low income residential area	24
6.2. Analysis for medium income residential area	25
6.3. Analysis for high income residential area	25
7. Policy implications	28
7.1. Policy implications for industrial areas	28
7.2. Policy implications for residential areas	32
8. Conclusion	35
CHAPTER III: ESTIMATION OF EKC FOR SO ₂	37
1. Introduction	37
2. Review of literature	38
3. SO ₂ emission in India	39
4. Econometric methodology and data	42
5. Data analysis for industrial area	43
5.1. Analysis for low income industrial area	48
5.2. Analysis for high income industrial area	48

6. Data analysis for residential area	51
6.1. Analysis for low income residential area	51
6.2. Analysis for medium income residential area	51
7. Policy implications	54
7.1. Policy implications for industrial areas	54
7.2. Policy implications for residential areas	57
8. Conclusion	58
CHAPTER IV: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR CO ₂ EMISSION	59
1. Introduction	59
2. Data and Econometric Analysis	61
3. Conclusion and Policy Implications	67
CHAPTER V: INTERVENSION ANALYSIS OF FEEDBACK HYPOTHESIS	70
1. Introduction	70
2. Econometric Methodology	74
2.1. Investigation for Integration	75
2.2. Investigation for Cointegration	76
2.3. Investigation for Causality Association	77
3. Analysis	78
3.1. Before applying any intervention	78
3.2. After applying interventions	81
4. Conclusion	88
CHAPTER VI: FEEDBACK ANALYSIS FOR SO ₂ AND NO ₂ EMISSION	91
1. Introduction	91
2. Review of literature	93
2.1. Economic growth and SO ₂ and NO ₂ emissions	93
2.2. Economic growth and inequality in energy intensity	94
2.3. SO ₂ and NO ₂ emissions and inequality in energy intensity	95
3. Econometric techniques	96
3.1. Model specification	96
3.2. Unit root tests	99
4. Data and results	100

4.1. Data and descriptive statistics	100
4.2. Results of panel unit root and cointegration tests	102
4.3. Results of regression tests and discussion	104
5. Conclusions and policy implications	112
CHAPTER VII: SOCIAL PARAMETERS AND AMBIENT POLLUTION	113
1. Introduction	113
2. Choice of Social Indicators	115
2.1. Gender ratio and environmental emission	115
2.2. Electricity consumption and environmental emission	116
2.3. Literacy rate and environmental emission	117
3. Formation of estimation model	118
4. Data description and estimation results	119
5. Conclusions	132
REFERENCES	133
APPENDIX 1	160
APPENDIX 2	161

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Model estimation results for NO ₂ using full dataset	18
Table 2: Industrial area model estimation results for NO ₂ using segregated dataset	19
Table 3: Residential area model estimation results for NO ₂ using segregated dataset	20
Table 4: EKC Estimation for industrial area cities	22
Table 5: EKC Estimation for residential area cities	26
Table 6: Summary of the results for full dataset	28
Table 7: Summary of the results for segregated dataset	28
Table 8: Model estimation results for SO ₂ using full dataset	45
Table 9: Industrial area model estimation results for SO ₂ using segregated dataset	46
Table 10: Residential area model estimation results for SO ₂ using segregated dataset	47
Table 11: EKC Estimation for industrial area cities	49
Table 12: EKC Estimation for residential area cities	52
Table 13: Summary of the results for full dataset	54
Table 14: Summary of the results for segregated dataset	54
Table 15: Unit Root Test Results	63
Table 16: Lag Length Selection Criteria	64
Table 17: Diagnostic Test Result	64
Table 18: Results of Causality Test	65
Table 19: Unit root test results	78
Table 20: Cointegration test results	79
Table 21: Causality test results	79
Table 22: Lag length selection criteria	80

Table 23: Diagnostic test results	80
Table 24: Unit root test results	81
Table 25: Cointegration test results	82
Table 26: Causality test results	83
Table 27: Lag length selection criteria	84
Table 28: Diagnostic test results	88
Table 29: Overall results of causality analysis	89
Table 30: Descriptive statistics	102
Table 31: Results of cross-section dependence test	103
Table 32: Results of panel unit root tests (NO ₂ emitting cities)	104
Table 33: Results of panel unit root tests (SO ₂ emitting cities)	105
Table 34: Results of cointegration tests	105
Table 35: Results of simultaneous GMM estimation for Eq. 25	106
Table 36: Results of simultaneous GMM estimation for Eq. 26	108
Table 37: Results of simultaneous GMM estimation for Eq. 27	109
Table 38: Descriptive statistics of variables	120
Table 39: Basic EKC model, fixed effect	121
Table 40: Extended EKC model, fixed effect	122
Table 41: Elasticity of variables at sample mean	123
Table 42: Elasticity of emissions with respect to inequality in energy intensity	124
Table 43: Elasticity of emissions with respect to economic inequality	124

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Estimated EKCs for industrial area (for NO ₂ emission)	23
Figure 2: Estimated EKCs for residential area (for NO ₂ emission)	27
Figure 3: Estimated EKCs for industrial area (for SO ₂ emission)	50
Figure 4: Estimated EKCs for residential area (for SO ₂ emission)	53
Figure 5: Trend of the parameters (1960-2010)	62
Figure 6: Generalized impulse responses	66
Figure 7: Causality between economic growth-emission-inequality in energy intensity	111
Figure 8: Elasticity of NO ₂ emission with respect to NE (Industrial areas)	125
Figure 9: Elasticity of NO ₂ emission with respect to NE (Residential areas)	125
Figure 10: Elasticity of SO ₂ emission with respect to NE (Industrial areas)	126
Figure 11: Elasticity of SO ₂ emission with respect to NE (Residential areas)	126
Figure 12: Elasticity of NO ₂ emission with respect to EE (Industrial areas)	127
Figure 13: Elasticity of NO ₂ emission with respect to EE (Residential areas)	127
Figure 14: Elasticity of SO ₂ emission with respect to EE (Industrial areas)	128
Figure 15: Elasticity of SO ₂ emission with respect to EE (Residential areas)	128
Figure 16: Division of the dataset	160