

**ROLE OF HOLISTIC AND ANALYTIC THINKING ON EVALUATION OF  
ANTHROPOMORPHIZED BRANDS:  
AN EXAMINATION UNDER NEGATIVE INFORMATION**



सिद्धिमूलं प्रबन्धनम्  
भा. प्र. सं. इन्दौर  
IIM INDORE

**A THESIS  
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR  
THE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT  
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT  
INDORE**

By  
ARCHIT VINOD TAPAR  
[2013FPM03]  
DECEMBER 2017

***THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE***

Prof. ASHISH SADH

[CHAIRPERSON]

Prof. ADITYA BILLORE

[MEMBER]

Prof. ABHISHEK MISHRA

[MEMBER]

## **ABSTRACT**

Marketers through their communication, as a part of their positioning strategy, have often encouraged consumers to perceive human-like characteristics in brands and products (e.g., Sprite by Coca-Cola, Appy Fizz by Parle, Riki CFL by Anchor). Extant literature on consumer-brand relationship identifies effectiveness of anthropomorphized brands in generating positive perception of consumers' towards a brand, leading to improved brand performance. However, examining negative effects of an anthropomorphized brand remains largely under-explored.

Consequently, the thesis investigates the influence of negative information on consumers' evaluation of an anthropomorphized brand. The reason for exploring this aspect is precisely because consumers pay keen attention to negative information, which is in turn, found to alter their attitude towards market offerings (Pullig et al. 2006). The literary evidence (Puzakova et al., 2013, Gretry et al., 2016) suggests that the negative effects are more detrimental in case of anthropomorphism on consumers' brand impressions. Thus, the present research examines the effect of negative information on the evaluation of an anthropomorphized brand.

In addition, the thesis studies the role of consumer's thinking style (holistic vs. analytic) in moderating the effect of negative information towards an anthropomorphized brand. The thinking styles are specifically relevant in the present study as they affect the way consumers infer a brand's actions, especially the ones they infer negatively. Further, while investigating negative occurrences for such brands, it's important to consider the contextual factors at play that are vital to consumers, as negative incidents do not arise in isolation. Based on the distinctive thinking style of the consumer, significance given to

contextual factors varies. Thus, the thesis makes an attempt to explore the role of contextual factors on negative effects of anthropomorphism.

The thesis adopts experimental research design to test the hypothesized relationship of brand anthropomorphism and its evaluation. Two main studies are conducted explaining the differences in evaluation of holistic and analytic thinking consumers towards anthropomorphized and non-anthropomorphized brands. The findings of the first study showed that the evaluation of non-anthropomorphized brand by holistic thinking consumers was perceived to be more unfavorable as compared to anthropomorphized brand with no significant difference. Whereas, for analytic thinking consumers, significant difference was found between anthropomorphized and non-anthropomorphized brands, with the evaluation of anthropomorphized brands perceived to be less unfavorable and non-anthropomorphized brands perceived to be more unfavorable. Further, in the second study, we didn't find support for the additional contextual information with regard to holistic and analytic thinking styles, possibly due to the selective attention given by the individuals to situational variable.

The thesis will help researchers and practitioners to better understand the effect of anthropomorphization on consumers and its evaluation under negative information. The study will not only strengthen the knowledge of anthropomorphization by exploring the notion of anthropomorphized brands in marketing but will also contribute to understand how holistic and analytic thinking styles of consumers impact brand evaluation.

**Keywords:** Brand anthropomorphism, negative information, holistic & analytic thinking, brand attitude

## Table of Contents

<b>1 INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>14</b>
1.1 <i>Problem Statement</i> .....	14
1.2 <i>Research Gap</i> .....	15
1.3 <i>Rationale of the Study</i> .....	15
1.4 <i>Objectives of the Study</i> .....	17
1.5 <i>Potential Contribution</i> .....	17
1.6 <i>Organization of the Study</i> .....	18
<b>2 CONCEPTUAL BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>20</b>
2.1 <i>Anthropomorphism</i> .....	20
2.2 <i>Analytic and Holistic Thinking Styles</i> .....	23
2.3 <i>Thinking Styles and Anthropomorphism</i> .....	24
<b>3 THEORETICAL BACKGROUND.....</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 <i>Relationship Theory and Anthropomorphism</i> .....	27
3.2 <i>Three-Factor Theory of Anthropomorphism</i> .....	28
3.3 <i>Self-Construal Theory</i> .....	29
<b>4 LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>31</b>
4.1 <i>Anthropomorphized Products</i> .....	35
4.2 <i>Anthropomorphized Brands</i> .....	38
4.3 <i>Anthropomorphized Messages</i> .....	42
<b>5 HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT.....</b>	<b>47</b>
5.1 <i>Negative Information and Brand Anthropomorphism</i> .....	47
5.2 <i>Holistic-Analytic Styles of Thinking on Negative Information of Anthropomorphized Brands</i> .....	48

5.3	<i>Role of Contextual Factors in Different Styles of Thinking on Negative Information of Anthropomorphized Brands</i>	49
<b>6</b>	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>54</b>
6.1	<i>PRE-TESTS</i>	54
6.1.1	Stimuli Development	55
6.1.2	Scenario Development	55
6.1.3	Sample Design	56
6.1.3.1	<i>Sample size</i>	56
6.1.3.2	<i>Subject induction</i>	57
6.1.3.3	<i>Randomization</i>	57
6.1.4	Data collection	57
6.1.5	Measures	58
6.1.5.1	<i>Measures for consumers' attitude towards brand</i>	58
6.1.5.2	<i>Measures for brand familiarity</i>	58
6.1.6	Priming Holistic and Analytic Thinking Styles	59
6.1.7	Treatment	59
6.2	<i>STUDY 1</i>	60
6.2.1	Procedure	60
6.2.2	RESULTS	61
6.2.2.1	<i>Manipulation checks</i>	61
6.2.2.2	<i>Hypothesis test</i>	61
6.3	<i>STUDY 2</i>	63
6.3.1	Procedure	63
6.3.1.1	<i>Priming analytic and holistic thinking styles</i>	63
6.3.1.2	<i>News story and image manipulation</i>	64

6.3.2	Measures.....	64
6.3.3	RESULTS.....	65
6.3.3.1	<i>Manipulation checks</i> .....	65
6.3.3.2	<i>Hypothesis test</i> .....	65
6.4	STUDY 3.....	68
6.4.1	Pre-test.....	68
6.4.2	Procedure.....	68
6.4.3	RESULTS.....	70
6.4.3.1	<i>Manipulation checks</i> .....	70
6.4.3.2	<i>Hypothesis test</i> .....	70
<b>7</b>	<b>DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS</b> .....	<b>78</b>
7.1	<i>Theoretical implications</i> .....	79
7.2	<i>Practical implications</i> .....	80
<b>8</b>	<b>LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE SCOPE</b> .....	<b>82</b>
8.1	<i>Theoretical limitations</i> .....	82
8.2	<i>Methodological limitations</i> .....	83
8.3	<i>Conclusion</i> .....	83
<b>9</b>	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	<b>85</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>APPENDIX</b> .....	<b>97</b>
10.1	<i>Example of Anthropomorphization – International brands</i> .....	97
10.2	<i>Example of Anthropomorphization – Indian brands</i> .....	98
10.3	<i>Gionee new logo</i> .....	99
10.4	<i>Example of anthropomorphized product</i> .....	100
10.5	<i>Pre-Test “Lippi” anthropomorphized image</i> .....	101

<i>10.6 Pre-Test "Lippi" non-anthropomorphized image.....</i>	<i>101</i>
<i>10.7 Anthropomorphized image study 2 &amp; 3.....</i>	<i>102</i>
<i>10.8 Non-anthropomorphized image study 2 &amp; 3.....</i>	<i>103</i>
<i>10.9 Holistic thinking style priming for study 2 &amp; 3.....</i>	<i>104</i>
<i>10.10 Analytic thinking style priming for study 2 &amp; 3.....</i>	<i>105</i>
<i>10.11 Contextual information:.....</i>	<i>107</i>

### **List of Figures**

Figure 3.1 Theoretical background.....	27
Figure 4.1 Journal-wise percent of studies .....	33
Figure 4.2 Journal-wise number of studies.....	33
Figure 4.3 Classifying literature on Anthropomorphism.....	34
Figure 4.4 Overview of Anthropomorphism literature in Marketing.....	44
Figure 6.1 Impact of Anthropomorphization under different thinking styles .....	66
Figure 6.2 Impact of anthropomorphization under different thinking styles with low contextual information .....	72
Figure 6.3 Impact of anthropomorphization under different thinking styles with high contextual information .....	73



**List of Tables**

Table 2.1 Definition of anthropomorphism.....	21
Table 4.1 Anthropomorphism studies in various journals.....	32
Table 4.2 Contribution of studies on anthropomorphized products/services .....	35
Table 4.3 Contribution of studies on anthropomorphized brands .....	38
Table 4.4 Contribution of studies on anthropomorphized messages.....	42
Table 6.1 ANOVA for Attitude towards brand .....	66
Table 6.2 Post hoc Analysis.....	67
Table 6.3 ANOVA for Attitude towards brand .....	74
Table 6.4 Post hoc Analysis.....	75