# THE DUAL INFLUENCE OF CAREER EXPECTANCY BELIEFS ON INTENTION TO QUIT: EXPLAINING THROUGH MARKETABILITY

#### **A THESIS**

# SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT INDORE

by

#### **BISHAKHA MAJUMDAR**

THESIS ADVISORY COMMITTEE
Prof. RANJEET NAMBUDIRI (CHAIRPERSON)

Prof. SUMIT KUMAR GHOSH (MEMBER)	Prof. SUSHANTA KUMAR MISHRA (MEMBER)

## My Family

(Here's the first of the many to come)

AND

ТО

The wonderful days of our twenties my Father and I spent in Bhopal, Dewas, Jabalpur,

&

**INDORE** 

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

As I begin the thesis that is the prime output of my four-year long doctoral degree curriculum, I look back on all the moments that went into its making, and the amazing time I had in conceptualizing the work and giving it its final shape. There are many persons to whom I owe this achievement, and it is my pleasure to begin my book by recounting my pleasant memories with them.

When we began our FPM journey at IIM Indore, Organizational Behaviour was widely regarded by the MBA students as a 'soft science' (roughly translating as global talk with little substance). However, one professor always managed to hold me and my classmates in awe, by saying: 'But that's just your speculation. Let's get some figures on the board to justify it.' – winning hearts immediately as the no-nonsense Man of Reason. This flair for precision, professionalism, and efficiency is widely regarded by students as the signature of Prof. Ranjeet Nambudiri, Chairperson of my Thesis Advisory Committee. Like all doctoral journeys, mine too have had its share of hiccups: be it dealing with poor reception of a relatively new idea, having difficulty in procuring data, or identifying the case of the missing mediator and redesigning the whole thesis model halfway into the research. Prof. Nambudiri has always been a pillar of strength, with his practical attitude towards work, supportive comments, and expert guidance on how to culminate a research journey efficiently. Further, he does all this making the student feel like a colleague, so that, no matter how bad the day, one walks out of his office feeling invigorated and inspired. His mentorship has extended way beyond the required thesis work: in providing help for career decisions, showing the way out of many administrative issues, and sharing helpful tips for publication and teaching. I extend my heartfelt thanks to Prof. Ranjeet Nambudiri, for being the guiding force behind this dissertation.

Professors who push students to think beyond the obvious are rare, and I am fortunate to have an opportunity to work with Prof. Sushanta Kumar Mishra, who is extraordinary for his refusal to settle for anything less than truly exciting. Prof. Mishra's research acumen is legendary, and the biggest pleasure of working with him was one's inability to satisfy him with the commonplace and the obvious. Every time I discussed my work with him, it forced me to rethink my thesis model, recognize the many possibilities it holds, and acknowledge the many more sophisticated ways in which the same questions may be answered. I thank him wholeheartedly for sharing with me his infectious enthusiasm about research and his valuable time and ideas throughout this journey.

I cherish the experience of working with Prof. Sumit Kumar Ghosh, my TAC member, and one of my most favourite teachers from the IIM classes. Prof. Ghosh is remarkable for his enormous understanding of the behavioural sciences and research methodology. It was hard to win his approval for a research design, but once he approved of a plan, one could rest assured of its soundness. Prof. Ghosh had been supportive of my work throughout the doctoral journey, and patient with his time and advises through its numerous iterations. I thank him wholeheartedly for his guidance.

I thank Prof. Pradyumana Khokle of IIM Ahmedabad, External Member of my Thesis Exmination Committee, for his superbly relevant inputs that gave my thesis its final shape. His thorough reading of my research output and his insights into the work were awe-inspiring. I also thank Prof. Patturaja Selvaraj and Prof. Aditya Billore, members of my Thesis Examination Committee, for their encouragement, inputs, and support at the final stages of my work.

I am lucky to have many professors who have always extended their kindest support to me for different aspects of the dissertation: be it model development, questionnaire building, or analysis of the data. No words are enough to thank Prof. Manoj Motiani, whose courses on PLS-SEM helped me to adopt the method for my research, and who, despite his busy schedule, was always available with

his answers to my frequent queries on the quantitative technique. Among others, I would like to specially thank Prof. Srinivas Gunta, Prof. Nobin Thomas, Prof. Shubhabrata Basu, Prof. Omkar D. Palsule Desai, and Prof. D.L. Sunder, for their feedback on my work. Their sincere appreciation and their insights into the research have enriched it to a considerable extent. Special gratitude also goes out for Prof. Charles Dhanaraj (IMD Lussane) and Prof. Joel P. Rudin (Rowan University), whose mentorship has contributed significantly in giving my thesis its final shape. I thank Prof. Rishikesha T Krishnan, Director, IIM Indore, for the culture of research and excellence he set up in the Institution. His visionary initiatives helped me gain access to many important resources for my thesis, and take my work to acclaimed forums.

I thank Prof. Siddhartha K. Rastogi for teaching me that a job description need not limit what a person can be. I further thank him for including us, his students, in his pursuits of poetry, nature, social issues, and economics. These helped me sustain through the doctoral journey and made my stay on the campus beautiful and meaningful.

Heartfelt thanks also go out to the IIM Indore Library, and Dr. Akhtar Parvez, Mr. Gopal Singh Jadon, Ms. Tulika Singh, and their team, for providing me relevant research papers, books, and software whenever requested. I had made the library reading-room my work-station for well over a year, and it had been inspiring to watch these wonderful people at work, busy with their duties, and yet everdiligent to the needs of the students who come there. I also extend my thanks to the FPM Officials at IIM Indore – Mr. Sandeep K Das, Mr. Mukesh Choudhary, and Ms. Neha Bhosle – for being of crucial help with the administrative issues.

I owe this work to my PGP classmates, seniors, and juniors, whose adventurous career choices is what inspired this research in the first place. They have been ever-supportive, filling up the many questionnaires, during the pilot study and the final studies, and encouraging me with their feedback at every stage of the research. Special thanks go to my FPM colleagues, my batch mates of FPM 2011, my seniors and FPM scholars of the next batches, for being wonderful friends, colleagues, and neighbours throughout the academic journey. I express my gratitude to Ms. Roshni Das (FPM 2014) for helping me through the final formalities of this programme. This Fellowship remains one of my key takeaways from the institution and I hope it sustains for a lifetime.

My heartfelt thanks go out to Rudranil Chakrabortty, my PGP classmate, who has been no less involved in this thesis than I am: acting as my sounding board, my main critic, and my window to the corporate world, and helping me through this long journey with his everlasting humour and enthusiasm. You're one in a million, Partner!

Finally, I express my warmest feelings for my father Shri. Apurba Jyoti Majumdar, mother Smt. Rekha Majumdar, sister Lalita Majumdar, and brother (in law) Sagnik Banerjee – for always being there for me and for extending their unconditional positive regard every moment. They are the ones who made the failures seem trivial and the successes seem worthwhile. Didi, I hope to see my name in your book someday.

Signing off and wishing you a happy reading...

Bishakha Majumdar

Indian Institute of Management Indore

THE DUAL INFLUENCE OF CAREER EXPECTANCY BELIEFS ON INTENTION TO QUIT: EXPLAINING THROUGH MARKETABILITY

# ABSTRACT

Considerable dichotomy exists in research regarding the role of optimism and self-efficacy in work and career outcomes. Optimism and self-efficacy are related to performance, commitment, and engagement, but also are implied in seeing opportunities outside the organization and switching jobs. There is absence of research capturing these opposing strands of findings in the same model and providing a holistic understanding of how the expectancy beliefs operate in case of the working professional. We attempted to bridge this gap by proposing that Career-Decision Self-Efficacy and Career Optimism affect Intention to Quit by the dual mediation pathways through Internal and External Marketability. This model provides a holistic picture of the role of career expectancy beliefs on career outcomes, by considering perceived career opportunities both inside and outside one's present organization. Further, it was proposed that the pathways from Internal and External Marketability to Intention to Quit would be moderated by Need-Supplies Fit and Perceived Job Control.

The proposed model was tested on a sample of 369 Indian professionals with MBA working in the IT/ITES sector. Data was analyzed using the Partial Least Squares-Structured Equation Modelling technique. The outer measurement model was found to have satisfactory loadings, with the measurement scales displaying adequate reliability and discriminant validity. It was found that the pathways from Career Optimism and Career Decision Self-Efficacy to Intention to Quit were fully mediated by Internal and External Marketability. Further, consistent with the proposed model, Internal Marketability was found to be connected by a negative pathway to Intention to Quit and External Marketability was found to be connected by a positive pathway to Intention to Quit. With regards to the moderators, Need-Supplies Fit was found to enhance the strength of the pathway from Internal Marketability to Intention to Quit and attenuate the strength of the pathway from External Marketability to Intention to Quit. Perceived Job Control did not have any significant effect on the pathway between Internal Marketability and Intention to Quit. However, it was found to significantly reduce the strength of the pathway between External Marketability and Intention to

Quit. The blindfolding technique revealed that the model displayed predictive relevance. The Importance-Performance Matrix Analysis revealed Internal and External Marketability to be highest in terms of importance in predicting Intention to Quit, since they fully mediated the pathways between the predictors and the outcome variables.

Given the importance of the mediators in the research model, we conducted 28 post-survey interviews with working professionals having MBA degrees, to identify factors that created in them a sense of Internal and External Marketability. Important factors that emerged for Internal Marketability are competence in dealing with job challenges, perception of organizational justice, and social relationships within the organization. Factors that emerged important for External Marketability are competence in industry related skills, switching opportunities, risk propensity, and prior experience of switches.

Post-survey interviews were also conducted with 20 Human Resource professionals to understand the causes of voluntary turnover among MBAs and interventions currently practiced in the industry. Confirming the importance of External Marketability, alternative job offers emerged as the most important stated cause of turnover. Further, career need assessment and control over work conditions did not emerge as popular practices, indicating the importance of the present findings.

The contribution of the research is twofold: first, it reconciles the two strands of literature arguing opposite effects of expectancy beliefs on Intention to Quit. Further it explains the dynamics through competitive mediation. Secondly, it identifies moderators of the two pathways, indicating possible areas for organizational interventions.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		13 – 24
СНАРТЕ	ER 1 - INTRODUCTION	
1.1	INTRODUCTION	15 – 20
1.1.1	Expectancy beliefs: Job switching as a goal directed behaviour	18
1.2	MOTIVATION FOR THE RESEARCH	20
1.3	RATIONALE FOR THE STUDY	23
		25 – 48
СНАРТЕ	ER 2 – REVIEW OF LITERATURE	
2.1	UNDERSTANDING VOLUNTARY JOB SWITCHING	27 – 33
2.1.1	Theories of voluntary turnover	28
2.1.2	Withdrawal from present organization or attraction of alternatives?	31
2.2.	THEORETICAL PERSPECTIVES	33 – 36
2.2.1.	Expectancy Theory	33
2.2.2.	Social Cognitive Career Theory	34
2.2.3.	Valence-Instrumentality-Expectancy Theory	35
2.3.	DEVELOPING THE RESEARCH QUESTIONS	36 – 39
2.3.1.	Expectancy Beliefs and the Career Decisions of a Working Professional	38
2.3.2.	Research Gap: Dual effects and the missing mediators	39
2.4.	PROPOSED PATHWAYS	39- 47
2.4.1	The Direct Pathways	39- 40
2.4.1.1.	Pathway connecting Career Decision Self-Efficacy and Career	39
	Optimism and Intention to Quit	
2.4.1.2.	Pathway connecting Career Decision Self-Efficacy and Career	40
	Optimism	

	ancy beneis, marketability & intention to Quit	
2.4.2.	The Role of Internal and External Marketability	41 – 44
2.4.2.1.	The Stability Pathway: Career Expectancy Beliefs, Internal	42
	Marketability and Intention to Quit	
2.4.2.2.	The Mobility Pathway: Career Expectancy Beliefs, External	43
	Marketability and Intention to Quit	
2.4.3.	Moderators to the Stability and Mobility Pathways	44 – 47
2.4.3.1.	Need-Supplies Fit	44
2.4.3.2.	Perceived Job Control	46
2.5.	THE RESEARCH MODEL	48
		49 – 66
СНАРТЬ	ER 3 – METHOD	
3.1.	RESEARCH DESIGN	51
3.2.	SAMPLE	53 – 55
3.2.1.	Unit of analysis	53
3.2.2.	Target population	53
3.2.3.	Sampling frame	53
3.2.4.	Sampling technique	54
3.3.	VARIABLES	55 – 57
3.3.1.	Career Decision Self-Efficacy	55
3.3.2.	Career Optimism	55
3.3.3.	Intention to Quit	56
3.3.4.	Internal Marketability	56
3.3.5	External Marketability	56
3.3.6	Need-Supplies Fit	56

	ancy beneis, markeability & intention to Guit	
3.3.7	Perceived Job Control	57
3.3.8	Controls	57
3.4.	MEASURES	58 – 64
3.4.1.	General Information Schedule	58
3.4.2.	Career Decision Self-Efficacy	58
3.4.3.	Career Optimism	58
3.4.4.	Internal Marketability	59
3.4.5.	External Marketability	59
3.4.6.	Intention to Quit	59
3.4.7.	Need-Supplies Fit	59
3.4.8.	Perceived Job Control	60
3.4.9.	Social Desirability Scale	60
3.4.10.	Modification of Scale Items	61
3.4.11.	Measurement Theory	61
3.5.	ANALYSIS	64 – 66
3.5.1.	Post-Survey Interviews	65
		67 – 108
CHAPT	ER 4 – ANALYSIS AND RESULTS	
4.1.	PILOT STUDY	69
4.2.	MAIN STUDY	70
4.3.	DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS	72
4.4.	COMMON METHOD VARIANCE	72 – 76
4.4.1.	Procedural Remedies Adopted	73
	4.4.1.1. Ex-ante approach	73

-	· · ·			
	4.4.1.2. Ex post statistical measures	74		
4.5.	MEASUREMENT (OUTER) MODEL	76 – 91		
4.5.1.	Hierarchical Component Modelling	77 – 83		
	4.5.1.1. Career Decision Self-Efficacy	77		
	4.5.1.2. Perceived Job Control	80		
4.5.2	<b>Evaluating the Measurement Model</b>	83 – 90		
	4.5.2.1. Convergent validity	87		
	4.5.2.2. Internal consistency reliability	88		
	4.5.2.3. Discriminant validity	90		
4.6.	STRUCTURAL (INNER) MODEL	91 – 97		
4.6.1.	Assessment of Multicollinearity	91		
4.6.2.	Structural Model Path Coefficients	91		
4.6.3.	Coefficients of Determination (R <sup>2</sup> )	93		
4.6.4.	Predictive Relevance (Q <sup>2</sup> )	94		
4.6.5.	Effect Size for R (f <sup>2</sup> ) and Q (q <sup>2</sup> )	95		
4.6.6.	Importance-Performance Matrix Analysis	96		
4.7.	MEDIATION ANALYSIS	98		
4.8.	MODERATION ANALYSIS	102		
4.9.	ANALYSIS OF POST-SURVEY INTERVIEWS	104 – 108		
4.9.1.	Post-Survey Interviews with the HR Managers.	104		
4.9.2.	Post-Survey Interviews with Employees	106		
		109 – 127		
СНАРТ	CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION			
5.1.	ANALYSES OF THE STRUCTURAL MODEL	111		

5.2.	MEDIATION PATHWAYS	111
5.3.	MODERATION EFFECTS	115 – 119
5.3.1.	Predictive Accuracy, Predictive Relevance and Importance-	118
	Performance Analysis	
5.4	POST-SURVEY INTERVIEW WITH HR MANAGERS	119
5.5.	POST-SURVEY INTERVIEWS WITH EMPLOYEES WITH	121
	MBA	
5.6.	RECOMMENDATIONS	123
5.7.	LIMITATIONS AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS	125
5.8.	CONCLUSION	126
		128
REFER	RENCES	
		147
APPEN	APPENDICES	

### LIST OF TABLES

No.	Tables	Page
1	Variables controlled in the present research	57
2	Qualitative Assessment Rules for Determining the Nature of the Measurement	62
	Model	
3	Scale properties measured using the online and offline survey data	70
4	Descriptive statistics for the final sample (N=369)	72
5	Extraction of the sum of squared loadings for Harman's one factor test	75
6	Item cross loadings for indicators for the three Lower Order Components:	79
	Career Decision Self-Efficacy	
7	Evaluation of the Hierarchical Component Model	80
8	Item Cross Loadings for indicators for the three Lower Order Components of	82
	Perceived Job Control	
9	Evaluation of the Hierarchical Component Models – Lower Order	83
	Components and Higher Order Components	
10	Outer Loadings of indicators and AVEs for the measurement tools	88
11	Cronbach's Alpha and Composite Reliability Scores for the Measures	89
12	Intercorrelation matrix of the latent variable scores: Comparing AVE with	90
	correlations	
13	Collinearity Statistics for the Predictors	91
14	Path Coefficients of the PLS Model	92
15	Coefficient of determination values for the endogenous variables	94
16	Predictive Relevance values for the Endogenous Variables	95
17	Effect Size and $q^2$ effect size of the Exogenous Variables for each Endogenous	96
	Variable	
18	The IPMA values with respect to Intention to Quit	97
19	VAF and mediation test outcomes	99
20	Moderation Effects	102
21	Summary of the post-survey interview responses of the HR managers	105
22	Analysis of responses of employees with MBA	107

## LIST OF FIGURES

No.	Figure	Page
1	Schematic Model of the Expectancy Theory	34
2	Pathway connecting Career Decision Self-Efficacy and Career Optimism and Intention	40
	to Quit	
3	Pathway connecting Career Decision Self-Efficacy and Career Optimism	40
4	The Stability Pathway: Career Expectancy Beliefs, Internal Marketability and Intention	43
	to Quit	
5	The Mobility Pathway: Career Expectancy Beliefs, External Marketability and	44
	Intention to Quit	
6	Need-Supplies Fit as a moderator of the Internal Marketability/External Marketability-	46
	Outcome pathways	
7	Perceived Job Control as a moderator of the Internal Marketability/External	47
	Marketability-Outcome pathway	
8	The Research Model	48
9	Outcomes for the single latent factor technique to test for common method variance	75
10	First stage: Forming the Lower Order Components through the Repeated Indicator	78
	approach: Career Decision Self-Efficacy	
11	First stage: Forming the Lower Order Components through the Repeated Indicator	81
	approach: Perceived Job Control	
12	The PLS-SEM Model	84
13	The PLS-SEM Path Model, following PLS Analysis	85
14	The PLS-SEM Path Model, following bootstrapping	86
15	The Importance-Performance Map (Intention to Quit)	97
16	Direct pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to Intention to Quit	100
17	Career Optimism mediating the pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to	100
	Intention to Quit	
18	Direct pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to External Marketability	100
19	Career Optimism mediating the pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to	100
	External Marketability	
20	Direct pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to Internal Marketability	100
21	Career Optimism mediating the pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to	100
	Internal Marketability	
22	Internal Marketability mediating the pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to	101
	Intention to Quit	
		1

23	External Marketability mediating the pathway from Career Decision Self-Efficacy to	101
	Intention to Quit	
24	Direct pathway from Career Optimism to Intention to Quit	101
25	External Marketability mediating the pathway from Career Optimism to Intention to	101
	Quit	
26	Need-Supplies Fit moderating the pathway from Internal Marketability to Intention to	103
	Quit	
27	Need-Supplies Fit moderating the pathway from External Marketability to Intention to	103
	Quit	
28	Perceived Job Control moderating the pathway from Internal Marketability to Intention	103
	to Quit	
29	Perceived Job Control moderating the pathway from External Marketability to	103
	Intention to Quit	