A STUDY ON INVENTORY MANAGEMENT IN LEAN MANUFACTURING



A THESIS

SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT INDORE

BY

Mamta Sahare

January, 2024

Thesis Advisory Committee:

Prof. Saurabh Chandra (Chair)

Prof. Amit Kumar Vatsa (Member)

Prof. Shrihari S. Sohani (Member)

Abstract

The relentless pursuit of manufacturing efficiency has led to the widespread adoption of lean practices, particularly emphasizing Just-in-Time (JIT) inventory systems. While these approaches have enhanced performance by reducing waste and streamlining operations, recent global shocks, such as the COVID-19 pandemic, have exposed the limitations of lean practices in responding to a rapidly changing environment. Firms, having prioritized leanness, now grapple with the challenges of robustness, resilience, and flexibility (Helper & Soltas, 2021). This dissertation addresses the evolving landscape by exploring the influence of operational performance, external environment, and supply chain partners on the outcomes of lean initiatives. The study focuses specifically on lean inventory or JIT practices within manufacturing firms, concentrating on inventory management. Two essays within this dissertation provide distinct perspectives on lean inventory: one scrutinizes its impact at the

The first essay investigates the mediating role of operational performance, precisely production efficiency, and the moderating effect of external environmental conditions on the relationship between lean inventory and firm performance. Empirical analysis, using moderated mediation and fixed-effect models on US manufacturing firms' data from 2005-2021, reveals that the association of lean inventory with financial performance is partially mediated through enhanced production efficiency. Moreover, the mediation effects are weaker in low-munificence environments, cautioning against over-reliance on lean practices during uncertain conditions.

firm level, while the other examines its influence throughout the supply chain.

In the second essay, the focus shifts to the challenges of managing the inbound supply chain for JIT manufacturers. Addressing the complexities of JIT inbound logistics, the essay introduces a Production Routing Problem (PRP) model to optimize production quantities,

vehicle selection, and route planning. The study contributes by extending the traditional production routing model to accommodate JIT scenarios, providing insights into efficient inbound logistics systems.

Overall, this dissertation contributes to understanding the nuanced influence of lean practices in real-world manufacturing settings. The unintended consequences of lean practices are explored, emphasizing the need for a thoughtful and context-specific approach to their adoption. The study provides valuable insights for managers across the operational, supply chain, and strategic domains, urging a comprehensive evaluation of internal and external factors before implementing lean practices. By carefully considering these factors, firms can navigate the complexities and intricacies of lean practices to achieve their operational, financial, and environmental objectives.

Table of Contents

	List of	figures:	4
	List of	tables	5
	List of	Abbreviations	7
	Acknow	wledgement	9
1	Intro	oduction	.12
	1.1	Inventory management in Lean manufacturing	.12
	1.2	Dissertation overview and objective	.15
	1.2.1	Study 1: Lean Inventory and Financial Performance: Moderated Mediation	
	Anal	ysis of Production Efficiency and Environmental Munificence	.18
	1.2.2	Study 2: A Production Routing Model to design a JIT delivery system for an	
	inbo	und supply chain.	.20
2		n Inventory and Financial Performance: Moderated Mediation Analysis of	
P	'roductio	on Efficiency and Environmental Munificence	.23
	2.1	Abstract	.23
	2.2	Introduction	.24
	2.3	Literature review	.30
	2.4	Theoretical background	.37
	2.4.1	The Mediating role of Production efficiency-	.37
	2.4.2	The Moderating role of Environmental munificence	.39
	2.5	Methodology Section	.43
	251	Sample Data	43

	2.5.2	Data preparation and Cleaning	43
	2.5.3	Variables	46
	2.5.3	.1 Dependent variable	46
	2.5.3	.2 Independent variable	46
	2.5.3	.3 Mediating variable	48
	2.5.3	.4 Moderating variable	50
	2.5.3	.5 Control variables	51
2.	.6 Ec	onometric Model	53
	2.6.1	Mediation analysis procedure	53
	2.6.2	Moderated mediation analysis procedure	56
2.	.7 Re	esults	58
	2.7.1	Descriptive Statistics	58
	2.7.2	Results of Hypothesis testing	62
	2.7.3	Moderated Mediation Analysis	67
2.	.8 Ac	lditional Analysis	70
2.	.9 Di	scussion and Conclusion	86
	2.9.1	Theoretical contributions	87
	2.9.2	Managerial implications	89
	2.9.3	Limitations and Future research direction	92
3	A Prod	uction Routing Model to design a JIT delivery system for an inboun	d supply
chai	in		95
3.	.1 Ał	ostract	95

3.2	Introduction	96
3.2.	1 Motivation	98
3.3	Literature Review	102
3.4	Problem Description	107
3.4.	1 Problem formulation	108
3.5	Solution procedure	115
3.5.	1 Subtour Elimination Procedure	115
3.5.	2 Valid inequality	116
3.5.	3 Branch-and-cut algorithm	119
3.6	Computation Experiments	122
3.6.	1 Test instance	122
3.7	Result and Discussion	123
3.7.	1 Model comparison with classic model (Lot sizing +	CVRP) and proposed PRP
for .	IIT inbound supply chain	124
3.7.	2 Sensitivity analysis	128
3.8	Conclusions, and Discussion	129
3.8.	1 Managerial implications	131
3.8.	2 Limitation and Future research direction	132
Refere	nces	134
Summ	ary	146

List of figures:

Figure 2.1: The conceptual model that encapsulates our research interests and goals36
Figure 2.2: The association between firm' sales and inventory levels
Figure 2.3: Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) Linearity assumption Test Result60
Figure 2.4: DEA average Efficiency Score of transportation equipment manufacturing
industry
Figure 3.1: Production Routing Problem for inbound supply chain
Figure 3.2: Inbound supply chain in a Just-in-Time environment
Figure 3.3: Illustration of subtour elimination constraints
Figure 3.4: Flowchart of implementation of branch and cut algorithm
Figure 3.5: Model comparison with classic model (Lot sizing + CVRP) and proposed PRP for
JIT inbound supply chain
Figure 3.6: Impact of Demand Uncertainty on system output in JIT inbound supply chain . 128

List of tables

Table 1.1: Overview of the dissertation work
Table 2.1: Description of the Data Items for Variable Measurement
Table 2.2: Industry Segment and Sample Description
Table 2.3: Summary statistics and pairwise correlation matrix
Table 2.4: Lean Inventory and Financial performance: The Mediating role of Production
Efficiency, Return on Asset as a measure of Firm Performance
Table 2.5: Lean Inventory and Financial performance: The Mediating role of Production
Efficiency, Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm Performance
Table 2.6: Moderating the mediation process: the effect of Environmental Munificence (EM),
Return on Asset as a measure of Firm Performance (Yearly Data)
Table 2.7: Moderating the mediation process: the effect of Environmental Munificence (EM),
Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm Performance (Yearly Data)
Table 2.8: Mediation Analysis Industry-wise, Return on Assets as a measure of Firm
Performance
Table 2.9: Mediation Analysis Industry-wise, Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm Performance 75
Table 2.10: Mediation Analysis: Make To stock firms, Return on Asset as a measure of Firm
Performance
Table 2.11: Mediation Analysis: Make To stock firms, Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm
Performance 79

Table 2.12: Mediation Analysis: Make To order firms, Return on Assets as a measure of Firm
Performance
Table 2.13: Mediation Analysis: Make To order firms, Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm
Performance
Table 2.14: Lean Inventory and Financial performance: The Mediating Role of Production
Efficiency (Quarterly Data), Return on asset as a measure of Firm Performance82
Table 2.15: Lean Inventory and Financial performance: The Mediating Role of Production
Efficiency (Quarterly Data), Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm Performance83
Table 2.16: Moderating the mediation process: the effect of Environmental Munificence
(EM) (Quarterly Data), Return on asset as a measure of Firm Performance84
Table 2.17: Moderating the mediation process: The effect of Environmental Munificence
(EM) (Quarterly Data), Tobin's Q as a measure of Firm Performance85
Table 3.1: Lean Logistics Literature Review
Table 3.2: Computational Results

List of Abbreviations

ELI – Empirical Leanness Inventory
ELISQ- Empirical Leanness inventory square
DEA- Data envelopment analysis
DEACCR- Efficiency scores using CCR model
DEABCC- Efficiency scores using BCC model
DEABCCBOOT- Efficiency scores using Bootstrapping model
ROA- Return on assets
SG- Sales growth
LV- Leverage
SINT- Selling intensity
ATAN- Asset tangibility
RINT- R&D intensity
R&D- Research and Development
CI- Confidence interval
FE- Fixed effect
EM- Environmental munificence
NAICS- North American Industry Classification System

BLS-Bureau of Labor Statistic EBIT- earnings before interest and taxes CEQ- Book value of common equity AT- Total Assets CSHO- Common Shares Outstanding PRCC_F- Closing Price (annual, fiscal) WARDS- Warton Research Data Services DMUS- Decision making units. PPI- Producer Price Index VIF- Variance Inflation Factors FP- Financial performance PE- Production efficiency JIT- Just in time

PRP- Production routing Problem

References

Adulyasak, Y., Cordeau, J.F. and Jans, R., 2015. The production routing problem: A review of formulations and solution algorithms. Computers & Operations Research, 55, pp.141-152.

Alan, Y., Gao, G.P. and Gaur, V., 2014. Does inventory productivity predict future stock returns? A retailing industry perspective. Management Science, 60(10), pp.2416-2434.

Anderson, E.T., Fitzsimons, G.J. and Simester, D., 2006. Measuring and mitigating the costs of stockouts. Management science, 52(11), pp.1751-1763.

Andrevski, G., Richard, O.C., Shaw, J.D. and Ferrier, W.J., 2014. Racial diversity and firm performance: The mediating role of competitive intensity. Journal of Management, 40(3), pp.820-844.

Augusto, M. and Coelho, F., 2009. Market orientation and new-to-the-world products: Exploring the moderating effects of innovativeness, competitive strength, and environmental forces. Industrial marketing management, 38(1), pp.94-108.

Ayabakan, S., Bardhan, I.R. and Zheng, Z., 2017. A data envelopment analysis approach to estimate IT-enabled production capability. Mis Quarterly, 41(1), pp.189-206.

Azadegan, A., Patel, P.C., Zangoueinezhad, A. and Linderman, K., 2013. The effect of environmental complexity and environmental dynamism on lean practices. Journal of operations management, 31(4), pp.193-212.

Baghersad, M. and Zobel, C.W., 2022. Organizational resilience to disruption risks: developing metrics and testing effectiveness of operational strategies. Risk Analysis, 42(3), pp.561-579.

Bao, B.H. and Bag, D.H., 1989. An empirical investigation of the association between productivity and firm value. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 16(5), pp.699-717.

Barker, J.M., Hofer, C., Hoberg, K. and Eroglu, C., 2022. Supplier inventory leanness and financial performance. Journal of Operations Management, 68(4), pp.385-407.

Barnes-Schuster, D., Bassok, Y. and Anupindi, R., 2002. Coordination and flexibility in supply contracts with options. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 4(3), pp.171-207.

Battini, D., Boysen, N., & Emde, S. (2013). Just-in-Time supermarkets for part supply in the automobile industry. Journal of Management Control, 24, 209-217.

Battini, D., Faccio, M., Persona, A., & Sgarbossa, F. (2009). Design of the optimal feeding policy in an assembly system. International Journal of Production Economics, 121(1), 233-254.

Belvaux, G., and Wolsey, L.A., 1998. Lot-sizing problems: Modelling issues and a specialized branch-and-cut system bc-prod (No. UCL-Université Catholique de Louvain). Université Catholique de Louvain. Center for Operations Research and Econometrics [CORE].

Bendig, D., Brettel, M. and Downar, B., 2018. Inventory component volatility and its relation to returns. International Journal of Production Economics, 200, pp.37-49.

Bendig, D., Strese, S. and Brettel, M., 2017. The link between operational leanness and credit ratings. Journal of Operations Management, 52, pp.46-55.

Birkie, S.E. and Trucco, P., 2016. Understanding dynamism and complexity factors in engineer-to-order and their influence on lean implementation strategy. Production Planning & Control, 27(5), pp.345-359.

Boyd, B.K., 1995. CEO duality and firm performance: A contingency model. Strategic management journal, 16(4), pp.301-312.

Brockman, P., Ma, T. and Ye, J., 2015. CEO compensation risk and timely loss recognition. Journal of Business Finance & Accounting, 42(1-2), pp.204-236.

Brown, K. A., T. R. Mitchell. 1991. A comparison of just-in-time and batch manufacturing: The role of performance obstacles. Acad. Management J. 34 906–917

Cachon, G.P. and Fisher, M., 2000. Supply chain inventory management and the value of shared information. Management science, 46(8), pp.1032-1048.

Callen, J.L., Fader, C. and Krinsky, I., 2000. Just-in-time: a cross-sectional plant analysis. International Journal of Production Economics, 63(3), pp.277-301.

Cannon, A.R., 2008. Inventory improvement and financial performance. International Journal of Production Economics, 115(2), pp.581-593.

Capkun, V., Hameri, A.P. and Weiss, L.A., 2009. On the relationship between inventory and financial performance in manufacturing companies. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 29(8), pp.789-806.

Castrogiovanni, G.J., 1991. Environmental muniheence; a theoretical assessment. Academy of management review, 16(3), pp.542-565.

Chandra, P. and Fisher, M.L., 1994. Coordination of production and distribution planning. European journal of operational research, 72(3), pp.503-517.

Charnes, A., Cooper, W.W. and Rhodes, E., 1978. Measuring the efficiency of decision making units. European journal of operational research, 2(6), pp.429-444.

Chase, R.B., Jacobs, F.R. and Aquilano, N.J., 2006. Operations Management for Competitive Advantage, McGraw-Hill. Irwin, New York.

Chavez, R., Gimenez, C., Fynes, B., Wiengarten, F. and Yu, W., 2013. Internal lean practices and operational performance: The contingency perspective of industry clockspeed. International Journal of Operations & Production Management.

Chen, C.M., 2017. Supply chain strategies and carbon intensity: The roles of process leanness, diversification strategy, and outsourcing. Journal of Business Ethics, 143, pp.603-620.

Chen, H., Frank, M.Z. and Wu, O.Q., 2005. What actually happened to the inventories of American companies between 1981 and 2000?. Management science, 51(7), pp.1015-1031.

Chen, H., Frank, M.Z. and Wu, O.Q., 2007. US retail and wholesale inventory performance from 1981 to 2004. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 9(4), pp.430-456.

Chen, W., Rahman, H. F., Zhou, Q., Liu, S., Liu, H., & Qi, E. (2023). Proactive in-house part-feeding for mixed-model assembly systems with dynamics. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 178, 109101.

Chen, Z.X. and Sarker, B.R., 2010. Multi-vendor integrated procurement-production system under shared transportation and just-in-time delivery system. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 61, pp.1654-1666.

Chuah, K.H. and Yingling, J.C., 2005. Routing for a just-in-time supply pickup and delivery system. Transportation Science, 39(3), pp.328-339.

Chuang, H.H.C., Oliva, R. and Heim, G.R., 2019. Examining the link between retailer inventory leanness and operational efficiency: Moderating roles of firm size and demand uncertainty. Production and Operations Management, 28(9), pp.2338-2364.

Coelli, T.J., Rao, D.S.P., O'Donnell, C.J. and Battese, G.E., 2005. An introduction to efficiency and productivity analysis. springer science & business media.

Compadata Surveys (2010), "Lean manufacturing and safety help manufacturers survive tough times", available at: www.compdatasurveys.com/2010/12/13/lean-manufacturing-and-safety-helpmanufacturers-survive-tough-times/ (accessed 12 January 2011).

Connolly, R.A. and Hirschey, M., 2005. Firm size and the effect of R&D on Tobin's q. R&d Management, 35(2), pp.217-223.

Cooper, W.W., 2005. Origins, uses of, and relations between goal programming and data envelopment analysis. Journal of Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis, 13(1), pp.3-11.

Cooper, W.W., Seiford, L.M. and Tone, K., 2000. Data envelopment analysis. Handbook on data envelopment analysis, 1-40.

Cooper, W.W., Seiford, L.M. and Tone, K., 2007. Data envelopment analysis: a comprehensive text with models, applications, references and DEA-solver software (Vol. 2, p. 489). New York: Springer.

Cooper, W.W., Seiford, L.M. and Zhu, J. eds., 2011. Handbook on data envelopment analysis.

Cox, A., Chicksand, D. and Palmer, M., 2007. Stairways to heaven or treadmills to oblivion? Creating sustainable strategies in red meat supply chains. British Food Journal.

Cua, K.O., McKone, K.E. and Schroeder, R.G., 2001. Relationships between implementation of TQM, JIT, and TPM and manufacturing performance. Journal of operations management, 19(6), pp.675-694.

David, I. and Eben-Chaime, M., 2003. How far should JIT vendor—buyer relationships go?. International Journal of Production Economics, 81, pp.361-368.\

Davy, J.A., White, R.E., Merritt, N.J. and Gritzmacher, K., 1992. A derivation of the underlying constructs of just-in-time management systems. Academy of management journal, 35(3), pp.653-670.

Dess, G.G. and Beard, D.W., 1984. Dimensions of organizational task environments. Administrative science quarterly, pp.52-73.

Diefenbach, H., Emde, S., & Glock, C. H. (2023). Multi-depot electric vehicle scheduling in in-plant production logistics considering non-linear charging models. European Journal of Operational Research, 306(2), 828-848.

Doolen, T.L. and Hacker, M.E., 2005. A review of lean assessment in organizations: an exploratory study of lean practices by electronics manufacturers. Journal of Manufacturing systems, 24(1), pp.55-67.

Efron, B. and Tibshirani, R.J., 1994. An introduction to the bootstrap. CRC press.

Elf, M., Gutwenger, C., Jünger, M. and Rinaldi, G., 2001. Branch-and-cut algorithms for combinatorial optimization and their implementation in ABACUS. Computational Combinatorial Optimization: Optimal or Provably Near-Optimal Solutions, pp.157-222.

Emde, S. and Schneider, M., 2018. Just-in-time vehicle routing for in-house part feeding to assembly lines. Transportation Science, 52(3), pp.657-672.

Eroglu, C. and Hofer, C., 2011. Inventory types and firm performance: Vector autoregressive and vector error correction models. Journal of Business Logistics, 32(3), pp.227-239.

Eroglu, C. and Hofer, C., 2011. Lean, leaner, too lean? The inventory-performance link revisited. Journal of operations management, 29(4), pp.356-369.

Eroglu, C. and Hofer, C., 2014. The effect of environmental dynamism on returns to inventory leanness. Journal of Operations Management, 32(6), pp.347-356.

Faccio, M., Gamberi, M., Persona, A., Regattieri, A., & Sgarbossa, F. (2013). Design and simulation of assembly line feeding systems in the automotive sector using supermarket, kanbans and tow trains: a general framework. Journal of Management Control, 24, 187-208.

Fahimnia, B., Farahani, R.Z., Marian, R. and Luong, L., 2013. A review and critique on integrated production—distribution planning models and techniques. Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 32(1), pp.1-19.

Fawcett, A.M., Jin, Y.H., Hofer, C., Waller, M.A. and Brazhkin, V., 2016. Sweating the assets: Asset leanness and financial performance in the motor carrier industry. Journal of Business Logistics, 37(1), pp.43-58.

Feldman, R., Govindaraj, S., Livnat, J. and Suslava, K., 2021. Market reaction to quantitative and qualitative order backlog disclosures. Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 40(6), p.106897.

Flynn, B.B., Huo, B. and Zhao, X., 2010. The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. Journal of operations management, 28(1), pp.58-71.

Flynn, B.B., Koufteros, X. and Lu, G., 2016. On theory in supply chain uncertainty and its implications for supply chain integration. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 52(3), pp.3-27.

Fullerton, R.R. and Wempe, W.F., 2009. Lean manufacturing, non-financial performance measures, and financial performance. International journal of operations & production management.

Fullerton, R.R., Kennedy, F.A. and Widener, S.K., 2014. Lean manufacturing and firm performance: The incremental contribution of lean management accounting practices. Journal of Operations Management, 32(7-8), pp.414-428.

Fullerton, R.R., McWatters, C.S. and Fawson, C., 2003. An examination of the relationships between JIT and financial performance. Journal of Operations management, 21(4), pp.383-404.

Gao, X., 2018. Corporate cash hoarding: The role of just-in-time adoption. Management Science, 64(10), pp.4858-4876.

Gaur, V. and Kesavan, S., 2015. The effects of firm size and sales growth rate on inventory turnover performance in the US retail sector. Retail supply chain management: Quantitative models and empirical studies, pp.25-52.

Gavirneni, S., 2002. Information flows in capacitated supply chains with fixed ordering costs. Management science, 48(5), pp.644-651.

Gold, B., 1973. Technology, productivity and economic analysis. Omega, 1(1), pp.5-24.

Guariglia, A., 1999. The effects of financial constraints on inventory investment: Evidence from a panel of UK firms. Economica, 66(261), pp.43-62.

Gunasekaran, A., Patel, C. and McGaughey, R.E., 2004. A framework for supply chain performance measurement. International journal of production economics, 87(3), pp.333-347.

Hallowell, S.F. and Harker, P.T., 1998. Predicting on-time performance in scheduled railroad operations: methodology and application to train scheduling. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 32(4), pp.279-295.

Hannan, M.T. and Freeman, J., 1984. Structural inertia and organizational change. American sociological review, pp.149-164.

Hayes, A. F. 2013. Introduction to Mediation, Moderation, and Conditional Process Analysis: A Regression-Based Approach, Guilford Press, New York, NY.

Helper, S. and Soltas, E., 2021. Why the pandemic has disrupted supply chains. The White House, 17.The White House, Council of Economic Advisers (blog), 17 June 2021. https://www.whitehouse.gov/cea/writtenmaterials/2021/06/17/why-the-pandemic-has-disrupted-supply-chains/

Hendricks, K.B. and Singhal, V.R., 2005. An empirical analysis of the effect of supply chain disruptions on long-run stock price performance and equity risk of the firm. Production and Operations management, 14(1), pp.35-52.

Hendricks, K.B. and Singhal, V.R., 2009. Demand-supply mismatches and stock market reaction: Evidence from excess inventory announcements. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 11(3), pp.509-524.

Hendricks, K.B., Singhal, V.R. and Zhang, R., 2009. The effect of operational slack, diversification, and vertical relatedness on the stock market reaction to supply chain disruptions. Journal of operations management, 27(3), pp.233-246.

Hoberg, K., Protopappa-Sieke, M. and Steinker, S., 2017. How do financial constraints and financing costs affect inventories? An empirical supply chain perspective. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 47(6), pp.516-535.

Hofer, C., Eroglu, C. and Hofer, A.R., 2012. The effect of lean production on financial performance: The mediating role of inventory leanness. International Journal of Production Economics, 138(2), pp.242-253.

Huson, M. and Nanda, D., 1995. The impact of just-in-time manufacturing on firm performance in the US. Journal of Operations Management, 12(3-4), pp.297-310.

İmrohoroğlu, A. and Tüzel, Ş., 2014. Firm-level productivity, risk, and return. Management Science, 60(8), pp.2073-2090.

Inman, R.A. and Mehra, S., 1993. Financial justification of JIT implementation. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 13(4), pp.32-39.

Isaksson, O.H. and Seifert, R.W., 2014. Inventory leanness and the financial performance of firms. Production Planning & Control, 25(12), pp.999-1014.

Jacobs, B.W., Kraude, R. and Narayanan, S., 2016. Operational productivity, corporate social performance, financial performance, and risk in manufacturing firms. Production and Operations Management, 25(12), pp.2065-2085.

Jayaram, J., Vickery, S. and Droge, C., 2008. Relationship building, lean strategy and firm performance: an exploratory study in the automotive supplier industry. International Journal of Production Research, 46(20), pp.5633-5649.

Jiang, Z., Huang, Y. and Wang, J., 2010. Routing for the milk-run pickup system in automobile parts supply. In Proceedings of the 6th CIRP-sponsored international conference on digital enterprise technology (pp. 1267-1275). Springer Berlin Heidelberg.

Jones, D.T. and Clarke, P., 2002. Creating a customer-driven supply chain. International Commerce Review: ECR Journal, 2(2), p.28.

Kaynak, H., 2003. The relationship between total quality management practices and their effects on firm performance. Journal of operations management, 21(4), pp.405-435.

Ke, Y., 2022. The impact of COVID-19 on firms' cost of equity capital: Early evidence from US public firms. Finance Research Letters, 46, p.102242.

Kesavan, S., Gaur, V. and Raman, A., 2010. Do inventory and gross margin data improve sales forecasts for US public retailers?. Management Science, 56(9), pp.1519-1533.

Kesavan, S., Kushwaha, T. and Gaur, V., 2016. Do high and low inventory turnover retailers respond differently to demand shocks? Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 18(2), pp.198-215.

Khan, L.R. and Sarker, R.A., 2002. An optimal batch size for a JIT manufacturing system. Computers & Industrial Engineering, 42(2-4), pp.127-136.

Kim, S.L. and Ha, D., 2003. A JIT lot-splitting model for supply chain management: Enhancing buyer–supplier linkage. International Journal of Production Economics, 86(1), pp.1-10.

Koumanakos, D.P., 2008. The effect of inventory management on firm performance. International journal of productivity and performance management, 57(5), pp.355-369.

Kovach, J.J., Hora, M., Manikas, A. and Patel, P.C., 2015. Firm performance in dynamic environments: The role of operational slack and operational scope. Journal of Operations Management, 37, pp.1-12.

Krajewski, L.J., Ritzman, L.P., 1996. Operations Management: Strategy and Analysis. Addison-Wesley, New York.

Kwon, H.B. and Lee, J., 2019. Exploring the differential impact of environmental sustainability, operational efficiency, and corporate reputation on market valuation in high-tech-oriented firms. International Journal of Production Economics, 211, pp.1-14.

Kwon, H.B., Lee, J. and Choi, L., 2022. Dynamic interplay of operations and R&D capabilities in US high-tech firms: Predictive impact analysis. International Journal of Production Economics, 247, p.108439.

Lee, H.L., 2002. Aligning supply chain strategies with product uncertainties. California management review, 44(3), pp.105-119.

Li, C.R., Lin, C.J. and Chu, C.P., 2008. The nature of market orientation and the ambidexterity of innovations. Management Decision.

Li, L., Shubik, M. and Sobel, M.J., 2013. Control of dividends, capital subscriptions, and physical inventories. Management Science, 59(5), pp.1107-1124.

Li, W., Chien, F., Hsu, C.C., Zhang, Y., Nawaz, M.A., Iqbal, S. and Mohsin, M., 2021. Nexus between energy poverty and energy efficiency: estimating the long-run dynamics. Resources Policy, 72, p.102063.

Lieberman, M.B. and Demeester, L., 1999. Inventory reduction and productivity growth: Linkages in the Japanese automotive industry. Management science, 45(4), pp.466-485.

Lieberman, M.B., Lau, L.J. and Williams, M.D., 1990. Firm-level productivity and management influence: A comparison of US and Japanese automobile producers. Management Science, 36(10), pp.1193-1215.

Luo, Y., 2005. Shifts of Chinese government policies on inbound foreign direct investment. International business and government relations in the 21st century, pp.292-313.

Mackelprang, A.W., Habermann, M. and Swink, M., 2015. How firm innovativeness and unexpected product reliability failures affect profitability. Journal of Operations Management, 38, pp.71-86.

McClean, E.J., Martin, S.R., Emich, K.J. and Woodruff, C.T., 2018. The social consequences of voice: An examination of voice type and gender on status and subsequent leader emergence. Academy of Management Journal, 61(5), pp.1869-1891.

McKone, K.E., Schroeder, R.G. and Cua, K.O., 2001. The impact of total productive maintenance practices on manufacturing performance. Journal of operations management, 19(1), pp.39-58.

McLachlin, R., 1997. Management initiatives and just-in-time manufacturing. Journal of Operations management, 15(4), pp.271-292.

Meredith, J., 1992. The Management of Operations: A Conceptual Emphasis. Wiley, New York.

Meyer, 2020. Coronavirus: return to work divides US meat industry Link-https://www.ft.com/content/f6e2b4ad-4a62-4c6f-8348-38704e3e81f6

Miller, D., 1987. The structural and environmental correlates of business strategy. Strategic management journal, 8(1), pp.55-76.

Mishra, S., Modi, S.B. and Animesh, A., 2013. The relationship between information technology capability, inventory efficiency, and shareholder wealth: A firm-level empirical analysis. Journal of Operations Management, 31(6), pp.298-312.

Modi, S.B. and Mishra, S., 2011. What drives financial performance–resource efficiency or resource slack?: Evidence from US based manufacturing firms from 1991 to 2006. Journal of Operations Management, 29(3), pp.254-273.

Monden, Y., 2011. Toyota production system: an integrated approach to just-in-time. CRc Press.

Moretti, E., Tappia, E., Mauri, M., & Melacini, M. (2021). A performance model for mobile robot-based part feeding systems to supermarkets. Flexible Services and Manufacturing Journal, 1-34.

Muller, D., Judd, C.M. and Yzerbyt, V.Y., 2005. When moderation is mediated and mediation is moderated. Journal of personality and social psychology, 89(6), p.852.

Nahmias, S. and Cheng, Y., 2009. Production and operations analysis (Vol. 6). New York: McGraw-hill.

Narayanan, S., Narasimhan, R. and Schoenherr, T., 2015. Assessing the contingent effects of collaboration on agility performance in buyer–supplier relationships. Journal of Operations Management, 33, pp.140-154.

Niranjan, T.T., Rao, S., Sengupta, S. and Wagner, S.M., 2014. Existence and extent of operations and supply management departmental thought worlds: an empirical study. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 50(4), pp.76-95.

Obermaier, R. and Donhauser, A., 2009. Disaggregate and aggregate inventory to sales ratios over time: the case of German corporations 1993–2005. Logistics Research, 1, pp.95-111.

Ohlmann, J.W., Fry, M.J. and Thomas, B.W., 2008. Route design for lean production systems. Transportation Science, 42(3), pp.352-370.

Ohno, T., 1988. Toyota Production System: Beyond Large-Scale Production. Portland: Productivity.

Ohno, T., 2019. Toyota production system: beyond large-scale production. Productivity press.

Oliver, N., 1991. The dynamics of just-in-time. New Technology, Work and Employment, 6(1), pp.19-27

Page, P., 2016. Today's top supply chain and logistics news from WSJ; delivering up-to-the minute news, analysis, interviews and explanatory journalism on logistics, supply-chain management, e-commerce and more. Wall Street Journal (Online).

Pan, J.C.H. and Yang, J.S., 2002. A study of an integrated inventory with controllable lead time. International Journal of Production Research, 40(5), pp.1263-1273.

Pan, X., Chen, X. and Ning, L., 2018. Exploitative technological diversification, environmental contexts, and firm performance. Management Decision.

Pardo, A. and Román, M., 2013. Reflections on the Baron and Kenny model of statistical mediation. Anales de psicologia, 29(2), pp.614-623.

Park, E. and Kim, W.H., 2021. The effect of inventory turnover on financial performance in the US restaurant industry: The moderating role of exposure to commodity price risk. Tourism Economics, 27(7), pp.1417-1429.

Park, N.K. and Mezias, J.M., 2005. Before and after the technology sector crash: The effect of environmental munificence on stock market response to alliances of e-commerce firms. Strategic Management Journal, 26(11), pp.987-1007.

Patel, 2023, Just-in-Time Logistics: What It Means and Why It Matters, link-https://pubsonline.informs.org/do/10.1287/LYTX.2023.04.01/full/

Preacher, K.J. and Hayes, A.F., 2008. Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. Behavior research methods, 40(3), pp.879-891.

Preacher, K.J., Rucker, D.D. and Hayes, A.F., 2007. Addressing moderated mediation hypotheses: Theory, methods, and prescriptions. Multivariate behavioral research, 42(1), pp.185-227.

Ramaswamy, S., Manyika, J., Pinkus, G., George, K., Law, J., Gambell, T. and Serafino, A., 2017. Making it in America: Revitalizing US manufacturing. McKinsey Global Institute.

Rao, Y. Q., Wang, M. C., Wang, K. P., & Wu, T. M. (2013). Scheduling a single vehicle in the just-in-time part supply for a mixed-model assembly line. Computers & Operations Research, 40(11), 2599-2610.

Retaildive, 2020, The impact of the coronavirus on retail, https://www.retaildive.com/news/the-impact-of-thecoronavirus-on-retail/573522/. Accessed 10 March 2020

Rumyantsev, S. and Netessine, S., 2007. Should inventory policy be lean or responsive? Evidence for US public companies. Evidence for US Public Companies (September 3, 2007).

Rumyantsev, S. and Netessine, S., 2007. What can be learned from classical inventory models? A cross-industry exploratory investigation. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 9(4), pp.409-429

Sakakibara, S., Flynn, B.B., Schroeder, R.G. and Morris, W.T., 1997. The impact of just-in-time manufacturing and its infrastructure on manufacturing performance. Management Science, 43(9), pp.1246-1257.

Saranga, H., 2009. The Indian auto component industry–Estimation of operational efficiency and its determinants using DEA. European Journal of Operational Research, 196(2), pp.707-718.

Sarker, B.R. and Parija, G.R., 1996. Optimal batch size and raw material ordering policy for a production system with a fixed-interval, lumpy demand delivery system. European Journal of Operational Research, 89(3), pp.593-608.

Schmenner, R.W. and Swink, M.L., 1998. On theory in operations management. Journal of operations management, 17(1), pp.97-113.

Schultz, K.L., Juran, D.C. and Boudreau, J.W., 1999. The effects of low inventory on the development of productivity norms. Management Science, 45(12), pp.1664-1678.

Sebastiao, H.J. and Golicic, S., 2008. Supply chain strategy for nascent firms in emerging technology markets. Journal of Business Logistics, 29(1), pp.75-91.

Semet, F., Toth, P. and Vigo, D., 2014. Chapter 2: Classical exact algorithms for the capacitated vehicle routing problem. In Vehicle Routing: Problems, Methods, and Applications, Second Edition (pp. 37-57). Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics.

Shah, R. and Shin, H., 2007. Relationships among information technology, inventory, and profitability: An investigation of level invariance using sector level data. Journal of Operations Management, 25(4), pp.768-784.

Shah, R. and Ward, P.T., 2007. Defining and developing measures of lean production. Journal of operations management, 25(4), pp.785-805.

Sheffi, Y. 2022. Pandemic shortages haven't shattered the case for just-in-time supply chains, The Wall Street Journal, Jan. 30, 2022. https://www.wsj.com/articles/commentary-pandemic-shortages-havent-shattered-the-case-for-just-in-time-supply-chains-11643547604

Shih, W.C., 2020. Global supply chains in a post-pandemic world. Harvard Business Review, 98(5), pp.82-89.

Simar, L. and Wilson, P.W., 1998. Sensitivity analysis of efficiency scores: How to bootstrap in nonparametric frontier models. Management science, 44(1), pp.49-61.

Simar, L. and Wilson, P.W., 2000. Statistical inference in nonparametric frontier models: The state of the art. Journal of productivity analysis, 13, pp.49-78.

Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P., Simchi-Levi, E. and Ji, J., 2007. Designing and managing the supply chain.

Singhal, V.R. and Raturi, A.S., 1990. The effect of inventory decisions and parameters on the opportunity cost of capital. Journal of Operations Management, 9(3), pp.406-420.

Steinker, S. and Hoberg, K., 2013. The impact of inventory dynamics on long-term stock returns—an empirical investigation of US manufacturing companies. Journal of Operations Management, 31(5), pp.250-261

Steinker, S., Pesch, M. and Hoberg, K., 2016. Inventory management under financial distress: An empirical analysis. International Journal of Production Research, 54(17), pp.5182-5207.

Stierwald, A., 2009. Determinants of firm profitability-the effect of productivity and its persistence. Melbourne Institute of Applied Economic and Social Research, 25.

Sudit, E.F., 1995. Productivity measurement in industrial operations. European Journal of Operational Research, 85(3), pp.435-453.

Swink, M., Narasimhan, R. and Kim, S.W., 2005. Linking practice to performance empirical study of high-performing manufacturing plants. Production and Inventory Management Journal, 44, p.VI1.

Swink, M., Talluri, S. and Pandejpong, T., 2006. Faster, better, cheaper: A study of NPD project efficiency and performance tradeoffs. Journal of Operations Management, 24(5), pp.542-562.

Terjesen, S., Patel, P.C. and Covin, J.G., 2011. Alliance diversity, environmental context and the value of manufacturing capabilities among new high technology ventures. Journal of Operations Management, 29(1-2), pp.105-115.

Thakur, T., Deshmukh, S.G. and Kaushik, S.C., 2006. Efficiency evaluation of the state owned electric utilities in India. Energy Policy, 34(17), pp.2788-2804.

Thompson, J.D., 1967. Organizations in action. New York: McGraw-Hill. ThompsonOrganizations in Action1967.

Tong, X., Linderman, K. and Zhu, Q., 2023. Managing a portfolio of environmental projects: Focus, balance, and environmental management capabilities. Journal of Operations Management, 69(1), pp.127-158.

Udenio, M., Hoberg, K. and Fransoo, J.C., 2018. Inventory agility upon demand shocks: Empirical evidence from the financial crisis. Journal of Operations Management, 62, pp.16-43.

Vaidyanathan, B.S., Matson, J.O., Miller, D.M. and Matson, J.E., 1999. A capacitated vehicle routing problem for just-in-time delivery. Iie Transactions, 31(11), pp.1083-1092.

Vastag, G. and Whybark, D.C., 2005. Inventory management: is there a knock-on effect? International Journal of Production Economics, 93, pp.129-138.

Wang, I.C., 2010. The application of third party logistics to implement the Just-In-Time system with minimum cost under a global environment. Expert Systems with Applications, 37(3), pp.2117-2123.

Wilson, L., 2010. How to implement lean manufacturing. McGraw-Hill Education.

Womak, J., Jones, D.T. and Roos, D., 1990. The machine that changed the world. New York: Rawson Associates.

Wong, C.W., Wong, C.Y. and Boon-Itt, S., 2013. The combined effects of internal and external supply chain integration on product innovation. International Journal of Production Economics, 146(2), pp.566-574.

Wong, C.Y., Boon-Itt, S. and Wong, C.W., 2011. The contingency effects of environmental uncertainty on the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance. Journal of Operations management, 29(6), pp.604-615.

Yang, J.S. and Pan, J.C.H., 2004. Just-in-time purchasing: an integrated inventory model involving deterministic variable lead time and quality improvement investment. International Journal of Production Research, 42(5), pp.853-863.

Yasai-Ardekani, M., 1989. Effects of environmental scarcity and munificence on the relationship of context to organizational structure. Academy of management Journal, 32(1), pp.131-156.

Young, S.M. and Selto, F.H., 1991. New manufacturing practices and cost management: A review of the literature and directions for research. Journal of Accounting Literature, 10(1991), pp.265-298.

Younge, K.A., Tong, T.W. and Fleming, L., 2015. How anticipated employee mobility affects acquisition likelihood: Evidence from a natural experiment. Strategic Management Journal, 36(5), pp.686-708.

Zeitun, R. and Tian, G.G., 2014. Capital structure and corporate performance: evidence from Jordan. Australasian Accounting Business & Finance Journal, Forthcoming.

Zhao, X., Lynch Jr, J.G. and Chen, Q., 2010. Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and truths about mediation analysis. Journal of consumer research, 37(2), pp.197-206.

Zhou, B., 2016. Lean principles, practices, and impacts: a study on small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs). Annals of operations research, 241, pp.457-474.

Zipkin, P.H., 1991. Does manufacturing need a JIT revolution?. Harvard Business Review, 69(1), pp.40-46.

Summary

The primary focus of this thesis is to explore the adoption and impact of lean practices within the manufacturing industry, emphasizing the significance of internal and external firm environments in achieving optimal results. Lean practices are highly beneficial for manufacturing firms regarding efficiency and cost-effectiveness, but operational managers often require a deeper understanding of selecting, implementing, and leveraging these practices. They must comprehend which lean practices are most suitable for implementing them and how they can transform their manufacturing environments positively.

This research underscores the role of both internal and external firm environments. The internal environment, including factors such as firm size and managerial capability, plays a pivotal role in selecting and successfully implementing lean practices. It enhances a firm's ability to utilize resources efficiently. Simultaneously, the external environment provides essential resources and stability to support a lean working environment. This combination of internal and external factors is vital to the success of lean practices in manufacturing firms.

Given the importance of internal and external firm environments in the success of adopting lean practices, the goal of this dissertation is to expand existing scholarly knowledge on the relationship between lean practices and performance. The first study of this dissertation investigates the impact of lean inventory on the financial performance of the United States manufacturing industry. It highlights the potential benefits of improving production efficiency through the adoption of lean inventory practices. However, the study also unveils a critical factor: the significance of external environmental conditions. When the external environment is favorable, there may be better strategies for manufacturing firms than implementing lean practices. This is particularly true in situations where external environmental munificence is low. Environmental munificence refers to abundant resources and favorable conditions

supporting firm growth. A low level of munificence disrupts the supply chain structure, making it challenging for firms to access the resources needed to sustain a lean manufacturing environment. The lack of resources can lead to operational challenges that affect the bottom line. In essence, achieving the goals and objectives of lean practices requires an optimal level of external environmental munificence.

The second study delves into the challenges of managing the inbound supply chain for Just-in-Time (JIT) manufacturers. JIT is a production strategy focusing on delivering materials to the manufacturing plant precisely when needed, minimizing stockpiling. This approach reduces inventory costs and enhances efficiency but necessitates a well-coordinated and efficient inbound supply chain. To address these challenges, the study proposes an "integrated production routing model" designed to optimize delivery routes and production schedules for suppliers. The aim is to minimize the cost and environmental impact of inbound shipments while ensuring the manufacturing plant receives materials on time. The model offers several advantages, including reducing the burden on suppliers through improved production and shipment planning, enhancing the firm-supplier relationship, and reducing overall costs. Additionally, it considers environmental considerations, such as carbon emissions, by optimizing delivery routes and schedules.

This dissertation's Study One and Two are interconnected yet distinct in their scopes and methodologies. Study one primarily focuses on examining lean practices at the firm level, specifically investigating the impact of lean inventory management on financial performance. It takes an empirical approach, leveraging real-world data to draw insights into how internal firm environments and external factors influence the impact of lean practices. On the other hand, study two operates at the supply chain level, delving into the complexities of Just-in-Time (JIT) inbound logistics and proposing an integrated production routing model. Unlike the

empirical nature of the first study, the second study adopts a mathematical modeling approach, offering a theoretical framework to optimize delivery routes and production schedules. These studies share the common thread of enhancing efficiency in manufacturing but tackle different facets, with the first focusing on internal operations and the second addressing supply chain dynamics. They complement each other in providing a comprehensive perspective on how lean practices and JIT logistics can be harnessed for improved competitiveness and sustainability in the manufacturing industry.

We drive several managerial implications for operational, supply chain, and strategic management.

- 1. Lean Inventory and External Factors: Firms considering the adoption of lean practices in inventory management should recognize that the benefits primarily manifest through enhanced production efficiency. However, managers must account for the external factors that influence the feasibility and impact of these practices. Understanding the intricacies of the external environment, such as the level of munificence, is essential. This knowledge will enable firms to decide when and how to implement lean inventory practices. Additionally, managers should assess their internal capabilities, including firm size and managerial proficiency, to optimize their resources efficiently.
- 2. Integrated Models for JIT Inbound Logistics: Managers in JIT manufacturing are encouraged to explore and consider adopting integrated models for inbound supply chain management. These models offer a multifaceted advantage that extends beyond mere cost reduction. They provide a unique opportunity to enhance relationships with suppliers. By optimizing production schedules and delivery routes, the burden on suppliers can be substantially reduced. This, in turn, fosters collaborative, mutually beneficial partnerships between manufacturers and suppliers. Furthermore, these

models significantly reduce costs in the inbound supply chain, aligning with sustainability and environmental goals. The resulting reduction in carbon emissions and overall environmental impact is increasingly relevant in today's business landscape.

3. Supporting Lean Practices Effectively: Our research underscores the importance of a thoughtful approach to adopting lean practices. Rather than blindly implementing them, firms should concentrate on developing their capabilities to reap the full benefits of lean principles. This underscores the need for close collaboration between strategic and operations managers and suppliers for long-term success. Strategic managers should prioritize the adoption of new technologies to enhance transparency, thereby improving inventory efficiency and resource utilization. Operational managers should focus on enhancing production efficiency, all while considering the external environment and product characteristics. Our study demonstrates that lean inventory alone may only partially impact production efficiency, emphasizing the importance of identifying additional factors that can provide greater advantages.

In conclusion, adopting lean practices in inventory management and JIT inbound logistics is not merely an operational strategy. It is a strategic decision influenced by both internal and external factors. By carefully considering these factors, firms can navigate the complexities and intricacies of lean practices to achieve their operational, financial, and environmental objectives. Furthermore, embracing integrated supply chain models can enhance the symbiotic relationship between manufacturers and suppliers, paving the way for mutual growth, cost reduction, and a sustainable, eco-conscious future.

While our study offers valuable insights, it is important to acknowledge certain limitations.

One limitation of this study is that it primarily focuses on financial performance, and future research may need to delve deeper into non-financial performance metrics such as customer

satisfaction, quality, and employee well-being to provide a more comprehensive picture of the impact of lean practices. The other limitation is the study's focus on the manufacturing sector. Its applicability to other industries needs further exploration. Moreover, the real-world integration of such models into existing supply chain management systems may pose challenges.

The future research directions for this dissertation are classified into the following categories.

- Lean Practices and Specific Industries: Investigate how lean practices can be adapted
 and tailored to different industries beyond manufacturing, such as healthcare, services,
 and technology sectors.
- 2. Advanced Environmental Considerations: Explore more sophisticated models incorporating environmental concerns and regulations for manufacturing supply chains, considering sustainability and carbon footprint reduction as essential factors.
- **3. Resilience in Lean Supply Chains**: Examine the resilience of lean supply chains, especially in unforeseen disruptions like the COVID-19 pandemic, and develop strategies to enhance supply chain robustness.
- **4. AI and Digital Transformation in Supply Chains**: Investigate how the integration of artificial intelligence, machine learning, and digital technologies can enhance lean practices, especially in terms of demand forecasting, inventory management, and real-time decision-making in supply chains.
- 5. Lean Principles in Buyer-Supplier Relationships: Analyze how lean principles can be extended to foster collaborative and efficient relationships between buyers and suppliers, transcending traditional transactional interactions and enabling just-in-time material flow.

- 6. Lean Concepts in Emerging Fields: In emerging fields like ride-sharing and the hotel industry, future research should focus on exploring the applicability of lean thinking. In these dynamic sectors, where efficient resource allocation and demand fulfillment are paramount, investigating how Lean concepts can be adapted and leveraged to optimize operations and enhance customer experiences is essential. Moreover, examining the integration of Lean principles with Industry 4.0 technologies, including IoT and big data, offers an opportunity to identify innovative business models and gain a competitive edge.
- 7. Cross-Cultural Lean Implementation: Investigate the cultural factors influencing the adoption and effectiveness of lean practices in various regions and the role of cultural adaptation in lean implementation.

These future research directions can contribute to a deeper understanding of lean practices, their adaptability, and their broader implications in various contexts, ultimately leading to more efficient and sustainable business operations.