

ESSAYS ON CORPORATE FINANCIAL DISTRESS AND BANKRUPTCY



A THESIS

**SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS FOR THE
DOCTORAL PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT INDORE**

BY

JAGANNATH M.V.K.

DATE: February 26, 2024

Thesis Advisory Committee

Professor Radha M. Ladkani (Chairperson)

Professor Debasish Maitra (Member)

Professor Mehul Raitthatha (Member)

ESSAYS ON CORPORATE FINANCIAL DISTRESS AND BANKRUPTCY

Abstract

A corporate event such as bankruptcy entails significant externalities – positive or negative on different stakeholders, including suppliers, creditors, lenders, or industry peers. We study the spillovers of corporate bankruptcies on the non-bankrupt firms in the industry. We examine if corporate bankruptcies entail positive externalities through a dominant competition effect or negative externalities through a dominant contagion effect, using different lenses of financial indicators of the non-bankrupt firms in the context of an emerging market like India. We explore the effects of bankruptcy clustering around a period, as against idiosyncratic or isolated bankruptcies, which have been examined in the bankruptcy spillover literature.

In our first essay, we explore the channels through which the spillovers of clustered bankruptcies propagate to the non-bankrupt firms in the industry. We document the dominance of the contagion effect, which propagates through the investment and debt channels. Non-bankrupt firms in industries that witness bankruptcy *waves* exhibit contraction in their incremental investments and have reduced the issuance of additional debt. The findings augment bankruptcy literature that documents the amplification of bankruptcy spillovers gauged through bankruptcy *waves* on interest spreads by extending the effects of such *waves* on the real economy. We find that bankruptcy codes shape the nature of externalities imposed by bankruptcy *waves* on non-bankrupt firms. A creditor-in-control bankruptcy code (Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code – IBC, in the present context) moderates the contagion of clustered bankruptcies. Furthermore, firms that are small, financially unconstrained, or belong to concentrated industries are relatively immune to the contagion of clustered bankruptcies on their incremental investment. Similarly, profitable or low-risk firms are not subject to the contagion of clustered bankruptcies as they do not exhibit any contraction in their incremental debt. The results are robust to several tests, including endogeneity (instrumental variable approach) and exclusion of macroeconomic and contemporaneous policy shocks.

In the second essay, we study the effect of bankruptcy clustering, assessed through the number of bankruptcies in an industry year, on non-bankrupt firms' stock price crash risk (SPCR). We document a positive relationship between the number of bankruptcies and non-bankrupt firm's SPCR. The increase in SPCR is more pronounced in financially constrained and financially distressed non-bankrupt firms. The results indicate that the financial constraints among non-bankrupt firms induced by the spillover of multiple bankruptcies in the industry and the resultant impairment in financial flexibility causes such firms to withhold bad news to prevent the exacerbation of their problems. As the sustained withholding of bad news and their revelation at once leads to a crash in the stock price, non-bankrupt firms witnessing multiple bankruptcies in their industry in a period exhibit a positive relationship with SPCR.

In the third essay, we explore if there are any wealth effects of bankruptcy announcements on non-bankrupt firms in the same industry under a creditor-in-control bankruptcy regime (IBC). We observe that the competition effect is dominant as the non-bankrupt firms experience positive wealth effects (valuation gains) around bankruptcy announcements of firms in their industry. The cross-sectional regression results show that the non-bankrupt firm's asset tangibility and lower distress risk contribute to the dominant competition effect. On the other hand, large-sized bankruptcies contribute to a contagion effect of bankruptcy announcements,

characterized by negative wealth effects (valuation losses). Our study considers the role of the environmental dimension of *munificence* and finds that *munificent* environments facilitate valuation gains for non-bankrupt firms around bankruptcy announcements in their industry.

Overall, our empirical study shows that bankruptcies clustered around a period have a pronounced effect on non-bankrupt firms in the industry. Bankruptcy codes play a significant role in shaping the externalities of bankruptcy spillovers. A creditor-in-control code moderates the negative externalities (contagion) of peer-firm bankruptcy on non-bankrupt firms.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

2SLS	2-Stage Least Square
AR	Abnormal Returns
AQR	Asset Quality Review
BHAR	Buy and Hold Abnormal Return
BIFR	Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction
CAR	Cumulative Abnormal Return
CDR	Corporate Debt Restructuring
CIN	Corporate Identification Number
CIRP	Corporate Insolvency Resolution Process
CMIE	Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy
CR4	Four-firm concentration
CRA	Credit Rating Agencies
DRT	Debt Recovery Tribunal
DTURN	Detrended Turnover
DUVOL	Down-to-Up Volatility
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GFC	Global Financial Crisis
GMM	Generalized Method of Moments
HHI	Herfindahl-Hirschman Index
IBBI	Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India
IBC	Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code
ICR	Interest Coverage Ratio
INR	Indian National Rupees
LLP	Limited Liability Partnership
M & A	Merger & Acquisition
MD & CEO	Managing Director & Chief Executive Officer
NCLT	National Company Law Tribunal
NCSKEW	Negative Conditional Skewness
NIC	National Identification Code
NPA	Non-Performing Asset
NPV	Net Present Value
PCA	Prompt Corrective Action
OLS	Ordinary Least Square
RBI	Reserve Bank of India
ROA	Return on Assets
S&P	Standard & Poor's
SARFAESI	Securitization and Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interests
SEBI	Securities and Exchange Board of India
SICA	Sick Industrial Companies Act
SPCR	Stock Price Crash Risk
USD	United States Dollar
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor

CONTENTS

	TITLE	PAGE
	Abstract	2
	Acknowledgement	4
	List of Abbreviations	6
	Contents	7
	List of Tables	9
CHAPTER 1	INTRODUCTION	
	1.1 Background of the study	12
	1.2 The rationale of the study	16
	1.3 Scope and Objectives of the study	19
	1.4 Organization of the study	20
	1.5 Appendix	23
CHAPTER 2	EFFECTS OF BANKRUPTCY FILINGS AND CREDITOR RIGHTS ON INVESTMENT AND DEBT OF NON-BANKRUPT FIRMS	
	2.1 Introduction	27
	2.2 Review of Literature	31
	2.2.1 Effect of bankruptcy spillovers on Investment	31
	2.2.2 Effect of bankruptcy spillovers on issuance of Debt	35
	2.2.3 Bankruptcy laws, creditor rights, and bankruptcy spillovers	37
	2.2.4. Background of bankruptcy laws and creditor rights in India	41
	2.3 Data and Methodology	43
	2.3.1 Variables	46
	2.3.2 Empirical Models	48
	2.4 Results	50
	2.4.1 Effect of bankruptcy waves and bankruptcy laws on the Investment of non-bankrupt peers	50
	2.4.2 Effect of bankruptcy waves and bankruptcy laws on the Debt of non-bankrupt peers	57
	2.4.3 Causes of the reduction in Investment during bankruptcy waves	62
	2.4.4 Additional tests for Investment and Debt Channels	64
	2.5 Conclusion	71
	2.6 Tables	82
CHAPTER 3	BANKRUPTCY SPILLOVERS AND STOCK PRICE CRASH RISK OF NON-BANKRUPT FIRMS: EVIDENCE FROM INDIA	
	3.1 Introduction	113
	3.2 Review of Literature & Development of Hypothesis	116

	3.2.1 Bankruptcy spillovers and crash risk	116
	3.2.2 Background of creditor rights and bankruptcy resolution in India	119
	3.2.3 Bankruptcy Code, creditors rights, bankruptcy spillovers, and crash risk	121
	3.2.4 Firm Opacity and crash risk	125
	3.2.5 Firm Monitoring and crash risk	127
	3.3 Data	130
	3.4 Methodology	132
	3.5 Results	136
	3.5.1 Results of base and augmented model	136
	3.5.2 Effect of bankruptcy code, firm opacity, and monitoring during bankruptcy-induced spillovers	139
	3.5.3 Additional tests	141
	3.5.4 Scope for further enquiry	151
	3.6 Conclusion	154
	3.7 Tables	164
CHAPTER 4	SPILOVER EFFECTS OF CORPORATE BANKRUPTCIES IN A CREDITOR-IN-CONTROL BANKRUPTCY REGIME	
	4.1 Introduction	182
	4.2 Review of Literature	186
	4.2.1 Contagion versus Competition effects on bankruptcy announcements	186
	4.2.2 Spillover of bankruptcy announcements and firm characteristics	189
	4.2.3 Development of Hypothesis	189
	4.2.4 Environment and Firm Performance	192
	4.3 Brief Background of the Bankruptcy regime in India	195
	4.4 Data & Methodology	196
	4.4.1 Data	196
	4.4.2 Methodology	198
	4.5 Results and Discussion	201
	4.5.1 t-test for difference of means	201
	4.5.2 Cross-sectional Regression	205
	4.5.3 Additional Tests	215
	4.5.4 Practical Implications	218
	4.6 Conclusion	218
	4.7 Tables	223
CHAPTER 5	CONCLUSION	
	5.1 Introduction	246
	5.2 Implications	248
	5.3 Scope for future research	249
	5.4 Limitations	250
	5.5 Conclusion	250

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE	TITLE	PAGE
Appendix 1. A	Summary of cases under CIRP as of Dec 31, 2022	23
Appendix 1. B	Summary of data waterfall mechanism in the three essays	23
Appendix 1. C	Top 10 firms that have undergone resolution or liquidation under CIRP	24
Table 2.1	Year-wise break up of bankruptcies	82
Table 2.2	Definition of Variables	83
Table 2.3	Summary statistics of variables	85
Table 2.4	Pair-wise correlation of variables	86
Table 2.5	Impact of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> and the Bankruptcy Code on the incremental investments of non-bankrupt firms	87
Table 2.6	Instrumental variable (2SLS) regression – Investment channel	88
Table 2.7	Firm size and the influence of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> and Bankruptcy Code on the incremental investment of non-bankrupt firms	89
Table 2.8	Industry concentration (competition) and the influence of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> , Bankruptcy Code on the incremental investment of non-bankrupt firms	90
Table 2.9	Financial constraints and the influence of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> , Bankruptcy Code on the incremental investment of non-bankrupt firms	91
Table 2.10	Impact of Bankruptcy <i>waves</i> and Bankruptcy Code on the incremental debt of non-bankrupt firms	92
Table 2.11	Instrumental variable (2SLS) regression – Debt channel	93
Table 2.12	Firm Size and the influence of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> , Bankruptcy Code on the incremental debt of non-bankrupt firms	95
Table 2.13	The moderating role of firm profitability and firm risk on the spillovers of bankruptcy <i>waves</i> on the incremental debt of non-bankrupt firms	96
Table 2.14	Asset Specificity and the influence of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> , Bankruptcy Code on the incremental investment of non-bankrupt firms	97
Table 2.15	Falsification tests considering <i>pseudo waves</i> 3 and 4 before and after actual Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i>	98
Table 2.16A	Alternate form of <i>Waves</i> – based on Bankruptcy Rate for Investment Channel	100
Table 2.16B	Alternate form of <i>Waves</i> – based on Bankruptcy Rate for Debt Channel	101
Table 2.17A	Alternate form of <i>Waves</i> – based on Bankruptcy Magnitude for Investment Channel (bankruptcy wave threshold at 3 bps)	102
Table 2.17B	Alternate form of <i>Waves</i> – based on Bankruptcy Magnitude for Investment Channel (bankruptcy wave threshold at 5 bps)	103
Table 2.17C	Alternate form of <i>Waves</i> – based on Bankruptcy Magnitude for Debt Channel (bankruptcy wave threshold at 3 bps)	104

Table 2.17D	Alternate form of Waves – based on Bankruptcy Magnitude for Debt Channel (bankruptcy wave threshold at 5 bps)	105
Table 2.18	An alternative measure of explanatory variable – <i>Cluster</i>	106
Table 2.19	Impact of Bankruptcy <i>Waves</i> and the Bankruptcy Code on the incremental investments of non-bankrupt firms using alternative measure of investment	107
Table 2.20	Additional test – exclusion of Industry downturns	108
Table 2.21	Additional test – exclusion of macro-economic shocks	110
Table 3.1	Definition of Variables	164
Table 3.2	Descriptive Statistics of Variables	166
Table 3.3	Pair-wise correlation between variables	167
Table 3.4	Impact of multiple bankruptcies on the crash risk of non-bankrupt firms	168
Table 3.5	Bankruptcy Law, Firm Opacity, Firm Monitoring, and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR	170
Table 3.6	Firm Monitoring by lenders and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR	171
Table 3.7	Financial constraint and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR	171
Table 3.8	Financial distress and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR	172
Table 3.9A	Industry concentration and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR	173
Table 3.9B	Industry concentration and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR – alternate measure of industry concentration (Boone Index)	174
Table 3.10	Tests for endogeneity using double clustering of standard errors and system-GMM estimator	175
Table 3.11	Alternative Measure of the dependent variable (Ncrash)	176
Table 3.12	Relationship between Stock Price Crash Risk and Bankruptcy clustering using alternative definitions of clustering.	177
Table 3.13	Endogeneity concerns arising from macroeconomic events and industry downturns	177
Table 3.14	Effect of Promoter holding % and Promoter’s Managerial position on the relationship between bankruptcy spillovers and SPCR	178
Table 3.15	Effect of Manager’s multiple directorships on the relationship between bankruptcy spillovers and SPCR	179
Appendix 3. A	Industry-wise break-up of bankruptcies	180
Appendix 3. B	Promoter stakes and effect of bankruptcy spillovers on SPCR	181
Table 4.1	Year-wise break-up of bankruptcies under IBC	223
Table 4.2	Definition of Variables	223
Table 4.3	Descriptive Statistics of variables	226
Table 4.4	Pair-wise correlation among variables	227
Table 4.5	t-test for the cross-section of Cumulative Abnormal Returns (CARs) – for bankruptcy announcements	228
Table 4.6	Comparison of mean CARs of subsamples bifurcated based on firm-, environment-, and bankruptcy event-specific characteristics	228
Table 4.7	Cross-sectional regression of non-bankrupt firm CAR on firm-, industry- and bankruptcy-related factors	231

Table 4.8	Financial constraints and spillover of bankruptcy announcements on non-bankrupt peers	233
Table 4.9	Firm risk, solvency, and spillovers of bankruptcy announcements on non-bankrupt firms	235
Table 4.10	Firm Opacity and spillovers of bankruptcy announcements on non-bankrupt firms	237
Table 4.11	Bankruptcy event-Specific Characteristics Affecting the shareholder wealth of non-bankrupt industry Peers on bankruptcy announcements	239
Table 4.12	Robustness tests using an alternative indicator of financial distress– Rating downgrades to ‘Default’ (D) Rating by Credit Rating Agency	241
Table 4.13	Robustness tests using an alternate event window (7-day event window)	242
Table 4.14	Robustness tests using alternate measures of AR and CAR	243
Table 4.15	Cross-sectional regression of non-bankrupt firm CAR on firm-, industry- and bankruptcy-related factors by using of alternate measure of Bankruptcy Magnitude	244
Appendix 4.A	Cross-sectional regression of non-bankrupt firm CAR on firm-, industry- and bankruptcy-related factors by using alternate measure of Industry Downturns	245

- d. Investigate whether interfirm heterogeneity causes variation in the effect of bankruptcy spillover among non-bankrupt firms.
- e. Examine if a change in bankruptcy code from debtor-in-possession to creditor-in-control regime affects the spillovers of bankruptcy on non-bankrupt firms.
- f. Examine and delineate the factors at the firm-, industry-, and macroeconomic levels that determine the relative dominance of the two opposing effects of bankruptcy spillovers, i.e., contagion versus competition effects.

1.4 Organization of the study

We organize the thesis as follows. In the second chapter (Essay 1), we examine the select channels (investment and debt) through which spillovers of clustered bankruptcies propagate in an industry. In the third chapter (Essay 2), we investigate how the clustering of bankruptcies affects the stock price crash risk of the non-bankrupt firms in the industry. The fourth chapter (Essay 3) looks into the wealth effects of bankruptcy announcements on non-bankrupt firms in the same industry under a creditor-in-control bankruptcy code. We conclude with the fifth chapter by summarizing the findings of the three essays, delineating the implications from managerial and policy perspectives, and also describing the study's limitations and the scope for further work.

References

- Ahamed, M. M., & Mallick, S. (2017). Does regulatory forbearance matter for bank stability? Evidence from creditors' perspective. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 28, 163-180.
- Baranchuk, N., & Rebello, M. J. (2018). Spillovers from good-news and other bankruptcies: Real effects and price responses. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 129(2), 228-249.

- Benmelech, E., & Bergman, N. K. (2011). Bankruptcy and the collateral channel. *The Journal of Finance*, 66(2), 337-378.
- Bhaumik, S. K., Dang, V., & Kutan, A. M. (2011). Implications of bank ownership for the credit channel of monetary policy transmission: Evidence from India. *Journal of banking & Finance*, 35(9), 2418-2428.
- Casterella, J. R., Desir, R., Stallings, M. A., & Wainberg, J. S. (2020). Information transfer of bankruptcy announcements: Examining the impact of auditor opinions. *Accounting Horizons*, 34(1), 45-66.
- Chang, Y., Hsieh, Y. T., Liu, W., & Miu, P. (2020). Intra-industry bankruptcy contagion: Evidence from the pricing of industry recovery rates. *European Financial Management*, 26(2), 503-534.
- Datta, S., & Iskandar-Datta, M. E. (1995). The information content of bankruptcy filing on security holders of the bankrupt firm: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 19(5), 903-919.
- Fan, J. P., Huang, J., & Zhu, N. (2013). Institutions, ownership structures, and distress resolution in China. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 23, 71-87.
- Ferris, S. P., Jayaraman, N., & Makhija, A. K. (1997). The response of competitors to announcements of bankruptcy: An empirical examination of contagion and competitive effects. *Journal of corporate finance*, 3(4), 367-395.
- Garcia-Appendini, E. (2018). Financial distress and competitors' investment. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 51, 182-209.
- Haw, I. M., Song, B. Y., Tan, W., & Wang, W. (2021). Bankruptcy, overlapping directors, and bank loan pricing. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 71, 102097.
- He, G., & Ren, H. M. (2022). Are financially constrained firms susceptible to a stock price crash? *The European Journal of Finance*, 1-26.

- Hertzel, M. G., & Officer, M. S. (2012). Industry contagion in loan spreads. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 103(3), 493-506.
- Hutton, A. P., Marcus, A. J., & Tehranian, H. (2009). Opaque financial reports, R2, and crash risk. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(1), 67-86.
- Iqbal, Z. (2002). The effects of bankruptcy filings on the competitors' earnings. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 11(1), 85-99.
- Jin, L., & Myers, S. C. (2006). R2 around the world: New theory and new tests. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 79(2), 257-292.
- Krzeczewska, O., & Pastusiak, R. (2022). Does bankruptcy filing always mean contagion? Evidence from industry rivals. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 27(1), 1357-1366.
- Lang, L. H., & Stulz, R. (1992). Contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements: An empirical analysis. *Journal of financial economics*, 32(1), 45-60.
- Le, N., & Ngo, P. T. (2022). Intra-industry spillover effects: Evidence from bankruptcy filings. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 49(7-8), 1113-1144.
- Leary, M. T., & Roberts, M. R. (2014). Do peer firms affect corporate financial policy?. *The Journal of Finance*, 69(1), 139-178.
- Rogers, J. L., Schrand, C. M., & Zechman, S. L. (2014). Do managers tacitly collude to withhold industry-wide bad news?. *Chicago booth research paper*, (13-12).
- Sahoo, M. S., & Guru, A. (2020). Indian Insolvency Law. *Vikalpa*, 45(2), 69-78.
- Wang, C. A. (2012). Determinants of the choice of formal bankruptcy procedure: An international comparison of reorganization and liquidation. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 48(2), 4-28.

Appendix 1. A: Summary of cases under CIRP as of Dec 31, 2022 (Amount in INR Crore)

Number of firms admitted under CIRP of IBC		6199
	Resolution	Liquidation
Number of firms resolved/liquidated	611	1901
Aggregate admitted claims	832624	862313
Average admitted claims	1362.72	453.61
The average number of days taken for resolution/liquidation from the date of admission	587	444
Number of firms undergoing CIRP		3687

Appendix 1. B: Summary of the data waterfall mechanism in the three essays:

In the second chapter (first essay), we identify bankrupt firms as those that have filed for bankruptcy either under the BIFR or IBC during the period 2001 to 2021. We drop firms engaged in financial services or limited liability partnerships or whose unique identification code (Corporate Identification Number or CIN) is unavailable. From the remaining firms, we drop firms whose industry code (National Identification Code or NIC) from the Prowess database of the Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE) is not available. We identify the non-bankrupt firms that belong to the same industry as that of the bankrupt firm based on the first 3-digit NIC. We drop such non-bankrupt firms for which data pertaining to either of the dependent, independent, or control variables are unavailable or missing. Our final sample of bankrupt firms under either bankruptcy regime comprise eight hundred eighty-five firms during the sample period. The Data and Methodology section (Section 3) of the first essay elaborates on the data waterfall mechanism.

We follow a data selection methodology in the third chapter (second essay) similar to that of the second chapter (first essay). The sample period in the second essay is from 2010 to 2021, and the non-bankrupt firms are identified based on the same Fama-French 48 industry

classification. The number of bankrupt firms during the sample period is three hundred and thirteen. We explain the data waterfall mechanism in Section 3 (Data) of the second essay.

In the fourth chapter (third essay), we arrive at the sample of bankrupt firms and non-bankrupt firms in the following manner. From the list of firms filing for bankruptcy under the CIRP of IBC, we drop private limited and limited liability partnership firms. We drop unlisted public limited firms from the remaining sample of bankrupt firms. Furthermore, we drop listed bankrupt firms engaged in financial services. Additionally, we drop such bankrupt firms belonging to the same industry whose admission to CIRP is announced within a gap of 7 days to avoid the results being affected by confounded effects. We identify non-bankrupt firms based on the first 3-digit NIC. Firms with missing financial data and illiquid trading are dropped from the list of non-bankrupt firms. We arrive at the final sample of the bankrupt firms comprising 271 listed firms. We provide a detailed explanation of the data waterfall mechanism in Section 4a (Data) of the fourth chapter (third essay).

Appendix 1. C: Top 10 firms in terms of admitted claims that have undergone resolution or liquidation under CIRP.

Resolution		Liquidation	
Company	Admitted Claims (in INR Crore)	Company	Admitted Claims (in INR Crore)
Dewan Housing Finance Corporation Limited	87247.68	Lanco Infratech Limited	53451.00
Bhushan Steel Limited	57505.05	Parasrampuriah Synthetics Limited	23498.21
Essar Steel India Limited	54565.22	ABG Shipyard Ltd	19316.68
Bhushan Power & Steel Limited	47901.61	Athena Energy Ventures Private Limited	15101.30
Reliance Infratel Limited	42394.16	IVRCL Limited	14096.10
Aircel Limited	36101.92	Punj Lloyd Limited	13389.61
Lanco Thermal Power Limited	33331.13	Bharati Defence and Infrastructure Limited	11866.63
Alok Industries Limited	30706.69	Corporate Power Limited	11770.67
Jet Airways (India) Limited	15432.33	Firestar International Limited	10811.61

Electrosteel Steels Limited	13958.36	Pinky Shipyard Private Limited	10700.70
Total	419144.15		184002.51

bankruptcy laws can impact the propagation of such effects. Also, the usage of debt channel to gauge the transmission of spillovers is crucial in the context of an economy like India wherein bank debt remains a predominant source of credit for firms (Bhaumik et al., 2011).

References

- Acharya, V. V., Bharath, S. T., & Srinivasan, A. (2007). Does industry-wide distress affect defaulted firms? Evidence from creditor recoveries. *Journal of financial economics*, 85(3), 787-821.
- Agarwal, S., & Singhvi, B. (2023). Creditor-controlled insolvency and firm financing—Evidence from India. *Finance Research Letters*, 54, 103813.
- Ahamed, M. M., & Mallick, S. (2017). Does regulatory forbearance matter for bank stability? Evidence from creditors' perspective. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 28, 163-180.
- Almeida, H., Campello, M., & Weisbach, M. S. (2004). The cash flow sensitivity of cash. *The journal of finance*, 59(4), 1777-1804.
- Almeida, H., & Philippon, T. (2007). The risk-adjusted cost of financial distress. *The Journal of Finance*, 62(6), 2557-2586.
- Almeida, H., Campello, M., Laranjeira, B., & Weisbenner, S. (2009). *Corporate debt maturity and the real effects of the 2007 credit crisis* (No. w14990). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Altman, E. I. (2005). An emerging market credit scoring system for corporate bonds. *Emerging markets review*, 6(4), 311-323.

- Bandyopadhyay, A. (2006). Predicting probability of default of Indian corporate bonds: logistic and Z-score model approaches. *The Journal of Risk Finance*.
- Baranchuk, N., & Rebello, M. J. (2018). Spillovers from good-news and other bankruptcies: Real effects and price responses. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 129(2), 228-249.
- Becker, B., & Strömberg, P. (2012). Fiduciary duties and equity-debtholder conflicts. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 25(6), 1931-1969.
- Benkard, C. L., Yurukoglu, A., & Zhang, A. L. (2021). *Concentration in product markets* (No. w28745). National Bureau of Economic Research.
- Benmelech, E., & Bergman, N. K. (2011). Bankruptcy and the collateral channel. *The Journal of Finance*, 66(2), 337-378.
- Bhaumik, S. K., Dang, V., & Kutan, A. M. (2011). Implications of bank ownership for the credit channel of monetary policy transmission: Evidence from India. *Journal of banking & Finance*, 35(9), 2418-2428.
- Billett, M. T., Esmer, B., & Yu, M. (2018). Creditor control and product-market competition. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 86, 87-100.
- Boone, A. L., & Ivanov, V. I. (2012). Bankruptcy spillover effects on strategic alliance partners. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 103(3), 551-569.
- Bose, U., Filomeni, S., & Mallick, S. (2021). Does bankruptcy law improve the fate of distressed firms? The role of credit channels. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 68, 101836.

- Carvalho, D. (2015). Financing constraints and the amplification of aggregate downturns. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 28(9), 2463-2501.
- Chaney, T., Sraer, D., & Thesmar, D. (2012). The collateral channel: How real estate shocks affect corporate investment. *American Economic Review*, 102(6), 2381-2409.
- Chang, Y., Hsieh, Y. T., Liu, W., & Miu, P. (2020). Intra-industry bankruptcy contagion: Evidence from the pricing of industry recovery rates. *European Financial Management*, 26(2), 503-534.
- Chen, S. S., & Wang, Y. (2012). Financial constraints and share repurchases. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 105(2), 311-331.
- Chen, L., & Zhao, X. (2006). On the relation between the market-to-book ratio, growth opportunity, and leverage ratio. *Finance Research Letters*, 3(4), 253-266.
- Cho, S. S., El Ghouli, S., Guedhami, O., & Suh, J. (2014). Creditor rights and capital structure: Evidence from international data. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 25, 40-60.
- Chopra, Y., Subramanian, K., & Tantri, P. L. (2021). Bank cleanups, capitalization, and lending: Evidence from India. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 34(9), 4132-4176.
- Claessens, S., & Klapper, L. F. (2005). Bankruptcy around the world: explanations of its relative use. *American Law and Economics Review*, 7(1), 253-283.
- Closset, F., & Urban, D. (2019). The balance of power between creditors and the firm: Evidence from German insolvency law. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 58, 454-477.

- Datta, S., & Iskandar-Datta, M. E. (1995). The information content of bankruptcy filing on security holders of the bankrupt firm: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 19(5), 903-919.
- Dang, C., Li, Z. F., & Yang, C. (2018). Measuring firm size in empirical corporate finance. *Journal of banking & finance*, 86, 159-176.
- Djankov, S., McLiesh, C., & Shleifer, A. (2007). Private credit in 129 countries. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84(2), 299-329.
- Duchin, R., Ozbas, O., & Sensoy, B. A. (2010). Costly external finance, corporate investment, and the subprime mortgage credit crisis. *Journal of financial economics*, 97(3), 418-435.
- Ehie, I. C., & Olibe, K. (2010). The effect of R&D investment on firm value: An examination of US manufacturing and service industries. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 128(1), 127-135.
- Falavigna, G., & Ippoliti, R. (2022). Financial constraints, investments, and environmental strategies: An empirical analysis of judicial barriers. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 31(5), 2002-2018.
- Fan, J. P., Wei, K. J., & Xu, X. (2011). Corporate finance and governance in emerging markets: A selective review and an agenda for future research. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 17(2), 207-214.
- Favara, G., Morellec, E., Schroth, E., & Valta, P. (2017). Debt enforcement, investment, and risk taking across countries. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 123(1), 22-41.

- Ferris, S. P., Jayaraman, N., & Makhija, A. K. (1997). The response of competitors to announcements of bankruptcy: An empirical examination of contagion and competitive effects. *Journal of corporate finance*, 3(4), 367-395.
- Foucault, T., & Fresard, L. (2014). Learning from peers' stock prices and corporate investment. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 111(3), 554-577.
- Fresard, L. (2010). Financial strength and product market behavior: The real effects of corporate cash holdings. *The Journal of finance*, 65(3), 1097-1122.
- Frésard, L., & Valta, P. (2016). How does corporate investment respond to increased entry threat?. *The Review of Corporate Finance Studies*, 5(1), 1-35.
- Funchal, B. (2008). The effects of the 2005 bankruptcy reform in Brazil. *Economics Letters*, 101(1), 84-86.
- Gala, V. D., & Julio, B. (2016). Firm size and corporate investment. *Available at SSRN 1787350*.
- Gan, J. (2007). Collateral, debt capacity, and corporate investment: Evidence from a natural experiment. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(3), 709-734.
- Garcia-Appendini, E. (2018). Financial distress and competitors' investment. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 51, 182-209.
- Ghosh, S. (2022). Creditor rights and lending relationships. *Applied Economics Letters*, 1-5.
- Gilbert, R. J., & Harris, R. G. (1981). Investment decisions with economies of scale and learning. *The American Economic Review*, 71(2), 172-177.

- Gonzalez, F. (2020). Creditor rights, financial health, and corporate investment efficiency. *The North American Journal of Economics and Finance*, 51, 100873.
- Gopalan, R., & Xie, K. (2011). Conglomerates and industry distress. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 24(11), 3642-3687.
- Gopalan, R., Mukherjee, A., & Singh, M. (2016). Do debt contract enforcement costs affect financing and asset structure? *The Review of Financial Studies*, 29(10), 2774-2813.
- Gormley, T., Gupta, N., & Jha, A. (2018). Quiet life no more? corporate bankruptcy and bank competition. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 53(2), 581-611.
- Gungoraydinoglu, A., & Öztekin, Ö. (2011). Firm-and country-level determinants of corporate leverage: Some new international evidence. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 17(5), 1457-1474.
- Hadlock, C. J., & Pierce, J. R. (2010). New evidence on measuring financial constraints: Moving beyond the KZ index. *The review of financial studies*, 23(5), 1909-1940.
- Haensly, P. J., Theis, J., & Swanson, Z. (2001). Reassessment of contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements. *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, 45-63.
- Hall, T. W. (2012). The collateral channel: Evidence on leverage and asset tangibility. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 18(3), 570-583.
- Haw, I. M., Song, B. Y., Tan, W., & Wang, W. (2021). Bankruptcy, overlapping directors, and bank loan pricing. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 71, 102097.

- Hertzel, M. G., & Officer, M. S. (2012). Industry contagion in loan spreads. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 103(3), 493-506.
- Houston, J. F., Lin, C., & Zhu, Z. (2016). The financial implications of supply chain changes. *Management Science*, 62(9), 2520-2542.
- Hu, X., Luo, H., Xu, Z., & Li, J. (2021). Intra-industry spill-over effect of default: Evidence from the Chinese bond market. *Accounting & Finance*, 61(3), 4703-4740.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behavior, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of financial economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
- Jha, A., Shankar, S., & Arvi, L. (2014). Access to bank loans while in bankruptcy: the role of single vs. multiple banking relations. *Managerial Finance*.
- Jorion, P., & Zhang, G. (2007). Good and bad credit contagion: Evidence from credit default swaps. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84(3), 860-883.
- Jose, J., Herwadkar, S. S., Bilantu, P., & Razak, S. A. (2020). Does greater creditor protection affect firm borrowings? Evidence from IBC. *Margin: The Journal of Applied Economic Research*, 14(2), 212-225.
- Kariya, A. (2021). Borrowing from government owned banks & firm's liquidation risk. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 69, 101982.
- Keil, J. (2017). The trouble with approximating industry concentration from Compustat. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 45, 467-479.

- Kolay, M., Lemmon, M., & Tashjian, E. (2016). Spreading the misery? Sources of bankruptcy spillover in the supply chain. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 51(6), 1955-1990.
- Lang, L. H., & Stulz, R. (1992). Contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements: An empirical analysis. *Journal of financial economics*, 32(1), 45-60.
- Le, N., & Ngo, P. T. (2022). Intra-industry spillover effects: Evidence from bankruptcy filings. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 49(7-8), 1113-1144.
- Lei, J., Qiu, J., Wan, C., & Yu, F. (2021). Credit risk spillovers and cash holdings. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 68, 101965.
- Lemmon, M., & Roberts, M. R. (2010). The response of corporate financing and investment to changes in the supply of credit. *Journal of Financial and quantitative analysis*, 45(3), 555-587.
- Meehan, J. W., & Duchesneau, T. D. (1973). The critical level of concentration: An empirical analysis. *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 21-36.
- Mo, K., Suvankulov, F., & Griffiths, S. (2021). Financial distress and commodity hedging: Evidence from Canadian oil firms. *Energy Economics*, 97, 105162.
- Myers, S. C. (1977). Determinants of corporate borrowing. *Journal of financial economics*, 5(2), 147-175.

- Naumovska, I., & Lavie, D. (2021). When an industry peer is accused of financial misconduct: Stigma versus competition effects on non-accused firms. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 66(4), 1130-1172.
- Oliveira, M., Kadapakkam, P. R., & Beyhaghi, M. (2017). Effects of customer financial distress on supplier capital structure. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 42, 131-149.
- Opler, T. C., & Titman, S. (1994). Financial distress and corporate performance. *The Journal of finance*, 49(3), 1015-1040.
- Petersen, M. A. (2008). Estimating standard errors in finance panel data sets: Comparing approaches. *The Review of financial studies*, 22(1), 435-480.
- Ponticelli, J., & Alencar, L. S. (2016). Court enforcement, bank loans, and firm investment: evidence from a bankruptcy reform in Brazil. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 131(3), 1365-1413.
- Qi, Y., Roth, L., & Wald, J. (2017). Creditor protection laws, debt financing, and corporate investment over the business cycle. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48, 477-497.
- Qian, J., & Strahan, P. E. (2007). How laws and institutions shape financial contracts: The case of bank loans. *The Journal of Finance*, 62(6), 2803-2834.
- Raithatha, M., & Popli, M. (2022). Persistence of past: Impact of historical institutions on corporate risk taking. *Finance Research Letters*, 45, 102195.
- Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. *The journal of Finance*, 50(5), 1421-1460.

- Safavian, M., & Sharma, S. (2007). When do creditor rights work? *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 35(3), 484-508.
- Sahoo, P., & Bishnoi, A. (2023). Drivers of corporate investment in India: The role of firm-specific factors and macroeconomic policy. *Economic Modelling*, 125, 106330.
- Schoenherr, D., & Starmans, J. (2022). When Should Bankruptcy Law Be Creditor-or Debtor-Friendly? Theory and Evidence. *The Journal of Finance*, 77(5), 2669-2717.
- Singh, R., Chauhan, Y., & Jadiyappa, N. (2022). Bankruptcy reform and corporate risk-taking: Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment. *Finance Research Letters*, 47, 102679.
- Singh, R., Jadiyappa, N., & Sisodia, G. (2021). Bankruptcy law, creditors' rights and financing choices: Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in India. *Applied Economics*, 53(52), 6036-6042.
- Uhrig-Homburg, M. (2005). Cash-flow shortage as an endogenous bankruptcy reason. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 29(6), 1509-1534.
- Vig, V. (2013). Access to collateral and corporate debt structure: Evidence from a natural experiment. *The Journal of Finance*, 68(3), 881-928.
- Wang, C. A. (2012). Determinants of the choice of formal bankruptcy procedure: An international comparison of reorganization and liquidation. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 48(2), 4-28.
- Wang, M., Han, M., & Huang, W. (2020). Debt and stock price crash risk in weak information environment. *Finance Research Letters*, 33, 101186.

Xing, K., Luo, D., & Liu, L. (2023). Macroeconomic conditions, corporate default, and default clustering. *Economic Modelling*, 118, 106079.

Zhang, E. Q. (2022). Why are distressed firms acquisitive? *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 72, 102126.

2.6 Tables

Table 2.1: Year-wise break up of bankruptcies

Year	Number of bankruptcies	Total Assets of bankrupt firms (in INR billion)
2001	114	116.85
2002	88	95.58
2003	60	36.98
2004	57	94.45
2005	30	28.15
2006	23	35.33
2007	15	23.38
2008	13	12.20
2009	11	12.90
2010	14	34.02
2011	18	40.21
2012	25	89.17
2013	28	123.63
2014	27	209.98
2015	66	463.10
2016	51	398.07
2017	75	3620.21
2018	99	1344.91
2019	64	529.13
2020	6	20.65
2021	1	2.70
Total	885	7331.6

The Table exhibits the year-wise break-up of bankruptcies from 2001 to 2021 and the aggregate assets of the bankrupt firms.

constrained or distressed firms are more prone to withhold bad news in expectation of a turnaround in the short run. Also, a manager who is not a promoter is more likely to withhold bad news for fear of possible termination. Similarly, firms with dispersed ownership, or where promoter holding is less than 50%, are more susceptible to stock price crashes during bankruptcy-induced spillovers.

Our empirical study is helpful to policymakers in assessing whether the recently enacted bankruptcy code is effective in achieving the objectives and to academics and practitioners alike. Furthermore, the study also sheds light on the role of ownership structure and board characteristics on the possible influence on the relationship between bankruptcy spillovers and SPCR.

Reference

- Addoum, J. M., Kumar, A., Le, N., & Niessen-Ruenzi, A. (2020). Local bankruptcy and geographic contagion in the bank loan market. *Review of Finance*, 24(5), 997-1037.
- Agarwal, S., & Singhvi, B. (2023). Creditor-controlled insolvency and firm financing—Evidence from India. *Finance Research Letters*, 54, 103813.
- Ahamed, M. M., & Mallick, S. (2017). Does regulatory forbearance matter for bank stability? Evidence from creditors' perspective. *Journal of Financial Stability*, 28, 163-180.
- Ahn, M., Bonsall, S. B., & Van Buskirk, A. (2019). Do managers withhold bad news from credit rating agencies?. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 24, 972-1021.
- Ahn, S., & Choi, W. (2009). The role of bank monitoring in corporate governance: Evidence from borrowers' earnings management behavior. *Journal of banking & finance*, 33(2), 425-434.
- Altman, E. I. (2005). An emerging market credit scoring system for corporate bonds. *Emerging markets review*, 6(4), 311-323.
- Andreou, C. K., Andreou, P. C., & Lambertides, N. (2021). Financial distress risk and stock price crashes. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 67, 101870.

- Andreou, P. C., Lambertides, N., & Magidou, M. (2022). A critique of the agency theory viewpoint of stock price crash risk: The opacity and overinvestment channels. *British Journal of Management*.
- Arellano, M., & Bond, S. (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *The review of economic studies*, 58(2), 277-297.
- Arellano, M., & Bover, O. (1995). Another look at the instrumental variable estimation of error-components models. *Journal of econometrics*, 68(1), 29-51.
- Baginski, S. P., Campbell, J. L., Hinson, L. A., & Koo, D. S. (2018). Do career concerns affect the delay of bad news disclosure? *The Accounting Review*, 93(2), 61-95.
- Benmelech, E., Kandel, E., & Veronesi, P. (2010). Stock-based compensation and CEO (dis)incentives. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 125(4), 1769-1820.
- Berger, A. N., & DeYoung, R. (1997). Problem loans and cost efficiency in commercial banks. *Journal of banking & finance*, 21(6), 849-870.
- Berger, A. N., Hasan, I., & Zhou, M. (2009). Bank ownership and efficiency in China: What will happen in the world's largest nation?. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 33(1), 113-130.
- Bernstein, E. S. (2005). All's Fair in Love, War & (and) Bankruptcy-Corporate Governance Implications of CEO Turnover in Financial Distress. *Stan. JL Bus. & Fin.*, 11, 299.
- Bhargava, R., Faircloth, S., & Zeng, H. (2017). Takeover protection and stock price crash risk: Evidence from state antitakeover laws. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 177-184.
- Bhatt, V., & Kishor, N. K. (2013). Bank lending channel in India: Evidence from state-level analysis. *Empirical Economics*, 45, 1307-1331.
- Bernini, M., & Montagnoli, A. (2017). Competition and financial constraints: A two-sided story. *Journal of International Money and Finance*, 70, 88-109.
- Boone, J. (2008). A new way to measure competition. *The Economic Journal*, 118(531), 1245-1261.
- Boone, A. L., & Ivanov, V. I. (2012). Bankruptcy spillover effects on strategic alliance partners. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 103(3), 551-569.

- Boone, A. L., & White, J. T. (2015). The effect of institutional ownership on firm transparency and information production. *Journal of Financial Economics*, *117*(3), 508-533.
- Bose, U., Filomeni, S., & Mallick, S. (2021). Does bankruptcy law improve the fate of distressed firms? The role of credit channels. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, *68*, 101836.
- Brunner, A., & Krahen, J. P. (2008). Multiple lenders and corporate distress: Evidence on debt restructuring. *The Review of Economic Studies*, *75*(2), 415-442.
- Bushee, B. J. (2001). Do institutional investors prefer near-term earnings over long-run value?. *Contemporary accounting research*, *18*(2), 207-246.
- Callen, J. L., & Fang, X. (2013). Institutional investor stability and crash risk: Monitoring versus short-termism?. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *37*(8), 3047-3063.
- Campbell, J. Y., Hilscher, J., & Szilagyi, J. (2008). In search of distress risk. *The Journal of finance*, *63*(6), 2899-2939.
- Carletti, E. (2004). The structure of bank relationships, endogenous monitoring, and loan rates. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, *13*(1), 58-86.
- Carletti, E., Cerasi, V., & Daltung, S. (2007). Multiple-bank lending: Diversification and free-riding in monitoring. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, *16*(3), 425-451.
- Chakraborty, B., Kallapur, S., Mahapatro, S., & Tantri, P. (2020). Bankruptcy Law And Equity Capital: Evidence From India.
- Chang, Y., Hsieh, Y. T., Liu, W., & Miu, P. (2020). Intra-industry bankruptcy contagion: Evidence from the pricing of industry recovery rates. *European Financial Management*, *26*(2), 503-534.
- Chauhan, Y., Wadhwa, K., Syamala, S. R., & Goyal, A. (2015). Block-ownership structure, bank nominee director, and crash-risk. *Finance Research Letters*, *14*, 20-28.
- Chen, J., Hong, H., & Stein, J. C. (2001). Forecasting crashes: Trading volume, past returns, and conditional skewness in stock prices. *Journal of financial Economics*, *61*(3), 345-381.
- Chen, S., Chen, X. I. A., & Cheng, Q. (2008). Do family firms provide more or less voluntary disclosure?. *Journal of accounting research*, *46*(3), 499-536.

- Chen, S., Ma, H., Wu, Q., & Zhang, H. (2023). Common institutional ownership and stock price crash risk. *Contemporary Accounting Research*.
- Cheng, F., Chiao, C., Fang, Z., Wang, C., & Yao, S. (2020). Raising short-term debt for long-term investment and stock price crash risk: Evidence from China. *Finance Research Letters*, 33, 101200.
- Chang, Y., Hsieh, Y. T., Liu, W., & Miu, P. (2020). Intra-industry bankruptcy contagion: Evidence from the pricing of industry recovery rates. *European Financial Management*, 26(2), 503-534.
- Cheng, C. A., Li, S., & Zhang, E. X. (2020). Operating cash flow opacity and crash risk. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 39(3), 106717.
- Cho, H., & Kim, R. (2020). Analysts' optimism and stock crash risk. *Managerial Finance*, 46(3), 323-343.
- Choi, S., & Jung, H. (2021). Director liability reduction and stock price crash risk: Evidence from Korea. *International Review of Finance*, 21(4), 1492-1502.
- Choi, Y. M., & Park, K. (2022). Zero-leverage policy and stock price crash risk: Evidence from Korea. *International Review of Financial Analysis*, 81, 102102.
- Chopra, Y., Subramanian, K., & Tantri, P. L. (2021). Bank cleanups, capitalization, and lending: Evidence from india. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 34(9), 4132-4176.
- Cifter, A., Yilmazer, S., & Cifter, E. (2009). Analysis of sectoral credit default cycle dependency with wavelet networks: Evidence from Turkey. *Economic Modelling*, 26(6), 1382-1388.
- Claessens, S., & Klapper, L. F. (2005). Bankruptcy around the world: explanations of its relative use. *American Law and Economics Review*, 7(1), 253-283.
- Dahiya, S., Iannotta, G., & Navone, M. (2017). Firm opacity lies in the eye of the beholder. *Financial Management*, 46(3), 553-592.
- Dang, V. A., Lee, E., Liu, Y., & Zeng, C. (2018). Corporate debt maturity and crash risk. *European Financial Management*, 24(3), 451-484.

- Diamond, D. W., & Verrecchia, R. E. (1991). Disclosure, liquidity, and the cost of capital. *The Journal of Finance*, 46(4), 1325-1359.
- Dichev, I. D. (1998). Is the risk of bankruptcy a systematic risk?. *the Journal of Finance*, 53(3), 1131-1147.
- Dimson, E. (1979). Risk measurement when shares are subject to infrequent trading. *Journal of financial economics*, 7(2), 197-226.
- Djankov, S., McLiesh, C., & Shleifer, A. (2007). Private credit in 129 countries. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84(2), 299-329.
- Eckbo, B. E., & Thorburn, K. S. (2003). Control benefits and CEO discipline in automatic bankruptcy auctions. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 69(1), 227-258.
- Eckbo, B. E., Thorburn, K. S., & Wang, W. (2016). How costly is corporate bankruptcy for the CEO?. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 121(1), 210-229.
- Eugster, N., & Wang, Q. (2023). Large blockholders and stock price crash risk: An international study. *Global Finance Journal*, 55, 100799.
- Fan, Y., & Fu, H. (2020). Institutional investors, selling pressure and crash risk: Evidence from China. *Emerging Markets Review*, 42, 100670.
- Fan, J. P., Wei, K. J., & Xu, X. (2011). Corporate finance and governance in emerging markets: A selective review and an agenda for future research. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 17(2), 207-214.
- Farinha, L. A., & Santos, J. A. (2002). Switching from single to multiple bank lending relationships: Determinants and implications. *Journal of Financial Intermediation*, 11(2), 124-151.
- Ferguson, M. F., & Shockley, R. L. (2003). Equilibrium “anomalies”. *The Journal of Finance*, 58(6), 2549-2580.

- Ferris, S. P., Jayaraman, N., & Makhija, A. K. (1997). The response of competitors to announcements of bankruptcy: An empirical examination of contagion and competitive effects. *Journal of corporate finance*, 3(4), 367-395.
- Foglia, A., Laviola, S., & Reedtz, P. M. (1998). Multiple banking relationships and the fragility of corporate borrowers. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 22(10-11), 1441-1456.
- Funchal, B. (2008). The effects of the 2005 bankruptcy reform in Brazil. *Economics Letters*, 101(1), 84-86.
- Gao, H., Wang, J., Yang, X., & Zhao, L. (2020). Borrower opacity and loan performance: evidence from China. *Journal of Financial Services Research*, 57(2), 181-206.
- Garcia-Appendini, E. (2018). Financial distress and competitors' investment. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 51, 182-209.
- Ghosh, S. (2023). Creditor rights and lending relationships. *Applied Economics Letters*, 30(9), 1194-1198.
- Gilson, S. C. (1989). Management turnover and financial distress. *Journal of financial Economics*, 25(2), 241-262.
- Gopalan, R., Mukherjee, A., & Singh, M. (2016). Do debt contract enforcement costs affect financing and asset structure?. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 29(10), 2774-2813.
- Griffin, J. M., & Lemmon, M. L. (2002). Book-to-market equity, distress risk, and stock returns. *The Journal of Finance*, 57(5), 2317-2336.
- Hackbarth, D., Haselmann, R., & Schoenherr, D. (2015). Financial distress, stock returns, and the 1978 bankruptcy reform act. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 28(6), 1810-1847.
- Hadlock, C. J., & Pierce, J. R. (2010). New evidence on measuring financial constraints: Moving beyond the KZ index. *The review of financial studies*, 23(5), 1909-1940.
- Hansen, L. P. (1982). Large sample properties of generalized method of moments estimators. *Econometrica: Journal of the econometric society*, 1029-1054.
- Haw, I. M., Song, B. Y., Tan, W., & Wang, W. (2021). Bankruptcy, overlapping directors, and bank loan pricing. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 71, 102097.
- He, G., Bai, L., & Ren, H. M. (2019). Analyst coverage and future stock price crash risk. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 20(1), 63-77.

- He, G., & Ren, H. M. (2022). Are financially constrained firms susceptible to a stock price crash? *The European Journal of Finance*, 1-26.
- Hertzel, M. G., & Officer, M. S. (2012). Industry contagion in loan spreads. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 103(3), 493-506.
- Hutton, A. P., Marcus, A. J., & Tehranian, H. (2009). Opaque financial reports, R2, and crash risk. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 94(1), 67-86.
- Jadiyappa, N., Hickman, L. E., Jyothi, P., Vunyale, N., & Sireesha, B. (2020). Does debt diversification impact firm value? Evidence from India. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, 67, 362-377.
- Jameson, M., Prevost, A., & Puthenpurackal, J. (2014). Controlling shareholders, board structure, and firm performance: Evidence from India. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 27, 1-20.
- Jha, A., Shankar, S., & Arvi, L. (2014). Access to bank loans while in bankruptcy: the role of single vs. multiple banking relations. *Managerial Finance*, 40(7), 724-733.
- Jiang, F., Cai, X., Nofsinger, J. R., & Zheng, X. (2020). Can reputation concern restrain bad news hoarding in family firms?. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 114, 105808.
- Jin, L., & Myers, S. C. (2006). R2 around the world: New theory and new tests. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 79(2), 257-292.
- Johnson, S., McMillan, J., & Woodruff, C. (2002). Property rights and finance. *American Economic Review*, 92(5), 1335-1356.
- Jorion, P., & Zhang, G. (2007). Good and bad credit contagion: Evidence from credit default swaps. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84(3), 860-883.
- Jose, J., Herwadkar, S. S., Bilantu, P., & Razak, S. A. (2020). Does greater creditor protection affect firm borrowings? Evidence from IBC. *Margin: The Journal of Applied Economic Research*, 14(2), 212-225.
- Jostarndt, P., & Sautner, Z. (2008). Financial distress, corporate control, and management turnover. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(10), 2188-2204.
- Kang, M. J., Kim, Y. H., & Liao, Q. (2020). Do bankers on the board reduce crash risk? *European Financial Management*, 26(3), 684-723.

- Kim, J. B., Li, Y., & Zhang, L. (2011). Corporate tax avoidance and stock price crash risk: Firm-level analysis. *Journal of financial Economics*, *100*(3), 639-662.
- Kim, J. B., Lu, L. Y., & Yu, Y. (2019). Analyst coverage and expected crash risk: Evidence from exogenous changes in analyst coverage. *The Accounting Review*, *94*(4), 345-364.
- Kosenko, K., & Michelson, N. (2022). It takes more than two to tango: Multiple bank lending, asset commonality and risk. *Journal of Financial Stability*, *61*, 101040.
- Kothari, S. P., Shu, S., & Wysocki, P. D. (2009). Do managers withhold bad news?. *Journal of Accounting research*, *47*(1), 241-276.
- Koziol, C. (2006). When does single-source versus multiple-source lending matter?. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, *2*(1), 19-48.
- Lamont, O., Polk, C., & Saaá-Requejo, J. (2001). Financial constraints and stock returns. *The review of financial studies*, *14*(2), 529-554.
- Lang, L. H., & Stulz, R. (1992). Contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements: An empirical analysis. *Journal of financial economics*, *32*(1), 45-60.
- Le, N., & Ngo, P. T. (2022). Intra-industry spillover effects: Evidence from bankruptcy filings. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, *49*(7-8), 1113-1144.
- Leon, F. (2015). Does bank competition alleviate credit constraints in developing countries?. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *57*, 130-142.
- Li, D. D., & Li, S. (1999). An agency theory of the bankruptcy law. *International Review of Economics & Finance*, *8*(1), 1-24.
- Li, S., & Zhan, X. (2019). Product market threats and stock crash risk. *Management Science*, *65*(9), 4011-4031.
- Lin, B., Liu, C., Tan, K. J. K., & Zhou, Q. (2020). CEO turnover and bankrupt firms' emergence. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, *47*(9-10), 1238-1267.
- Louzis, D. P., Vouldis, A. T., & Metaxas, V. L. (2012). Macroeconomic and bank-specific determinants of non-performing loans in Greece: A comparative study of mortgage, business and consumer loan portfolios. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, *36*(4), 1012-1027.

- Martins, H. C. (2022). Competition and ESG practices in emerging markets: Evidence from a difference-in-differences model. *Finance Research Letters*, 46, 102371.
- Nguyen, T. H., Lan, Y., Treepongkaruna, S., & Zhong, R. (2023). Credit rating downgrades and stock price crash risk: International evidence. *Finance Research Letters*, 103989.
- Opler, T. C., & Titman, S. (1994). Financial distress and corporate performance. *The Journal of finance*, 49(3), 1015-1040.
- Petersen, M. A. (2008). Estimating standard errors in finance panel data sets: Comparing approaches. *The Review of financial studies*, 22(1), 435-480.
- Platikanova, P., & Soonawalla, K. (2020). Who monitors opaque borrowers? Debt specialisation, institutional ownership, and information opacity. *Accounting & Finance*, 60(2), 1867-1904.
- Purnanandam, A. (2008). Financial distress and corporate risk management: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 87(3), 706-739.
- Qian, J., & Strahan, P. E. (2007). How laws and institutions shape financial contracts: The case of bank loans. *The Journal of Finance*, 62(6), 2803-2834.
- Rajan, R. G. (1992). Insiders and outsiders: The choice between informed and arm's-length debt. *The Journal of finance*, 47(4), 1367-1400.
- Robin, A. J., & Zhang, H. (2015). Do industry-specialist auditors influence stock price crash risk?. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 34(3), 47-79.
- Rogers, J. L., Schrand, C. M., & Zechman, S. L. (2014). Do managers tacitly collude to withhold industry-wide bad news?. *Chicago booth research paper*, (13-12).
- Safavian, M., & Sharma, S. (2007). When do creditor rights work? *Journal of Comparative Economics*, 35(3), 484-508.
- Singh, R., Chauhan, Y., & Jادیappa, N. (2022). Bankruptcy reform and corporate risk-taking: Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment. *Finance Research Letters*, 47, 102679.

- Skinner, D. J. (1994). Why firms voluntarily disclose bad news. *Journal of accounting research*, 32(1), 38-60.
- Sun, J., Yuan, R., Cao, F., & Wang, B. (2017). Principal–principal agency problems and stock price crash risk: Evidence from the split-share structure reform in China. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 25(3), 186-199.
- Tse, S., & Tucker, J. W. (2010). Within-industry timing of earnings warnings: Do managers herd?. *Review of Accounting Studies*, 15, 879-914.
- Usman, A. (2023). Credit ratings and stock price crash risk. *Applied Economics Letters*, 1-8.
- Vashishtha, R. (2014). The role of bank monitoring in borrowers' discretionary disclosure: Evidence from covenant violations. *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, 57(2-3), 176-195.
- Vig, V. (2013). Access to collateral and corporate debt structure: Evidence from a natural experiment. *The Journal of Finance*, 68(3), 881-928.
- Wang, M., Han, M., & Huang, W. (2020). Debt and crash risk in weak information environment. *Finance Research Letters*, 33, 101186.
- Whited, T. M., & Wu, G. (2006). Financial constraints risk. *The review of financial studies*, 19(2), 531-559.

3.7 Tables

Table 3.1: Definition of Variables

Variable	Definition
Altman z-score (for Emerging markets)	$6.56 * (\text{Net Working Capital}/\text{Total Assets}) + 3.26 * (\text{Retained Earnings}/\text{Total Assets}) + 6.72 * (\text{Operating income}/\text{Total Assets}) + 1.05 * (\text{Book value of Equity}/\text{Total Liabilities}) + 3.25$
Asset tangibility	$(\text{Net property, plant and equipment, and Inventory})/\text{Total assets}$
Bankruptcy Rate	Indicator variable with value of 1 if the number of bankruptcies in an industry-year as a percentage of total number of non-bankrupt firms in the industry-year is 10% or more, and 0 otherwise.

factors at all three levels. Asset tangibility and the distance to a firm's default contribute to the dominant competition effect. At the environment or industry level, complexity (concentration) and munificence contribute to a dominant competition effect. With respect to bankruptcy firm/event attributes, we observe that large bankruptcies entail a dominant contagion effect. Bankruptcies filed by the financial creditors of a firm entail valuation gains for non-bankrupt firms. Analysis based on a subsample of non-bankrupt firm attributes shows that financially unconstrained, low-risk (assigned rating grade of High Safety and above), or transparent firms are relatively immune to valuation losses around bankruptcy announcements in their industry. Overall, we find that bankruptcy announcements in an emerging market have informative value more than other indicators of firm failures.

References

- Agarwalla, S. K., Jacob, J., & Varma, J. R. (2014). Four-factor model in Indian equities market. *Indian Institute of Management, Ahmedabad Working Paper*, (2013-09), 05.
- Akhigbe, A., Madura, J., & Martin, A. D. (2015). Intra-industry effects of negative stock price surprises. *Review of Quantitative Finance and Accounting*, 45(3), 541-559.
- Almeida, H., Campello, M., & Weisbach, M. S. (2004). The cash flow sensitivity of cash. *The journal of finance*, 59(4), 1777-1804.
- Almeida, H., Campello, M., & Weisbach, M. S. (2011). Corporate financial and investment policies when future financing is not frictionless. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 17(3), 675-693.
- Andreou, C. K., Andreou, P. C., & Lambertides, N. (2021). Financial distress risk and stock price crashes. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 67, 101870.
- Baranchuk, N., & Rebello, M. J. (2018). Spillovers from good-news and other bankruptcies: Real effects and price responses. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 129(2), 228-249.
- Barbero, J. L., Ramos, A., & Chiang, C. (2017). Restructuring in dynamic environments: A dynamic capabilities perspective. *Industrial and Corporate Change*, 26(4), 593-615.
- Baum, J. R., Locke, E. A., & Smith, K. G. (2001). A multidimensional model of venture growth. *Academy of management journal*, 44(2), 292-303.

- Benmelech, E., & Bergman, N. K. (2011). Bankruptcy and the collateral channel. *The Journal of Finance*, 66(2), 337-378.
- Bharath, S. T., & Shumway, T. (2008). Forecasting default with the Merton distance to default model. *The Review of Financial Studies*, 21(3), 1339-1369.
- Bhatt, V., & Kishor, N. K. (2013). Bank lending channel in India: Evidence from state-level analysis. *Empirical Economics*, 45, 1307-1331.
- Bhaumik, S. K., & Selarka, E. (2012). Does ownership concentration improve M&A outcomes in emerging markets?: Evidence from India. *Journal of corporate finance*, 18(4), 717-726.
- Bose, U., Filomeni, S., & Mallick, S. (2021). Does bankruptcy law improve the fate of distressed firms? The role of credit channels. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 68, 101836.
- Boyne, G. A., & Meier, K. J. (2009). Environmental change, human resources, and organizational turnaround. *Journal of Management Studies*, 46(5), 835-863.
- Braun, M. (2005). Financial contractability and asset hardness. Available at SSRN 2522890.
- Bris, A., Welch, I., & Zhu, N. (2006). The costs of bankruptcy: Chapter 7 liquidation versus Chapter 11 reorganization. *The journal of finance*, 61(3), 1253-1303.
- Campbell, J. Y., Hilscher, J., & Szilagyi, J. (2008). In search of distress risk. *The Journal of finance*, 63(6), 2899-2939.
- Casterella, J. R., Desir, R., Stallings, M. A., & Wainberg, J. S. (2020). Information transfer of bankruptcy announcements: Examining the impact of auditor opinions. *Accounting Horizons*, 34(1), 45-66.
- Chen, S. S., & Wang, Y. (2012). Financial constraints and share repurchases. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 105(2), 311-331.
- Cheng, L. T., & McDonald, J. E. (1996). Industry structure and ripple effects of bankruptcy announcements. *Financial Review*, 31(4), 783-807.
- Chi, L. C. (2009). Contagion and competitive effects of plan confirmation of reorganization filings: Evidence from the Taiwan Stock Market. *Economic Modelling*, 26(2), 364-369.
- Dahiya, S., Iannotta, G., & Navone, M. (2017). Firm opacity lies in the eye of the beholder. *Financial Management*, 46(3), 553-592.
- Datta, S., & Iskandar-Datta, M. E. (1995). The information content of bankruptcy filing on securityholders of the bankrupt firm: an empirical investigation. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 19(5), 903-919.

- Davydenko, S. A., & Franks, J. R. (2008). Do bankruptcy codes matter? A study of defaults in France, Germany, and the UK. *The Journal of Finance*, 63(2), 565-608.
- Dess, G. G., & Beard, D. W. (1984). Dimensions of organizational task environments. *Administrative science quarterly*, 52-73.
- Ferris, S. P., Jayaraman, N., & Makhija, A. K. (1997). The response of competitors to announcements of bankruptcy: An empirical examination of contagion and competitive effects. *Journal of corporate finance*, 3(4), 367-395.
- Flynn, D. M., & Farid, M. (1991). The intentional use of Chapter XI: Lingering versus immediate filing. *Strategic Management Journal*, 12(1), 63-74.
- Funchal, B. (2008). The effects of the 2005 bankruptcy reform in Brazil. *Economics Letters*, 101(1), 84-86.
- Garcia-Appendini, E. (2018). Financial distress and competitors' investment. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 51, 182-209.
- Gormley, T., Gupta, N., & Jha, A. (2018). Quiet life no more? corporate bankruptcy and bank competition. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 53(2), 581-611.
- Haensly, P. J., Theis, J., & Swanson, Z. (2001). Reassessment of contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements. *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, 45-63.
- Haw, I. M., Song, B. Y., Tan, W., & Wang, W. (2021). Bankruptcy, overlapping directors, and bank loan pricing. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 71, 102097.
- Hertzel, M. G., & Officer, M. S. (2012). Industry contagion in loan spreads. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 103(3), 493-506.
- Hunsader, K., Delcours, N., & Pennywell, G. (2013). Competitive strategy and industry contagion following traditional Chapter 11 bankruptcy announcements. *Managerial Finance*.
- Jayanti, R. K., & Jayanti, S. V. (2011). Effects of airline bankruptcies: an event study. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 25(6), 399-409.
- Jorion, P., & Zhang, G. (2007). Good and bad credit contagion: Evidence from credit default swaps. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 84(3), 860-883.
- Kayo, E. K., & Kimura, H. (2011). Hierarchical determinants of capital structure. *Journal of banking & finance*, 35(2), 358-371.
- Kim, Y., Lacina, M., & Park, M. S. (2008). Positive and negative information transfers from management forecasts. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 46(4), 885-908.

- Krzeczewska, O., & Pastusiak, R. (2022). Does bankruptcy filing always mean contagion? Evidence from industry rivals. *International Journal of Finance & Economics*, 27(1), 1357-1366.
- Lang, L. H., & Stulz, R. (1992). Contagion and competitive intra-industry effects of bankruptcy announcements: An empirical analysis. *Journal of financial economics*, 32(1), 45-60.
- Laux, P., Starks, L. T., & Yoon, P. S. (1998). The relative importance of competition and contagion in intra-industry information transfers: An investigation of dividend announcements. *Financial Management*, 5-16.
- Le, N., & Ngo, P. T. (2022). Intra-industry spillover effects: Evidence from bankruptcy filings. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 49(7-8), 1113-1144.
- Lei, J., Qiu, J., Wan, C., & Yu, F. (2021). Credit risk spillovers and cash holdings. *Journal of Corporate Finance*, 68, 101965.
- Lumpkin, G. T., & Dess, G. G. (1996). Clarifying the entrepreneurial orientation construct and linking it to performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 21(1), 135-172.
- Merton, R. C. (1974). On the pricing of corporate debt: The risk structure of interest rates. *The Journal of finance*, 29(2), 449-470.
- Naumovska, I., & Lavie, D. (2021). When an industry peer is accused of financial misconduct: Stigma versus competition effects on non-accused firms. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 66(4), 1130-1172.
- Ndofor, H. A., Vanevenhoven, J., & Barker III, V. L. (2013). Software firm turnarounds in the 1990s: An analysis of reversing decline in a growing, dynamic industry. *Strategic Management Journal*, 34(9), 1123-1133.
- Opler, T. C., & Titman, S. (1994). Financial distress and corporate performance. *The Journal of finance*, 49(3), 1015-1040.
- Patel, P. C., Guedes, M. J., Pagano, M. S., & Olson, G. T. (2020). Industry profitability matters: The value of sustainable growth rate and distance from bankruptcy as enablers of venture survival. *Journal of Business Research*, 114, 80-92.
- Qian, J., & Strahan, P. E. (2007). How laws and institutions shape financial contracts: The case of bank loans. *The Journal of Finance*, 62(6), 2803-2834.
- Raithatha, M., & Popli, M. (2022). Persistence of past: Impact of historical institutions on corporate risk taking. *Finance Research Letters*, 45, 102195.

- Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. *The Journal of Finance*, 50(5), 1421-1460.
- Sahoo, M. S., & Guru, A. (2020). Indian Insolvency Law. *Vikalpa*, 45(2), 69-78.
- Saxena, M., & Bhattacharyya, S. (2022). Industry dynamics and capital structure choice: Evidence from Indian manufacturing firms. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 43(3), 829-845.
- Singh, R., Chauhan, Y., & Jadyappa, N. (2023). Does an effective bankruptcy reform increase collateralized borrowing? Evidence from a quasi-natural experiment in India. *Journal of Regulatory Economics*, 63(1-2), 74-86.
- Smith, D. J., Chen, J., & Anderson, H. D. (2015). The influence of firm financial position and industry characteristics on capital structure adjustment. *Accounting & Finance*, 55(4), 1135-1169.
- Wang, C. A. (2012). Determinants of the choice of formal bankruptcy procedure: An international comparison of reorganization and liquidation. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 48(2), 4-28.
- Whited, T. M., & Wu, G. (2006). Financial constraints risk. *The review of financial studies*, 19(2), 531-559.

4.7 Tables

Table 4.1: Year-wise break-up of bankruptcies under IBC

Year	Number of filings	Total Admitted Claims (in INR billion)
2016-17	7	129.64
2017-18	73	2129.46
2018-19	64	922.95
2019-20	63	549.26
2020-21	32	71.29
2021-22	32	74.22
Total	271	3876.82

Table 4.2 – Definition of Variables

Variable	Definition
Amihud's Illiquidity Ratio	$\frac{1}{D_{i,t}} * \sum_{d=1}^D \frac{abs(ret)_{i,d}}{Volume_{i,d}}$ <p>Ret represents daily stock returns, and Volume represents trading volume (in INR) for firm i. D indicates the days the stock has been traded in a given financial year. The ratio is rescaled by multiplying by 1 million.</p>

Chapter 5: Conclusion

5.1 Introduction

Corporate bankruptcy is a significant event that affects the bankrupt firm's existence and future. Bankruptcy affects other stakeholders, including the non-bankrupt firms in the industry, either directly or otherwise. We examine the spillovers of corporate bankruptcies on the non-bankrupt firms in the industry through different lenses and the mechanism or channel through which the spillovers propagate. The central tenet of our study is that corporate bankruptcies' spillovers are amplified when clustered around a period. We argue that bankruptcy clustering entails a pronounced effect on non-bankrupt firms compared to idiosyncratic or isolated bankruptcy. Therefore, examining the spillovers of clustered bankruptcies on the non-bankrupt firms in the industry is essential.

In the second chapter (first essay), we infer that bankruptcy spillovers propagate in an industry through the investment and debt channels. The non-bankrupt firms contract their incremental investments and reduce issuing additional debt when they are subject to bankruptcy clustering gauged through bankruptcy *waves*. However, firm characteristics determine whether the contagion of clustered bankruptcies is pronounced or muted in non-bankrupt firms. Non-bankrupt firms that are large, financially constrained, or belong to competitive industries exhibit a pronounced contraction in their incremental investments due to the contagion of bankruptcy *waves*. Similarly, less profitable, or low-risk firms are likelier to reduce issuing additional debt consequent to bankruptcy *waves* in their industry. We note that the orientation of the bankruptcy code also influences the externalities of corporate bankruptcies. While bankruptcy clustering has an overall contagion effect on the non-bankrupt firms, evident through the debt and investment channels, the negative externalities or the contagion of such

clustering are moderated when creditor rights are strengthened through a creditor-in-control bankruptcy regime.

In the third chapter (second essay), we document that non-bankrupt firms' stock price crash risk increases after multiple bankruptcies in their industry in a year. The non-bankrupt firms in an industry witnessing several bankruptcies are constrained to issue additional debt, as a result of which they face the risk of imminent distress. Consequently, such non-bankrupt firms withhold bad news that could jeopardize their ability to raise additional debt. The sustained withholding of bad news with the expectation of a turnaround of firm prospects in the short term leads to an increased stock price crash risk. Non-bankrupt firms that are not financially constrained, non-bankrupt firms that are not financially distressed, non-bankrupt firms that have promoters as their majority shareholders, or non-bankrupt firms that have promoters as their managers are relatively immune from the contagion of multiple bankruptcies and do not exhibit an increase in their crash risk. A creditor-in-control bankruptcy code that facilitates easier availability of debt at cheaper rates to financially distressed firms helps moderate the increase in non-bankrupt firms' crash risk even during multiple bankruptcies.

In the fourth chapter (third essay), we examine if bankruptcy announcements entail valuation gains through a dominant competition effect or valuation losses through a dominant contagion effect for non-bankrupt firms in the industry. We find that the non-bankrupt firms experience valuation gains through a dominant competition effect around bankruptcy announcements under a creditor-in-control bankruptcy code, i.e., the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code (IBC). Non-bankrupt firms that have a high proportion of tangible assets in their balance sheet and are least likely to default in the short term exhibit valuation gains more prominently. Furthermore, a munificent environment facilitates valuation gains around bankruptcy announcements for non-bankrupt firms. In contrast, large-sized bankruptcies induce a dominant contagion effect –

leading to valuation losses for non-bankrupt firms around the announcement of such bankruptcies.

5.2 Implications

Our study has several implications at managerial and policy levels. The pros and cons of both types of bankruptcy codes –debtor-in-possession and creditor-in-control- have been delineated in bankruptcy literature, stressing the importance of maintaining a healthy balance between the two. The emphasis on studying the effect of regime change in bankruptcy laws/codes gains credence in the context of markets or regimes where debt, particularly bank borrowing is the predominant source of external capital for firms. In the specific context of India, bank lending is one of the significant sources of borrowing for firms ([Bhatt and Kishor, 2013](#); [Bhaumik et al., 2011](#)).

Empirical studies show that the bias of the bankruptcy code toward the debtor or the creditor has adverse consequences. While a strong creditor-in-control bankruptcy code inhibits innovation and risk-taking among firms, a bankruptcy code favoring the debtor increases the cost of the debt for the surviving non-bankrupt firms, as the lenders price the recovery risk ex-ante. The large sample period in our two essays (first and second) enables us to study the effects of contrasting bankruptcy codes (BIFR versus the IBC) and underscore the effects of the codes with different orientations on bankruptcy spillovers. These findings can help the policymakers in finetuning the bankruptcy code in a manner that does not favor one stakeholder over the other in the bankruptcy process.

The findings from our fourth chapter concerning valuation gains or losses around bankruptcy announcements are particularly relevant to portfolio managers. Our findings regarding firm and industry-level characteristics open avenues for portfolio managers to explore strategies such as staying long on stocks of firms that exhibit a dominant competition effect and short on stocks

of firms that exhibit a dominant contagion effect of the bankruptcy announcements in order to maximize the returns on their portfolio.

5.3 Scope for future research

We attempt to understand the possible mechanisms of bankruptcy spillovers in the industry under the broader context of bankruptcy clustering. We extend the bankruptcy spillover literature by examining the effects of bankruptcy clustering through bankruptcy *waves* on real economic activities such as investment and debt. While we assess investment and debt through capital expenditure (Capex) and external borrowings, respectively, we see further scope in broadening the lens of investment and debt. With respect to the investment channel, there exists scope for examining mergers and acquisitions (M&A) activities in the specific context of bankruptcy spillovers. Under a creditor-in-control bankruptcy code (i.e., the IBC), the bankruptcy of 611 firms has been resolved as of December 31, 2022, through a change in management in the form of a takeover or acquisition by other firms. The admission of a bankrupt firm to the corporate insolvency resolution process (CIRP) creates an avenue for non-bankrupt firms in the same industry or other industries to invest in the bankrupt firm assets and expand their business through takeover or acquisitions. Therefore, observing if bankruptcies lead to increased M&A activities in any industry would be a promising prospect.

The primary debt market in India is evolving rapidly, while bank borrowing remains the predominant source of external capital for firms in India. Empirical study on the bond/debenture market spreads corresponding to industry bankruptcies can be a promising prospect to examine.

Through the third chapter (second essay), we have assessed the impact of bankruptcy clustering on non-bankrupt firms' stock price crash risk (SPCR). SPCR is one of the metrics based on which risk can be gauged. Similarly, other risk measures, such as rating downgrades, changes

in the cost of debt, and spreads of the non-bankrupt firms around bankruptcy announcements in their industry, can be used to assess if firm risk changes due to spillovers arising from bankruptcy clustering.

In our fourth chapter (third essay), we have examined the wealth effects of bankruptcy announcements. While our focus remains on the wealth effects in the short run, i.e., the period immediately preceding and succeeding the announcement of bankruptcy, it would be interesting to examine if the valuation gains or losses persist over time. In this direction, there exists scope for conducting an event study with a longer horizon of six months to one year or further and gauging the wealth effects through Buy and Hold Abnormal Returns (BHAR).

5.4 Limitations

We have focused on bankruptcy spillovers in the context of an emerging market economy – India, which has witnessed a paradigm shift from the debtor-in-possession bankruptcy code (BIFR) to the creditor-in-control code (IBC) in 2016. One of the limitations of our study is the limited availability of data under the IBC regime. The IBC is still nascent, continuously evolving with legislative changes and amendments in the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Act whenever necessary. Furthermore, data availability under the erstwhile bankruptcy regulator (BIFR) is selective and not exhaustive. For example, we could not find data on the amount of debt owed or debt defaulted by the bankrupt firm under the BIFR regime. Similarly, the date on which the bankrupt firm is admitted under BIFR is unavailable, which could have enabled us to extend the examination of the wealth effects under the BIFR regime. Overall, granular data on bankruptcies would enrich our empirical study further.

5.5 Conclusion

We find significant evidence, statistically and economically, of spillovers of corporate events such as bankruptcy on non-bankrupt firms in the same industry. In the context of an emerging

market like India, clustered bankruptcies entail significant externalities on the non-bankrupt firms when examined through different lenses, such as debt, investment, crash risk, and wealth effects. We find evidence that the bankruptcy code, through the relative strength of creditor rights, influences the externalities of bankruptcy spillovers on the non-bankrupt firms.