

A STUDY ON SERVICES SUPPLY CHAIN FOR BUSINESS ANALYTICS



A DOCTORAL DISSERTATION
SUBMITTED IN PARTIAL FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS
FOR THE
EXECUTIVE FELLOW PROGRAMME IN MANAGEMENT
INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT
INDORE

By
Shylu John
(Operations Management & Quantitative Techniques)
March 2023

Thesis Advisory Committee

Prof. Bhavin J Shah
[Chair]

Prof. Hasmukh Gajjar
[Member]

Prof. Vinaysingh Chawan
[Member]

ABSTRACT

The service industry has grown significantly for developed economies and is a major contributor to the global economy¹. Integration and management of the supply chain in services can result in significant value additions and growth. There is presently very little understanding about how this could be driven in the services industry, such as business analytics. Business analytics is an emerging field that focuses on using statistical tools and techniques to iteratively mine data and draw insights from historical behavior. Additionally, organizational resources such as teams with relevant analytical skills and experienced leadership coupled with analytic tools and technologies are required to deliver value.

The existing framework in services supply chain management is service-centric, and the factors defining it were derived based on industry and/or process. Process models and variance models in business analytics were previously studied with an enterprise level in view. Contrarily, in the services sector, value is created for the end customer through the integration of two or more enterprises (participants). The purpose of this study is to understand both formation and integration of the services supply chain (SSC) for business analytics as well as the factors that affect supply chain integration between key participants such as analytics buyer and analytics service provider. This understanding was eventually used to establish a framework that can be applied to integrate the service supply chain for business analytics.

In the first study, a case-study research approach was used to establish the propositions for the formation and integration of the services supply chain for business analytics. Data from 30 cases

¹ World Bank (2020). Services, value added (% of GDP). *The World Bank Group*, available at <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NV.SRV.TOTL.ZS> (accessed April 15, 2022).

were collected through a questionnaire. Data collected through semi-structured interviews, field notes, and documentation as well as engagement between analytics buyers and analytics service providers were analyzed. Findings revealed that formation of SSC for business analytics originates from a need to drive business value. A long-term relationship was initiated with a proof of concept and established with a contract that set the rhythm for engagement between the analytics buyer and analytics service provider. The key factors for the integration of service supply chain for business analytics were coordination between analytics buyer and analytics service provider, buyer participation, service standardization, service contract, and service performance measures.

The second study used structural equation modelling (SEM) to validate the set of hypotheses and the conceptual framework that was designed to link the key factors with the integration of the services supply chain. Prior to conducting large-scale survey, a two-step process that included item generation and pre-testing was taken into consideration while developing measurement scales for empirical study. A combination of factor analysis and multiple analysis within the outline of SEM was applied to investigate the structural link that exists between the measured variables and the latent components. Additionally, the conceptual framework emphasized the moderating influence of span size, the impact of control variables (location difference, tenure of relationship) and the mediating effect of service integration. It has been found that participants' coordination, service standardization, and service contract have a positive relationship with service integration, which results in better service performance measures. Further, it was observed that while there is a negative relation between buyer participation and service performance measures, there was no direct relationship between buyer participation and service integration. The result also shows that service integration acts as a mediator between participants' coordination and service performance, service standards and service performance, and service contract and service performance. The

association between buyer participation and service integration, as well as the relationship between service contract and service integration, are dampened by span size, however the relationship between participant coordination and service standardization with service integration is strengthened.

In the third study, factors affecting the service integration and service performance were used in a business analytics engagement between an analytics buyer and analytics service provider to improve the contract renewal rate. The analytics service provider used an unsupervised technique to cluster high-risk resellers with a low likelihood of contract renewal. The analytics buyer then took further action by using a proactive contact strategy in calling high-risk reseller for a contract renewal. The results were monitored over three quarters and the analytics buyer's renewal rate showed a significant improvement. A user interface application was developed as part of the deployment to allow the sales specialist to list and contact with high-risk (or underperforming) resellers on a quarterly basis.

Analytics service providers may have a strategic advantage if they have knowledge of the formation and integration of service supply chains for business analytics, particularly when establishing new relationships with analytics buyers. It is established that service integration mainly the processes, and information integration is critical. The participants' coordination, service standardization, and service contract should be improved for an effective and efficient services supply chain integration between an analytics buyer and an analytics service provider. A better service performance metric can be achieved with an improved service integration. This research finding will help analytics practitioners in managing services with better performance.

CONTENTS

DECLARATION.....	3
ABSTRACT.....	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.....	7
LIST OF TABLES.....	13
LIST OF FIGURES.....	14
ANNEXURES.....	15
ABBREVIATIONS.....	16
Chapter 1: Introduction.....	18
1.1 Background.....	18
1.2 Motivation of study.....	19
1.3 Importance of research.....	20
1.4 The purpose and goals of the study.....	21
1.5 Research design.....	22
1.6 Summary of each chapter and thesis structure.....	23
Chapter 2: Literature Review.....	26
2.1 Introduction.....	26
2.2 Service supply chain management.....	26
2.3 Supply chain framework for services.....	29
2.4 Formation and integration of service supply chain.....	30
2.4.1 Participants’ coordination.....	32
2.4.2 Buyer participation.....	33
2.4.3 Service standardization.....	35
2.4.4 Service contract.....	35
2.4.5 Service performance.....	37
2.5 Business analytics.....	38
2.6 Identification of the gap in literature.....	40

Chapter 3: Research Gap and Propositions.....	47
3.1 Methodology followed.....	47
3.1.1 Case selections.....	47
3.1.2 Data collection.....	48
3.1.3 Data analysis.....	50
3.2 Research findings	50
3.2.1 Formation of service supply chain for business analytics.....	51
3.2.1.1 Origination of business analytics services (stage 1).....	52
3.2.1.2 Probation or proof of concept period (stage 2).....	53
3.2.1.3 Regular operations or business as usual (stage 3).....	55
3.2.2 Integration of service supply chain for business analytics.....	57
3.2.2.1 Coordination between analytics buyer and analytics service provider.....	59
3.2.2.2 Analytics buyer participation.....	61
3.2.2.3 Service standardization.....	63
3.2.2.4 Service contract.....	65
3.2.2.5 Service performance.....	67
3.3 Summary	69
Chapter 4: Validation of Conceptual Framework.....	89
4.1 Conceptual framework for service supply chain integration.....	89
4.1.1 Participants' coordination	90
4.1.2 Buyer participation	91
4.1.3 Service standardization	91
4.1.4 Service contract.....	92
4.1.5 Service performance	93
4.1.6 Mediating role of service supply chain integration.....	93
4.1.7 Moderating effect of span (or team) size.....	95
4.1.8 Control variables- location difference and tenure of relationship.....	96
4.2 Methodology followed.....	97
4.2.1 Questionnaire development and analysis.....	97
4.2.2 Survey setting and sampling.....	100
4.3 Findings.....	102

4.3.1	Factor analysis.....	102
4.3.2	Path analysis.....	104
4.4	Discussions.....	106
4.4.1	Relationship between participants’ coordination, service integration and service performance.....	107
4.4.2	Relationship between buyer participation, service integration and service performance.....	108
4.4.3	Relationship between service standardization, service integration and service performance	110
4.4.4	Relationship between service contract, service integration and service performance...111	
4.5	Summary.....	112
Chapter 5: Application of Framework in Solving Contract Renewal Problem.....		134
5.1	Introduction.....	134
5.2	Background of contract renewal.....	136
5.2.1	Enhancing the effectiveness of the renewals program	137
5.3	Service integration between analytics buyer and analytics service provider.....	139
5.3.1	Coordination between analytics buyer and CNX.....	139
5.3.2	Buyer participation with CNX.....	140
5.3.3	Service standardization between analytics buyer and CNX.....	140
5.3.4	Service contract between analytics buyer and CNX.....	141
5.4	Data and process followed by CNX	141
5.4.1	Data cleaning and feature engineering.....	142
5.5	Model choice.....	143
5.6	Business analytics solution.....	144
5.6.1	Make contact ahead of time	146
5.6.2	Timely evaluation	147
5.6.3	Number of sales cycles	147
5.7	Implementing the system	148
5.8	Summary.....	149
Chapter 6: Conclusions and Future Research		164

6.1 Major finding of the study164
6.2 Research contribution.....167
6.3 Limitation and scope for future research169
REFERENCES.....171

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1. Synopsis of literature search.....	43
Table 2.2. Service and manufacturing supply chain characteristics comparison.....	44
Table 3.1. Overview of the selected cases.....	71
Table 3.2. List of cases with PoC and long-term engagement.....	72
Table 3.3. Level of importance for strategic alliance, information integration and process integration.....	73
Table 3.4. Level of importance for business analytics service supply chain integration components.....	74
Table 4.1. Constructs and the defined scales	115
Table 4.2. Demographic data.....	118
Table 4.3. Pattern matrix.....	120
Table 4.4. Total variance explained.....	121
Table 4.5. Configural, metric, and scalar invariance test parameters for default model.....	122
Table 4.6. Construct validity and reliability.....	123
Table 4.7. Model regression weights with control variables.....	124
Table 4.8. SSI mediation effect on parameter.....	125
Table 4.9. Unstandardized regression weights on interaction effect of moderator.....	126
Table 4.10. Summary of hypothesis testing.....	127
Table 5.1. Raw variables list	150
Table 5.2. Final variables considered for analysis	151
Table 5.3. Optimal DBSCAN parameters estimation	152
Table 5.4. Four distinct clusters in clustered profile	153
Table 5.5. Champion and challenger renewal rates	154

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1. Search strategy for literature review.....	45
Figure 2.2. Oncology of business analytics	46
Figure 3.1. Selected SSC network and interview participants for the study.....	75
Figure 3.2. The units of business analytics service supply chain.....	76
Figure 3.3. Service supply chain formation for business analytics.....	77
Figure 3.4. The proposed conceptual framework for integration of service supply chain for business analytics.....	78
Figure 4.1. Proposed conceptual framework.....	129
Figure 4.2. Default model structure.....	130
Figure 4.3. Causal model structure with control variables.....	131
Figure 4.4. Causal model to study interaction effect of moderator.....	132
Figure 4.5a-d. Effect of span size on parameters	133
Figure 5.1. Unsupervised model approach.....	155
Figure 5.2. Optimal number of clusters for Hierarchical method and <i>K</i> -means	156
Figure 5.3. Silhouette plot	157
Figure 5.4. <i>K</i> -means cluster plot	158
Figure 5.5. Renewal rate improvement	159
Figure 5.6. Variations of \$ renewal, \$ opportunity and \$ renewal rate with contact days in advance.....	160
Figure 5.7. Variations of \$ renewal rate with month (M0: On-time month).....	161
Figure 5.8. Application screen with agent performance.....	162
Figure 5.9. Application screen with graphical representation of clusters.....	163

ANNEXURES

Table 3A.1. List of questions to analytics service providers and analytics buyers.....	79
Table 3A.2. Features for strategic alliance, information integration and process integration.....	80

ABBREVIATIONS

AVE- Average Variance Extracted
BAS- Business Analytics Service
B2B- Business-to-Business
BFSI- Banking, Financial Services and Insurance
BP- Buyer Participation
CFA- Confirmatory Factor Analysis
CFI- Comparative Fit index
CLF- Common Latent Factor
CNX- Concentrix
CPG- Consumer Packaged Goods
CR- Composite Reliability
CSAT- Customer Satisfaction
EFA- Exploratory Factor Analysis
FTE- Full-Time Equivalent
GDP- Gross Domestic Product
IT- Information Technology
KPI- Key Performance Indicators
IVR- Interactive Voice Response
ML- Machine Learning
MSV- Maximum Shared Variance
NPS- Net Promoter Score
PC- Participants' Coordination
PCLOSE- p-Value of Close Fit
PoC- Proof of Concept
RFP- Request For Proposal
RMSEA- Root Mean Square Error of Approximation
SCM- Supply Chain Management
SCOR- Supply Chain Operations Reference
SDL- Service Dominant Logic
SEM- Structural Equation Modeling

SEO- Search Engine Optimization

SLA- Service Level Agreements

SC- Service Contract

SS- Service Standardization

SSC- Service Supply Chain

SSI- Service Integration

TVE- Total Variance Estimate

USA- United States of America

Chapter 6: Conclusions and Future Research

This chapter sums up the study's main contributions by outlining the study's broad conclusions and summarizing its findings. The study's contribution is listed in two areas, categorized as theoretical and practical, respectively. The limits of the study and the scope of future research are then discussed.

6.1 Major finding of the study

The world economy benefits greatly from the service industry. Therefore, it's essential to understand the aspects of services supply chain for newer areas to exploit the opportunity. Business analytics is an emerging field in the services domain. The research conducted in the domain of business analytics has been very limited compared to studies that have already been done in the service industry that focused on supply chain issues that were more established in delivery. Additionally, the factors studied were based on industry and/or processes which were service-centric. Therefore, service supply chain in the business analytics service sector emerged as an area with a vast scope for research that will also differentiate it from a typical industrial service supply chain practice. The goal of this thesis was to understand how the service supply chain for business analytics was formed, how it was integrated, and what factors led to that integration. A framework for the integration of the service supply chain for business analytics was also proposed, along with a study of its applicability.

To understand the formation of the service supply chain for business analytics as well as its integration factors, a case-study design approach was followed. For the integration of the service supply chain for business analytics, factors like buyer participation, service standardization, service contracts, and service performance were studied. Here, 30 cases of business analytics value

creation between analytics service provider and buyer were taken into consideration. Empirical data was collected based on semi-structured interviews, field notes, and documentation for each case provided by the analytics service providers or analytics buyers. With the help of a group of propositions (P1 to P8) and a conceptual framework, this approach made a theoretical and empirical contributions to the literatures on service supply chain. The integration of the service supply chain for business analytics is driven by the coordination between the analytics buyer and the analytics service provider, analytics buyer participation, service standardization, service contract, and service performance. To assess the impact of integration, service performance is monitored using a range of metrics, including financial, operational, and customer experience KPIs.

This study validated the conceptual framework for services supply chain in business analytics using structural equation modelling. In this case, a relationship was formed and related between the coordination of participants, buyer participation, service standardization, service contract with service integration, and service performance indicators. The study offers the following important findings:

1. Participants' coordination and service integration has significant positive relationship.
2. Service integration mediates between participants' coordination and service performance measures with no direct relationship.
3. Buyer participation has no significant relationship with service integration.
4. Buyer participation has direct negative relationship with service performance measures without mediation from service integration.
5. Service standardization has significant positive relationship with service integration.

6. Service integration mediates between service standardization and service performance.
7. Service contract has significant positive relationship with service integration and service performance.
8. Service integration mediates between service contract and service performance.
9. The service performance measurements for financial is cost saving, operational is resource utilization and reliability, and customer experience is service assurance.
10. Span size strengthens the relationship between participants' coordination and service integration.
11. Span size dampens the relationship between buyer participation and service integration but not significantly.
12. Span size strengthens the relationship between service standardization and service integration.
13. Span size dampens the relationship between service contract and service integration.

The proposed service integration framework for business analytics was put to the test by addressing the problem of contract renewal for the analytics buyer. A better service integration and improved service performance measure were achieved by controlling factors including participant coordination, analytics purchasers' participation, service standardization, and service contract. An analytics service provider created an unsupervised model to group data into clusters with different contract renewal risks, analyzed the factors affecting contract renewal, and implemented a system for continuous operation. Based on silhouette score evaluations of three clustering approaches, the analytics service provider chose the K-means clustering model. After three quarters of testing, the solution increased the renewal rate by 4-7% and generated an additional \$220K in quarterly income for the analytics buyer. In order to highlight low performing

or high-risk resellers and to take targeted actions, an application was also developed to deploy the model in regular operations.

6.2 Research contribution

Business analytics service is a new area of services and very little has been understood with respect to supply chain aspects. The know-how on the formation and integration of service supply chain for business analytics can give analytics service providers a strategic edge, especially when a fresh relationship with a buyer needs to be set-up. Understanding the start process and the aspect for integration remains a challenge for many service provider managers. Focusing on success during the probation stage helps ensure service supply chain sustainability, but success during the origination stage is mostly dependent on the buyer. The analytics buyer and analytics service provider must embrace the fact that during probation and regular operation stage the integration of service supply chain is key. The study also highlighted the importance of service supply chain integration elements such as strategic alliance, information integration, and process integration in business analytics.

The research findings will help analytics practitioners to effectively manage the service with improved service performance. It has been understood that service integration mainly the processes, and information integration plays an important role. In order to improve the service integration between analytics buyer and analytics service provider, the participants' coordination, service standardization, and service contract should improve. The improved service integration results in an improved service performance metrics. Analytics service provider must be very cautious about involving buyer as mandatory participant. This may have a negative influence on service performance measures. The analytics buyer and analytics service provider should ensure

accomplishment of common goals, have structured roles and responsibilities, system for knowledge management, and deployment of competent team. To have service standardization the analytics service provider should follow structured procedures and manuals, systems, and quantified metrics for standard deliverables. While processes vary for customized deliverables and execution require creativity and skill of employee. During contract creation, the analytics buyer and analytics service provider should assure clarity in roles and accountability for task, capacity to analyze each other's performance, and have willingness to alter contractual terms and conditions. Consider one another to be interdependent partners and display a willingness to collaborate in order to achieve mutual objectives. Take the initiative and invest resources in performance monitoring efforts. Be willing to share data and information on contract, performance, and other relevant clauses. The span size of an engagement has mixed effect on relationship between these factors with service integration. So, optimum size of a team ensures better service integration and service performance.

Coordination between analytics buyer and analytics service provider is one of the key factors for the integration of service supply chain for business analytics. Study proposes that the role of relationship manager should be to coordinate and establish relationship between two organizations and that it should be distinct from the role of a delivery manager. It also suggests on establishing a strong relationship and engagement practice through an agreed governance structure by leveraging technology enabled interactions. During the integration of service supply chain for business analytics, the analytics buyer is required to participate by only providing inputs and reviewing the output. Also, service standardization is an important aspect, and its extent varies based on type of work. The opportunity for service standardization must be evaluated across the analytics value chain for better integration. In business analytics, contract legally binds the service

supply chain participants by creating a mutual agreement on the scope of work, responsibilities, and the commercials. A mutually agreed and well-articulated contract avoids risk of failure during a service supply chain integration for business analytics. The effectiveness of integration can be monitored and measured through service performance metrics such as cost reduction, revenue growth, improved turnaround time, quality, and CSAT. Analytics service providers must also be aware of the challenges that come with integrating services with analytics buyer. Gathering the right input from analytics buyer is critical to delivery and therefore, one approach to ensure their support is by linking the suggested value to the analytics buyers' end consumers.

6.3 Limitation and scope for future research

In service research, collecting retrospective data is a regular challenge, and interviewees must rely on their memories (Breidbach et al., 2013). By interviewing participants from a diverse set of cases, levels, groups, domains, and geographies can avoid such potential risks (Eisenhardt and Graebner's, 2007). In addition, the current study's service network is based on engagement between analytics buyer and analytics service provider, but the scope can be broadened in the future by integrating 3rd party tools and platform suppliers. The outcomes of case study were not context independent, as advised in the literature, because it was undertaken for the business analytics service industry. This study adopted a generalization technique through inductive theory development rather than a statistical technique. As a result, the study provides pioneering insights into the formation and integration of service supply chain for business analytics. This opened the possibility of using a deductive technique to test the propositions.

The data on business analytics service offerings were not included for this study; hence, the sample is not representative of all types of analytics service offerings. Therefore, it is possible that the

research findings should not be generalized at the offering level. Also, the unit of analysis is the interaction between the analytics buyer and analytics service provider. Individual buyer or personnel discretion is not investigated in this study. Consider the coordination of participants as one of the primary factors influenced by interpersonal interaction or communication, and this is a topic for further research.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, A.K., Jafar, A., & Aljoumaa, K. (2019). Customer churn prediction in telecom using machine learning in big data platform. *Journal of Big Data*, 6(28), 1-24. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-019-0191-6>
- Aitken, J., Childerhouse, P., Deakins, E., & Towill, D. (2016). A comparative study of manufacturing and service sector supply chain integration via the uncertainty circle model. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 27(1), 188-205. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-03-2014-0047>
- Ajitha, A. A., Sharma, P., Kingshott, R. P., Maurya, U. K., & Kaur, A. (2019). Customer participation and service outcomes: mediating role of task-related affective well-being. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 33(1), 16-30. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-10-2018-0288>
- Akkermans, H., & Vos, B. (2003). Amplification in service supply chains: An exploratory case study from the telecom industry. *Production and Operations Management*, 12(3), 204-223. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2003.tb00501.x>
- Akrout, H., & Diallo, M. F. (2017). Fundamental transformations of trust and its drivers: A multi-stage approach of business-to-business relationships. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 66(4), 159-171. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2017.08.003>
- Altman, E., Barman, D., El Azouzi, R., Ros, D., & Tuffin, B. (2005). Pricing differentiated services: A game-theoretic approach. *Computer Networks*, 50(7), 982-1002. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2005.06.008>
- Amin, A., Anwar, S., Adnan, A., Nawaz, M., Alwafi, K., Hussain, A., & Huang, K. (2017). Customer churn prediction in the telecommunication sector using a rough set approach. *Neurocomputing*, 237(3), 242-254. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2016.12.009>
- Anderson, E.G., Morrice, D.J., & Lundeen, G. (2006). Stochastic optimal control for staffing and backlog policies in a two-stage customized service supply chain. *Production and Operations Management*, 15(2), 62-78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2019.09.005>
- Arlbjorn, J.S., Freytag, P.V., & De Haas, H. (2011). Service supply chain management: A survey of lean application in the municipal sector. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 41(3), 277-295. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031111123796>
- Arnould, E.J. (2008). Service-dominant logic and resource theory. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 36(1), 21-24. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-007-0072-y>
- Armstrong, J. S., & Overton, T. S. (1977). Estimating nonresponse bias in mail surveys. *Journal of marketing research*, 14(3), 396-402. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224377701400320>

- Arshinder, Kanda, A., & Deshmukh, S. G. (2008). Supply chain coordination: perspectives, empirical studies and research directions. *International journal of production Economics*, 115(2), 316-335. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2008.05.011>
- Avery, S.L., & Swafford, P.M. (2009). Social capital impact on service supply chains. *Journal of Service Science*, 2(2), 9-16. <https://doi.org/10.19030/jss.v2i2.4282>
- Baines, T., Bigdeli, A. Z., Bustinza, O. F., Shi, V. G., Baldwin, J., & Ridgway, K. (2017). Servitization: revisiting the state-of-the-art and research priorities. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(2), 256-278. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-06-2015-0312>
- Baltacioglu, T., Ada, E., Kaplan, M.D., Yurt, A.O., & Cem Kaplan, Y. (2007). A new framework for service supply chains. *The Service Industries Journal*, 27(2), 105-124. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/02642060601122629>
- Bandura, A. (1977). Self-efficacy: toward a unifying theory of behavioral change. *Psychological review*, 84(2), 191. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.84.2.191>
- Bansal, A., Sharma, M., & Goel, S. (2017). Improved K-mean clustering algorithm for prediction analysis using classification technique in data mining. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 157(6), 0975-8887.
- Barki, H., Rivard, S., & Talbot, J. (1993). Toward an assessment of software development risk. *Journal of management information systems*, 10(2), 203-225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.1993.11518006>
- Bhagwat, R., & Sharma, M.K. (2007). Performance measurement of supply chain management: A balanced scorecard approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 53(1), 43-62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2007.04.001>
- Bendapudi, N., & Leone, R. P. (2003). Psychological implications of customer participation in co-production. *Journal of marketing*, 67(1), 14-28. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.67.1.14.18592>
- Bitner, M.J., Faranda, W.T, Hubbert, A.R., & Zeithaml V.A. (1997). Customer contributions and roles in service delivery. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 8(3), 193-205. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564239710185398>
- Blind, K. (2006). A taxonomy of standards in the service sector: Theoretical discussion and empirical test. *The Service Industries Journal*, 26(4), 397-420. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642060600621597>
- Bolton, L. E., & Joseph, W. A. (2006). Price fairness: good and service differences and the role of vendor costs. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 33 (2), 258–265. <https://doi.org/10.1086/506306>

Bolton, R. N., Lemon, K. N., & Verhoef, P. C. (2008). Expanding business- to-business customer relationships: Modeling the customer's upgrade decision. *Journal of Marketing*, 72(1), 46–64. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.72.1.046>

Boon-itt, S., Wong, C.Y., & Wong, C.W.Y. (2017). Service supply chain management process capabilities: Measurement development, *International Journal of Production Economics*, 193(4), 1-11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.06.024>

Bowles, M. (2015). Machine learning in python: essential techniques for predictive analytics. In R. Elliott, J. Lynn, D. Posner, D. Zeidel, & K. Cline (Eds), *Machine Learning in Python: Essential Techniques for Predictive Analytics* (pp. 1-20), John Wiley & Sons Inc.

Bozarth, C., & Handfield, R. (2006). Introduction to Operations and Supply Chain Management, Pearson Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle River, NJ

Brax, S. A., Calabrese, A., Ghiron, N. L., Tiburzi, L., & Grönroos, C. (2021). Explaining the servitization paradox: a configurational theory and a performance measurement framework. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 41(5), 517-546. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-08-2020-0535>

Brehm, J. W. (1966). A theory of psychological reactance.

Breidbach, C. F., Kolb, D. G., & Srinivasan, A. (2013). Connectivity in service systems: does technology-enablement impact the ability of a service system to co-create value?, *Journal of Service Research*, 16(3), 428-441. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670512470>

Breidbach, C.F., Reefke, H., & Wood, L.C. (2015). Investigating the formation of service supply chains. *The Service Industries Journal*, 35 (1-2), 5-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2014.979404>

Brignall, T.J., Fitzgerald, L., Johnston, R., & Silvestro, R. (1991). Performance measurement in service businesses. *Management Accounting*, 69(10), 34-36. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/283694495_Performance_Measurement_in_Service_Businesses

Broekhuis, M., & Scholten, K. (2018). Purchasing in service triads: the influence of contracting on contract management. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 38(5), 1188-1204. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-12-2015-0754>

Brown, T. A. (2006). Confirmatory factor analysis for applied research. New York: Guilford Press.

Brown, T. L., Potoski, M., & Van Slyke, D. M. (2007). Trust and contract completeness in the public sector. *Local Government Studies*, 33(4), 607-623. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03003930701417650>

- Brahm, T., & Kunze, F. (2012). The role of trust climate in virtual teams. *Journal of Managerial Psychology*, 27(6), 595-614. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02683941211252446>
- Bruha, I., & Fazel F. (2000). Postprocessing in machine learning and data mining. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 2(2), 110-114. <https://doi.org/10.1145/380995.381059>
- Bruhn, M., & Georgi, D. (2006). *Services marketing: Managing the service value chain*. Pearson Education.
- Burgess, K., & Singh, P. J. (2006). A proposed integrated framework for analysing supply chains. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 11(4), 337-344. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540610671789>
- Bushe, G. R., Havlovic, S. J., & Coetzer, G. (1996). Exploring empowerment from the inside--out. *The Journal for Quality and Participation*, 19(2), 36.
- Chan, K.W., Yim, C.K., & Lam, S.S. (2010). Is customer participation in value creation a double-edged sword? Evidence from professional financial services across cultures, *Journal of Marketing*, 74(3), 48-64. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.74.3.048>
- Chang, C. M. (2011). New organizational designs for promoting creativity: A case study of virtual teams with anonymity and structured interactions. *Journal of Engineering and Technology Management*, 28(4), 268-282. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jengtecman.2011.06.004>
- Chen, H., Chiang, D., & Storey, V. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(4), 1165-1188. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41703503>
- Chen, X. P., Xie, X., & Chang, S. (2011). Cooperative and competitive orientation among Chinese people: Scale development and validation. *Management and Organization Review*, 7(2), 353-379. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1740-8784.2011.00215.x>
- Child, D. (2006). *The Essentials of Factor Analysis*. 3rd edn ed. London: Bloomsbury Academic.
- Childerhouse, P., & Towill, D. R. (2011). Arcs of supply chain integration. *International journal of production research*, 49(24), 7441-7468. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2010.524259>
- Cho, D.W., Lee, Y.H., Ahn, S.H., & Hwang, M.K. (2012). A framework for measuring the performance of service supply chain management. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 62(3), 801-818. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2011.11.014>
- Chopra, S. and Meindl, P. (2004) *Supply Chain Management: Strategy, Planning, and Operation*. Second Edition, Person and Prentice Hall, New Jersey.

Chu, R., Duling, D., & Thompson, W. (2007). Best Practices for Managing Predictive Models in a Production Environment. *SAS Global Forum 2007: Data Mining and Predictive Modeling*, 76, 1-10. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.176.1280&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

Churchill Jr, G. A. (1979). A paradigm for developing better measures of marketing constructs. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 16(1), 64-73. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224377901600110>

CISCO. (n.d). Selling Service Contract Renewals: Accelerating Partner Success with Cisco Technical Services. https://www.cisco.com/web/AP/partners/assets/docs/FINAL_RENEWALS_AUST_SERVICES_GUIDE.pdf

Cooper, A. C., & Dunkelberg, W. C. (1986). Entrepreneurship and paths to business ownership. *Strategic management journal*, 7(1), 53-68. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250070106>

Dabholkar, P. A. (2015). How to improve perceived service quality by increasing customer participation. In *Proceedings of the 1990 academy of marketing science (AMS) annual conference* (pp. 483-487). Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13254-9_97

Davenport, T.H., & Harris, J.G (2007). *Competing on Analytics: The New Science of Winning*, Harvard Business School Press, Boston.

Davis, J., Mengersen, K., Bennett, S., & Mazerolle, L. (2014). Viewing systematic reviews and meta-analysis in social research through different lenses. *SpringerPlus*, 3(1), 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/2193-1801-3-511>

Delen, D., & Zolbanin, M.H. (2018). The analytics paradigm in business research. *Journal of Business Research*, 90(9), 186-195. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.05.013>

Demirkan, H., & Cheng, H. (2008). The risk and information sharing of application services supply chain. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 187(3), 765-784. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2006.03.060>

Demirkan, H., & Delen, D. (2012). Leveraging the capabilities of service-oriented decision support systems: Putting analytics and big data in cloud. *Decision Support Systems*, 55(1), 412-421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2012.05.048>

Durga, N. S., & Rani, U. K. (2020). A perspective overview on machine learning algorithms. In: S. Jyothi, D. Mamatha, S. Satapathy, K. Raju, & M. Favorskaya (Eds.), *Advances in Computational and Bio Engineering: CBE 2019: Learning and Analytics in Intelligent Systems* (pp. 353-364). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-46939-9_30

- Eggert, A., Böhm, E., & Cramer, C. (2017). Business service outsourcing in manufacturing firms: An event study. *Journal of Service Management*, 28(3), 476-498. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-11-2016-0306>
- Eisenhardt, K.M. (1989). Building theories from case study research, *Academy of Management Review*. 14(4), 532-550. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1989.4308385>
- Eisenhardt, K.M., & Graebner, M.E. (2007). Theory building from cases: Opportunities and challenges, *Academy of Management Journal*. 50(1), 25-32. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2007.24160888>
- Ellram, L.M., Tate, W.L., & Billington, C. (2004). Understanding and managing the services supply chain. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 40(4), 17-33. <https://library.iimidr.ac.in:2130/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2004.tb00176.x>
- Eskandarpour, M., Pierre, D., Joe, M. & Olivier, P. (2015). Sustainable supply chain network design: An optimization-oriented review. *Omega*, 54(3), 11-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2015.01.006>
- Faems, D., Janssens, M., Madhok, A., & Looy, B. V. (2008). Toward an integrative perspective on alliance governance: Connecting contract design, trust dynamics, and contract application. *Academy of Management Journal*, 51(6), 1053-1078. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amj.2008.35732527>
- Fan, K. T., Chen, Y. H., Wang, C. W., & Chen, M. (2014). E-leadership effectiveness in virtual teams: Motivating language perspective. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 114(3), 421-437. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-07-2013-0294>
- Fan, Y., Chen, J., Shirkey, G., John, R., Wu, S. R., Park, H., & Shao, C. (2016). Applications of structural equation modeling (SEM) in ecological studies: an updated review. *Ecological Processes*, 5(1), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13717-016-0063-3>
- Fanke, N., Keinz, P., & Steger, C. J. (2009). Testing the value of customization: When do customers really prefer products tailored to their preferences. *Journal of Marketing*, 73(5), 103-121. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.73.5.103>
- Faraj, S., & Xiao, Y. (2006). Coordination in fast-response organizations. *Management science*, 52(8), 1155-1169. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0526>
- Ferrario, R., & Guarino, N. (2008). Towards an ontological foundation for services science”, Proceedings of Future Internet Symposium, Springer Verlag, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5468, pp. 152-169.

Feng, B., Fan, Z.P., & Li, Y. (2011). A decision method for supplier selection in multi-service outsourcing. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 132(2), 240-250. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.04.014>

Field, A. (2013). *Discovering statistics using IBM SPSS statistics*. sage.

Fitzsimmons, J., & Fitzsimmons, M. (2004). *Service Management: Operations, Strategy, Information Technology*, 4th ed., McGraw-Hill Irwin, New York, NY.

Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error: Algebra and statistics, *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(3), 382-388. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224378101800313>

Frohlich, M. T. (2002). Techniques for improving response rates in OM survey research. *Journal of Operations Management*, 20(1), 53-62. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(02\)00003-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(02)00003-7)

Frohlich, M. T., & Westbrook, R. (2001). Arcs of integration: an international study of supply chain strategies. *Journal of operations management*, 19(2), 185-200. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(00\)00055-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(00)00055-3)

Fox, M.S., Barbuceanu, M., & Teigen, R. (2001). Agent-oriented supply-chain anagement, Shaw M.J. (Ed.), *Information-Based Manufacturing*, Springer, Boston, MA.

Ghosh, A., Nashaat, M., & Miller, J. (2019). The current state of software license renewals in the I.T. industry, *Information and Software Technology*, 108(2), 139-152. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2019.01.001>

Giannakis, M. (2011). Management of service supply chains with a service-orientated reference model: The case of management consulting. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 16(5), 346-361. doi:10.1108/13598541111155857

Grenadier, S.R. (1995). Valuing lease contracts a real-options approach. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 38(3), 297-331. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X\(94\)00820-Q](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-405X(94)00820-Q)

Griethuijzen, R.A.L.F., Eijck, M.W., Haste, H., Brok, P.J., Skinner, N.C., Mansour, N., Genser A.S., & BouJaoude S. (2014). Global patterns in students' views of science and interest in science, *Research in Science Education*, 45(4), 581–603. [doi:10.1007/s11165-014-9438-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-014-9438-6)

Gunasekaran, A., Patel, C., & Tirtiroglu, E. (2001). Performance measures and metrics in a supply chain environment. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(1-2), 71-87. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570110358468>

Gunasekaran, A., Lai, K.H., & Cheng, T.E. (2008). Responsive supply chain: A competitive strategy in a networked economy. *Omega*, 36 (4), 549-564. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2006.12.002>

- Gupta, A., Su, B. C., & Walter, Z. (2004). An empirical study of consumer switching from traditional to electronic channels: A purchase-decision process perspective. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 8(3), 131-161. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10864415.2004.11044302>
- Hahsler, M., Piekenbrock, M., & Doran, D. (2019). DBSCAN: Fast Density-Based Clustering with R. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 91(1), 1-30. doi: 10.18637/jss.v091.i01
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). *Multivariate data analysis*. Prentice Hall Pearson Education
- Hayes, S.C., Strosahl, K., Wilson, K.G., Bissett, R.T., Pistorello, J., Toarmino, D., Polusny, M.A., Dykstra, T.A., Batten, S.V., Bergan, J., & Stewart, S.H. (2004), Measuring experiential avoidance: A preliminary test of a working model. *The Psychological Record*, 54(4), 553-578. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF03395492>
- Heitlager, I., Helms, R., & Brinkkemper, S. (2010, March). Evolving relationship structures in multi-sourcing arrangements: the case of mission critical outsourcing. In *International Workshop on Global Sourcing of Information Technology and Business Processes* (pp. 185-201). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
- Hemilä, J., & Vilko, J. (2015). The development of a service supply chain model for a manufacturing SME. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 26(3), 517-542. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-01-2014-0001>
- Henderson, J. (2020, Mar 3). Missing the mark with renewals? How resellers can recover. Channel Asia. <https://www.channelasia.tech/article/671497/missing-mark-renewals-how-resellers-can-recover/>.
- Hinkin, T. R. (1995). A review of scale development practices in the study of organizations. *Journal of management*, 21(5), 967-988. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639502100509>
- Huan, S.H., Sheoran, S.K., & Wang, G. (2004). A review and analysis of supply chain operations reference (SCOR) model. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 9(1), 23-29. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540410517557>
- Huang, B., Kechadi, M. T., & Buckley, B. (2012). Customer churn prediction in telecommunications. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 39(1), 1414-1425. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2011.08.024>
- Hung, S. Y., Yen, D. C., & Wang, H. Y. (2006). Applying data mining to telecom churn management. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 31(3), 515-524. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2005.09.080>

- Huo, B. (2012). The impact of supply chain integration on company performance: An organizational capability perspective. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(6), 596-610. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211269210>
- Ivanov, D., Das, A., & Choi, T. M. (2018). New flexibility drivers for manufacturing, supply chain and service operations. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(10), 3359-3368. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1457813>
- Janteng, J., Tan, C.L., & Fernando, Y. (2017). The impact of value co-creation on service supply chain performance: A proposed conceptual framework. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, 9(4), 239-249.
- Jayaram, J., Xu, K., & Nicolae, M. (2011). The direct and contingency effects of supplier coordination and customer coordination on quality and flexibility performance. *International Journal of Production Research*, 49(1), 59-85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2010.508935>
- Kamis, A., Koufaris, M., & Stern, T. (2008). Using an attribute-based decision support system for user-customized products online: an experimental investigation. *MIS Quarterly*, 32(1), 159-177. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148832>
- Karmarkar, U. S. (1996). Integrative research in marketing and operations management. *Journal of marketing Research*, 33(2), 125-133. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224379603300201>
- Kashyap, V., & Murtha, B. R. (2017). The joint effects of ex ante contractual completeness and ex post governance on compliance in franchised marketing channels. *Journal of Marketing*, 81(3), 130-153. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.14.0089>
- Kelley, S. W., & Davis, M. A. (1994). Antecedents to customer expectations for service recovery. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 22(1), 52-61. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070394221005>
- Kelly, J. (2020, Mar 5). *Measuring Renewals Sales Performance: Insights and Analytics*. Channel mechanism. <https://www.channelmechanics.com/renewals-sales-performance/>
- Kotsiantis, S. B. (2007). Supervised Machine Learning: A Review of Classification Techniques. *Informatika*, 31(3), 249-268. <https://informatika.si/index.php/informatika/article/viewFile/148/140>
- Koval, O., Nabareseh, S., & Chromjaková, F. (2019). Standardization in services: Assessing the impact on customer satisfaction. *E a M: Ekonomie a Management*, 22(3), 186-203. <https://doi.org/10.15240/tul/001/2019-3-012>
- Kwak, K., & Kim, W. (2016). Effect of service integration strategy on industrial firm performance. *Journal of Service Management*, 27(3), 391-430. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-03-2014-0088>

- Krishnamoorthi, S., & Mathew, S.K. (2018). Business analytics and business value: A comparative case study. *Information & Management*, 55(5), 643-666. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2018.01.005>
- Kumar, V., Amorim, M., Bhattacharya, A., & Garza-Reyes, J.A. (2016). Managing reverse exchanges in service supply chains. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 21(2), 157-165. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-12-2015-0467>
- Lambert, D.M. (2010). Customer relationship management as a business process. *Journal of Business & Industrial Marketing*, 25(1), 4-17. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08858621011009119>
- Laukkanen, T. (2006). Customer-perceived value of e-financial services: a means-end approach. *International journal of electronic finance*, 1(1), 5-17. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEF.2006.008835>
- Lee-Kelley, L., Crossman, A., & Cannings, A. (2004). A social interaction approach to managing the “invisibles” of virtual teams. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570410561636>
- Leenders, R. T. A., Van Engelen, J. M., & Kratzer, J. (2003). Virtuality, communication, and new product team creativity: a social network perspective. *Journal of Engineering and technology management*, 20(1-2), 69-92. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-4748\(03\)00005-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0923-4748(03)00005-5)
- Lengnick-Hall, C.A. (1996). Customer contributions to quality: A different view of the customer-oriented firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 21 (3), 791-824. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1996.9702100315>
- Leuschner, R., Rogers, D. S., & Charvet, F. F. (2013). A meta-analysis of supply chain integration and firm performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 49(2), 34-57. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12013>
- Lewin, A. Y., Massini, S., & Peeters, C. (2009). Why are companies offshoring innovation? The emerging global race for talent. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 40(2), 901–925. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jibs.2008.92>
- Lewis, I., & Talalayevsky, A. (2004). Improving the interorganizational supply chain through optimization of information flows. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 17(3), 229-237. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410390410531470>
- Lowe, B., & Alpert, F. (2010). Pricing strategy and the formation and evolution of reference price perceptions in new product categories. *Psychology & Marketing*, 27(9), 846-873. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20361>
- Li, S., Rao, S.S, Ragu-Nathan, T.S., & Ragu-Nathan, B. (2005). Development and validation of a measurement instrument for studying supply chain management practices. *Journal of Operations Management*, 23(6), 618-641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2005.01.002>

- Liebowitz, J. (2013). *Big Data and Business Analytics*, Hoboken: CRC Press.
- Liebowitz, J. (2014). Business analytics and decision-making: The years ahead. *The World Financial Review*, available at <http://www.worldfinancialreview.com/?p=1904>.
- Liu, H., Wei, S., Ke, W., Wei, K.K., & Hua, Z. (2016). The configuration between supply chain integration and information technology competency: a resource orchestration perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 44(1), 13-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2016.03.009>
- Liu, W., Bai, E., Liu, L., & Wei, W. (2017). A framework of sustainable service supply chain management: A literature review and research agenda. *Sustainability*, 9(3), 421-446. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9030421>
- Lu, D., Asian, S., Ertek, G., & Sevic, M. (2019). Mind the perception gap: An integrative performance management framework for service supply chains. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 49(1), 33-51. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-09-2017-0302>
- Lusch, R., Vargo, S., & Tanniru, M. (2010). Service, value networks and learning. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 38(1), 19-31. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-008-0131-z>
- Lusch, R. F., & Brown, J. R. (1996). Interdependency, contracting, and relational behavior in marketing channels. *Journal of Marketing*, 60(4), 19-38. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299606000404>
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Construct measurement and validation procedures in MIS and behavioral research: Integrating new and existing techniques. *MIS Quarterly*, 293-334. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23044045>
- Mahajan, V., Misra, R., & Mahajan, R. (2015). Review of Data Mining Techniques for Churn Prediction in Telecom. *Journal of Information and Organizational Sciences*, 39(2), 183-197. <https://hrcak.srce.hr/149623>
- Martins, L. L., Gilson, L. L., & Maynard, M. T. (2004). Virtual teams: What do we know and where do we go from here?. *Journal of management*, 30(6), 805-835. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jm.2004.05.002>
- Martono, N.P., Kanamori, K., & Ohwada, H. (2014). Utilizing Customers Purchase and Contract Renewal Details to Predict Defection in the Cloud Software Industry. In: Kim Y.S., Kang B.H., Richards D. (Eds.), *Knowledge Management and Acquisition for Smart Systems and Services. PKAW 2014. Lecture Notes in Computer Science* (pp. 138-149), Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-13332-4_12

- Masarifoglu, M., & Buyuklu, A. H. (2019). Applying Survival Analysis to Telecom Churn Data. *American Journal of Theoretical and Applied Statistics*, 8(6), 261-275. doi:10.11648/j.ajtas.20190806.18
- Mathew, P., Kromer, J. S., Sezgen, O., & Meyers, S. (2005). Actuarial pricing of energy efficiency projects: Lessons foul and fair. *Energy Policy*, 33(10), 1319-1328. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2003.12.008>
- Maull, R., Geraldi, J., & Johnston, R. (2012). Service supply chains: A customer perspective. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 48(4), 72-86.
- May, T. (1997). *Social Research: Issues, Methods and Process*. Buckingham: Open University Press.
- Meenakshi, M. (2020). Machine Learning Algorithms and their Real-life Applications: A Survey. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Innovative Computing & Communications (ICICC)* (pp. 1-4). <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3595299>
- Merriam, S.B. (1998). *Qualitative Research and Case Study Application in Education*. Jossey-Bass Publisher, San Francisco, CA.
- Mersha, T. (1990). Enhancing the customer contact model. *Journal of Operations Management*, 9(3), 391-405. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-6963\(90\)90162-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0272-6963(90)90162-7)
- Miles, M.B. and Huberman, A.M. (1994), *Qualitative Data Analysis: An Expanded Sourcebook*. Sage Publisher, Thousand Oaks, CA.
- Mills, P. K., & Morris, J. H. (1986). Clients as “partial” employees of service organizations: Role development in client participation. *Academy of management review*, 11(4), 726-735. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1986.4283916>
- Miner, J. B. (2015). *Organizational behavior 4: From theory to practice*. Routledge.
- Mordor Intelligence. (n.d.)*. Business analytics market: Growth, trends, and forecasts (2020-2025), available at <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/global-business-analytics-market-industry>
- Mosakowski, E. (1993). A resource-based perspective on the dynamic strategy-performance relationship: An empirical examination of the focus and differentiation strategies in entrepreneurial firms. *Journal of management*, 19(4), 819-839. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920639301900405>
- Murtagh, F., & Contreras, P. (2011). Algorithms for hierarchical clustering: an overview. *WIREs Data Mining Knowledge Discovery*, 2(1), 96-97. <https://doi.org/10.1002/widm.53>

- Murthy, D.N.P., & Asgharizadeh, E. (1999). Optimal decision making in a maintenance service operation. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 116(2), 259-273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(98\)90202-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(98)90202-8)
- Mustak, M., Jaakkola, E., Halinen, A., & Kaartemo, V. (2016). Customer participation management: Developing a comprehensive framework and a research agenda. *Journal of Service Management*, 27(3), 250-275. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-01-2015-0014>
- Narasimhan, R., & Das, A. (2001). The impact of purchasing integration and practices on manufacturing performance. *Journal of operations Management*, 19(5), 593-609. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(01\)00055-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(01)00055-9)
- Narasimhan, R., & Nair, A. (2005). The antecedent role of quality, information sharing and supply chain proximity on strategic alliance formation and performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 96(3), 301-313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2003.06.004>
- Neely, A., Gregory, M., & Platts, K. (1995). Performance measurement system design a literature review and research agenda. *International Journal Operations & Production Management*, 25(12), 80-116. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443579510083622>
- Neely, A.D., Adams, C., & Kennerley, M. (2002). *The Performance Prism: The Scorecard for Measuring and Managing Business Success*. London: Prentice Hall Financial Times. London.
- Noon, C. E., Hankins, C. T., Cote, M. J., & Lieb, M. (2003). Understanding the impact of variation in the delivery of healthcare services/practitioner application. *Journal of Healthcare Management*, 48(2), 82-97.
- Norman, D. A., & Bobrow, D. G. (1975). On data-limited and resource-limited processes. *Cognitive Psychology*, 7(1), 44-64. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285\(75\)90004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-0285(75)90004-3)
- Nouri, F.A., Nikabadi, M.S., & Olfat, L. (2019). Developing the framework of sustainable service supply chain balanced scorecard (SSSC BSC). *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 68(1), 148-170. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPPM-04-2018-0149>
- Nystén-Haarala, S., Lee, N. & Lehto, J. (2010). Flexibility in contract terms and contracting processes. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 3(3), 462-478. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17538371011056084>
- Oertzen, A.S., Odekerken-Schröder, G., Brax, S.A., & Mager, B. (2018). Co-creating services-conceptual clarification, forms and outcomes. *Journal of Service Management*, 29(4), 641-679. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOSM-03-2017-0067>

Ogulin, R., Selen, W., & Ashayeri, J. (2012). Determinants of informal coordination in networked supply chains. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 25(4), 328-348. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17410391211245829>

Okhuysen, G. A., & Bechky, B. A. (2009). 10 coordination in organizations: An integrative perspective. *Academy of Management Annals*, 3(1), 463-502. <https://doi.org/10.5465/19416520903047533>

Oliver, R. L. (1999). Whence consumer loyalty?. *Journal of marketing*, 63(4_suppl1), 33-44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/00222429990634s105>

Pagell, M. (2004). Understanding the factors that enable and inhibit the integration of operations, purchasing and logistics. *Journal of operations management*, 22(5), 459-487. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2004.05.008>

Pallant, J. (2020). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS*. Routledge.

Pamina, J., Beschi, R., SathyaBama, S., Soundarya, S., Sruthi, M., S., Kiruthika, S., Aiswaryadevi, V. J., & Priyanka, G. (2019). An Effective Classifier for Predicting Churn in Telecommunication. *Jour of Adv Research in Dynamical & Control Systems*, 11 (01), 221-229. <https://ssrn.com/abstract=3399937>

Parker, G. M. (2011). *Team players and teamwork: New strategies for developing successful collaboration*. John Wiley & Sons.

Peng, M. W., Wang, D. Y., & Jiang, Y. (2008). An institution-based view of international business strategy: A focus on emerging economies. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 39(5), 920-936. <https://doi.org/10.1057/palgrave.jibs.8400377>

Penrose, E., & Penrose, E. T. (2009). *The Theory of the Growth of the Firm*. Oxford university press.

Petersen, K. J., Handfield, R. B., & Ragatz, G. L. (2005). Supplier integration into new product development: coordinating product, process and supply chain design. *Journal of operations management*, 23(3-4), 371-388. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2004.07.009>

Pillai, M., & Adavi, P. (2013). Electronic Contract Management. *International Journal of Engineering and Advanced Technology*, 2(5), 555-557. <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.676.3647&rep=rep1&type=pdf>

Poppo, L., & Zenger, T. (2002). Do formal contracts and relational governance function as substitutes or complements?. *Strategic Management Journal*, 23(8), 707-725. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2175>

- Powell, A., Piccoli, G., & Ives, B. (2004). Virtual teams: a review of current literature and directions for future research. *ACM SIGMIS Database: the DATABASE for Advances in Information Systems*, 35(1), 6-36. <https://doi.org/10.1145/968464.968467>
- Prahalad, C. K., & Hamel, G. (1990). The core competence of the corporation, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship, available at <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1505251> (accessed 17 Nov 2009)
- Prajogo, D., & Olhager, J. (2012). Supply chain integration and performance: the effects of long-term relationships, information technology and sharing, and logistics integration. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 135(1), 514-522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2011.09.001>
- Prasad, S.K., & Shankar, R. (2018). Service capacity coordination in IT services supply chain. *Journal of Modelling in Management*, 3(4), 952-972. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JM2-04-2017-0044>
- Qureshi, S., Liu, M., & Vogel, D. (2006). The effects of electronic collaboration in distributed project management. *Group decision and negotiation*, 15(1), 55-75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10726-005-9006-6>
- Randall, W.S., Pohlen, T.L., & Hanna, J.B. (2010). Evolving a theory of performance-based logistics using insights from service dominant logic. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 31(2), 35-61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2010.tb00142.x>
- Saini, A. (2010). Purchasing Ethics and Inter-Organizational Buyer–Supplier Relational Determinants: A Conceptual Framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(1), 439–455. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0432-2>
- Sampson, S.E. (2000). Customer-supplier duality and bi-directional supply chains in service organizations. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 11(4), 348–364. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564230010355377>
- Sampson, S. E., & Froehle, C. M. (2006). Foundations and implications of a proposed unified services theory. *Production and operations management*, 15(2), 329-343. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.2006.tb00248.x>
- Sampson, S.E., & Spring, M. (2012). Customer roles in service supply chains and opportunities for innovation. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 48(4), 30-50. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2012.03282.x>
- Sánchez, J. M., Vélez, M. L., & Álvarez-Dardet, C. (2013). Evolving functions of interorganizational governance mechanisms. *Managerial and Decision Economics*, 34(3-5), 301-318. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mde.2591>

- Saunders, C. S., & Ahuja, M. K. (2006). Are all distributed teams the same? Differentiating between temporary and ongoing distributed teams. *Small Group Research*, 37(6), 662-700. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496406294323>
- Savoie, E. J. (2002). Tapping the power of teams. In *Theory and research on small groups* (pp. 229-244). Springer, Boston, MA.
- Scardino, L., Young, A., & Maurer, W. (2005). Common pricing models and best-use cases for it services and outsourcing contracts. *Gartner*, Research Report G00130926.
- Schermelleh-Engel, K., Moosbrugger, H., & Müller, H. (2003). Evaluating the fit of structural equation models: Tests of significance and descriptive goodness-of-fit measures. *Methods of Psychological Research Online*, 8(2), 23-74.
- Seddon, P.B., Calvert, C., & Yang, S. (2010). A multiproject model of key factors affecting organizational benefits from enterprise systems. *MIS Quarterly*, 34(2), 305-328. <https://doi.org/10.2307/20721429>
- Seddon, P.B., Constantinidis, D., Tamm, T., & Dod, H. (2017). How does business analytics contribute to business value?. *Info Systems Journal*, 27(3), 237-269. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12101>
- Sein, M.K., Henfridsson, O., Purao, S., Rossi, M., & Lindgren, R. (2011). Action design research. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(2), 37-56. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23043488>
- Sengupta, K., Heiser, D.R., & Cook, L.S. (2006). Manufacturing and service supply chain performance: A comparative analysis. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 42(4), 4-15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2006.00018.x>
- Shadan, M., (2017, May 2). *R - Unsupervised Learning in R*. Rstudio. https://rstudio-pubs-static.s3.amazonaws.com/273129_453610ca694541e1a2c5664bf7ccba1a.html
- Shukla, P. (2010). Effects of Perceived Sacrifice, Quality, Value, and Satisfaction on Behavioral Intentions in the Service Environment. *Services Marketing Quarterly*, 31(4), 466-484. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15332969.2010.510730>
- Silvestro, R., & Cross, S. (2000). Applying the service profit chain in a retail environment: Challenging the satisfaction mirror. *International Journal of Service Industry Management*, 11(3), 244-268. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09564230010340760>
- Skaggs, B. C., & Galli-Debicella, A. (2012). The effects of customer contact on organizational structure and performance in service firms. *The Service Industries Journal*, 32(3), 337-352. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2010.529132>

Soteriou, A. C., & Chase, R. B. (1998). Linking the customer contact model to service quality. *Journal of Operations Management*, 16(4), 495-508. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(98\)00026-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(98)00026-6)

Sousa, R., (2003). Linking quality management to manufacturing strategy: An empirical investigation of customer focus practices. *Journal of Operations Management*, 21(1), 1-18. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963\(02\)00055-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0272-6963(02)00055-4)

Sparks, E.R., Venkataraman, S., Kaftan, T., Franklin, M.J., & Recht, B. (2017). Keystone ml: Optimizing pipelines for large-scale advanced analytics. *2017 IEEE 33rd International Conference on Data Engineering (ICDE)*, 535-546. DOI: [10.1109/ICDE.2017.109](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE.2017.109)

Spearman, M.L., & Hopp, W.J. (1998). Teaching operations management from a science of manufacturing. *Production and Operations Management*, 7(2), 132-145. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1937-5956.1998.tb00445.x>

Srai, J.S. (2011). Supply network integration in multi-organizational network systems. *International Journal of Manufacturing Research*, 6(2), 122-133. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJMR.2011.040007>

Stevens, G. C., & Johnson, M. (2016). Integrating the supply chain... 25 years on. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 46 (1), 19-42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-07-2015-0175>

Stolle, M. A. (2008). Purchasing to Supply Management: A Study of the Benefits and Critical Factors of Evolution to Best Practice.

Stough, S., Eom, S., & Buckenmyer, J. (2000). Virtual teaming: a strategy for moving your organization into the new millennium. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635570010353857>

Stratman, J. K. (2008). Facilitating offshoring with enterprise technologies: Reducing operational friction in the governance and production of services. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(2), 275-287. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.02.006>

Stremersch, S., Weiss, A. M., Dellaert, B. G. G., & Frambach, R.T. (2003). Buying Modular Systems in Technology Intensive Markets. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 40(3), 335-350. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.40.3.335.192>

Sturgeon, R. (2011, June 28). Sales & Marketing: 12 Essential KPIs to Drive Improved Service Revenue Performance. ServiceSource. <https://sandhill.com/article/12-essential-kpis-to-drive-improved-service-revenue-performance/>

Swafford, P. M., Ghosh, S., & Murthy, N. (2006). The antecedents of supply chain agility of a firm: scale development and model testing. *Journal of Operations management*, 24(2), 170-188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2005.05.002>

Taber, K. S. (2018). The use of Cronbach's alpha when developing and reporting research instruments in science education. *Research in science education*, 48(6), 1273-1296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-016-9602-2>

Tate, W. L., Ellram, L. M., & Brown, S. W. (2009). Offshore outsourcing of services: A stakeholder perspective. *Journal of Service Research*, 12(1), 56-72. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109467050933861>

Thomas Hafen (n.d). Annuity management: Channel Renewal Management- Challenges and Solutions. <https://www.annuity-management.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/Channel-Renewal-Management-Challenges-and-Solutions1.pdf>

Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a methodology for developing evidence-informed management knowledge by means of systematic review. *British Journal of Management*, 14(3), 207-222. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8551.00375>

Tsai, C. F., & Lu, Y. H. (2009). Customer churn prediction by hybrid neural networks. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 36(10), 12547-12553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2009.05.032>

Ueltschy, L.C., Laroche, M., Eggert, A., & Bindl, U. (2007). Service quality and satisfaction: an international comparison of professional services perceptions. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 21(6), 410-423. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876040710818903>

Van der Valk, W., & Van Iwaarden, J. (2011). Monitoring in service triads consisting of buyers, subcontractors and end customers. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 17(3), 198-206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2011.05.002>

Van der Valk, W., Wynstra, F., & Axelsson, B. (2009). Effective buyer-supplier interaction patterns in ongoing service exchange. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 29(8), 807-833. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570910977706>

Van Weele, A. J., & Van Raaij, E. M. (2014). The future of purchasing and supply management research: About relevance and rigor. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 50(1), 56-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12042>

Vargo, S.L., & Lusch, R.H. (2004). Evolving to a new dominant logic for marketing. *Journal of Marketing*, 68(1), 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.1.24036>

Vargo, S. L., & Lusch, R. H. (2008). Service-dominant logic: continuing the evolution. *Journal of the Academy of marketing Science*, 36(1), 1-10. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.68.1.1.24036>

- Venkataraman, S., & Low, M. B. (1994). The effects of liabilities of age and size on autonomous sub-units of established firms in the steel distribution industry. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 9(3), 189-204. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026\(94\)90029-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/0883-9026(94)90029-9)
- Vidgen, R., Shaw, S., & Grant, D. B. (2017). Management challenges in creating value from business analytics. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 261(2), 626-639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2017.02.023>
- Wang, B., Kang, Y., Childerhouse, P., & Huo, B. (2018). Service supply chain integration: the role of interpersonal relationships. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 118(4), 828-849. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-02-2017-0062>
- Wang, D., Liu, W., Shen, X., & Wei, W. (2019). Service order allocation under uncertain demand: Risk aversion, peer competition, and relationship strength. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 130(3), 293-311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2019.09.005>
- Wang, J., & Liu, L. (2019). Study on the mechanism of customers' participation in knowledge sharing. *Expert Systems*, 36(5), e12367. <https://doi.org/10.1111/exsy.12367>
- Wang, Y., Wallace, S.W., Shen, B., & Choi, T.S. (2015). Service supply chain management: A review of operational models. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 247(3), 685-698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2015.05.053>
- Wangenheim, F. V., Wunderlich, N. V., & Schumann, J. H. (2017). Renew or cancel? Drivers of customer renewal decisions for IT-based service contracts. *Journal of Business Research*, 79(4), 181-188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.06.008>
- Wardell, C.L., Wynter, L., & Helander, M. (2008). Capacity and value-based pricing model for professional services. *Journal of Revenue and Pricing Management*, 7(4), 326-340. <https://doi.org/10.1057/rpm.2008.18>
- Wattanakamolchai, S. (2008). Managing customer participation in the service production process. *Boston University Academic Review*, 8(1), 1-9.
- Weyers, M., & Louw, L. (2017). Framework for the classification of service standardization. *The Service Industries Journal*, 37(8), 409-425. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02642069.2017.1329419>
- Whipple, J.M., Wiedmer, R., & K. Boyer, K. (2015). A dyadic investigation of collaborative competence, social capital, and performance in buyer-supplier relationships. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 51(2), 3-21. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12071>
- Williams, B., Onsmann, A., & Brown, T. (2010). Exploratory factor analysis: A five-step guide for novices. *Australasian journal of paramedicine*, 8(3). <https://doi.org/10.33151/ajp.8.3.93>

- Williams, P. J., Khan, M. S., Semaan, R., Naumann, E. R., & Ashill, N. J. (2017). Drivers of contract renewal in international B2B services: a firm-level analysis. *Marketing Intelligence & Planning*, 35(3), 358-376. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-05-2016-0079>
- Wixom, B.H., & Watson, H.J. (2001). An empirical investigation of the factors affecting data warehousing success. *MIS Quarterly*, 25(1), 17-41. <https://doi.org/10.2307/3250957>
- Womack, J. P., & Jones, D. T. (1996). *Lean Thinking*, Simon and Schuster. *New York, NY*.
- Wong, C. Y., Boon-Itt, S., & Wong, C. W. (2011). The contingency effects of environmental uncertainty on the relationship between supply chain integration and operational performance. *Journal of Operations management*, 29(6), 604-615. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2011.01.003>
- Wood, R. E. (1986). Task complexity: Definition of the construct. *Organizational behavior and human decision processes*, 37(1), 60-82. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978\(86\)90044-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/0749-5978(86)90044-0)
- Woolthuis, R.K., Hillebrand, B., & Nooteboom, B. (2005). Trust, contract and relationship development. *Organization Studies*, 26(6), 813-840. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0170840605054594>
- World Bank (2020). Services, value added (% of GDP). *The World Bank Group*, available at <https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/NV.SRV.TOTL.ZS> (accessed April 15, 2022).
- Wuyts, S., & Geyskens, I. (2005). The formation of buyer–supplier relationships: detailed contract drafting and close partner selection. *Journal of Marketing*, 69(4), 103-117. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.2005.69.4.103>
- Xin, D., Ma, L., Liu, J., Macke, S., Song, S., & Parameswaran, A. (2018). Accelerating human-in-the-loop machine learning: Challenges and opportunities. Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Data Management for End-To-End Machine Learning, 9 (ACM), available at <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1804.05892.pdf>
- Xu, D., & Tian, Y. (2015). A Comprehensive Survey of Clustering Algorithms. *Annals of Data Science*, 2 (2), 165-193. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40745-015-0040-1>
- Yin, R.K. (2009), *Case Study Research: Design and Methods*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Yin, R.K. (2011), *Applications of Case Study Research*. Sage.
- Yuen, K.F., & Thai, V.V. (2017). The influence of supply chain integration on operational performance: A comparison between product and service supply chains. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 28 (2), 444-463. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-12-2015-0241>

Zhan, B., & Zeng, Y. (2011). Port service supply chain performance evaluation based on GRA. In 2011 IEEE 2nd International Conference on Computing, Control and Industrial Engineering, Wuhan, pp. 421-424, available at <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/6008154>.

Zhang, J., Gou, Q., Liang, L., & Huang, Z. (2013). Supply chain coordination through cooperative advertising with reference price effect. *Omega*, 41(2), 345-353. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2012.03.009>

Zhang, M., Zhao, X., Voss, C., & Zhu, G. (2016). Innovating through services, co-creation and supplier integration: Cases from China. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 171, 289-300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.09.026>

Zhao, X., Huo, B., Selen, W., & Yeung, J.H.Y. (2011). The impact of internal integration and relationship commitment on external integration. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(1), 17-32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.04.004>

Zhou, M., Park, T., & Yi, J. (2009). Commonalities and differences between service and manufacturing supply chains: Combining operations management studies and supply chain. *California Journal of Operations Management*, 7(1), 136-143. https://scholarworks.sjsu.edu/org_mgmt_pub/23/

Zissis, D., Ioannou, G., & Burnetas, A. (2015). Supply chain coordination under discrete information asymmetries and quantity discounts. *Omega*, 53(3), 21-29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2014.11.007>

Zou, W., Brax, S. A., Vuori, M., & Rajala, R. (2019). The influences of contract structure, contracting process, and service complexity on supplier performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 39(4), 525-549. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-12-2016-0756>