

Essays on Luxury brand strategies for second-hand market using blockchain technology

Thesis document

Umang Varshney

2019FPM17

OM & QT

Thesis advisory committee

Prof. Rohit Kapoor (Thesis Chair)

Prof. Sanjay C Chaudhari (Member)

Prof. Aditya Billore (Member)

Prof. Amit Karamchandani (External Member)



सिद्धिमूलं प्रबन्धनम्
भा. प्र. सं. इन्दौर
IIM INDORE

Table of Contents

Chapter 1 Essay on Luxury Brand Strategies for Second-hand Market using Blockchain Technology .	1
1.1 Introduction.....	1
1.2 Motivation.....	2
1.3 Scope of the Thesis	3
Chapter 2 Profit or Prestige? Strategies for Luxury brands to Navigate the Second-Hand Market Using Blockchain Technology.....	4
2.1 Chapter at a glance.....	4
2.2 Introduction.....	4
2.2.1 Background and motivation	4
2.2.2 Research questions and major findings.....	5
2.2.3 Contribution and structure of this paper.....	6
2.3 Literature review	7
2.3.1 Blockchain in luxury industry.....	7
2.3.2 Luxury brands involvement in second-hand platform	8
2.4 Model development	10
2.5 Analysis.....	15
2.5.1 Optimal Pricing Conditions	16
2.5.2 Profitability of luxury brand	16
2.5.3 Exclusivity of luxury brand.....	22
2.5.4 Consumer welfare	25
2.6 Conclusion	26
2.6.1 Managerial Implications	26
Chapter 3 Analyzing Luxury Brand Second-hand Market Strategies of Blockchain Authentication ...	28
3.1 Chapter at a glance:.....	28
3.2 Introduction.....	28
3.2.1 Motivation and Background.....	28
3.2.2 Research Questions	30
3.2.3 Contributions and Uniqueness	31
3.3 Literature Review.....	32
3.3.1 Blockchain in Second-hand Luxury.....	32
3.3.2 Luxury Brands in the Second-hand Market	32
3.4 Model.....	35
3.5 Analysis.....	42
3.5.1 Optimal Pricing Conditions	42
3.5.2 Profitability of the Second-hand Platform	43

3.5.3 Exclusivity of Luxury Brand.....	46
3.5.4 Consumer Surplus	48
3.6 Conclusion	48
3.6.1 Managerial Implications	48
Chapter 4 Conclusion.....	51
4.1 Theoretical contributions	51
4.2 Limitations and future research directions.....	51
Chapter 5 Proofs of the results in Chapter 2 and 3	53
5.1 List of Appendix for chapter 2	53
Appendix A: Summary of Notations.....	53
Appendix B: Equilibrium expressions	56
Appendix C: Notations of expressions used in intermediary calculations.....	58
Appendix D: Summary of results threshold.....	60
Appendix E: Proofs.....	62
5.2 List of Appendix for chapter 3	70
Appendix F: Summary of Notations	70
Appendix G: Equilibrium expressions.....	70
Appendix H: Notations of expressions used in intermediary calculations.....	72
Appendix I: Summary of results threshold	74
Appendix J: Proofs.....	77
Chapter 6 References	82

List of Tables

Table 1: Thesis at a glance	3
Table 2: The trade-off using blockchain for the luxury brand	7
Table 3: List of luxury brands, their associated second-hand platforms and blockchain adoption status	8
Table 4: Literature position of Chapter 2	9
Table 5: List of notations used in Chapter 2	11
Table 6: Expressions for consumer utilities and objective function for players in Chapter 2.....	14
Table 7: Pre-set parameters for numerical illustration of Chapter 2 results.....	15
Table 8: Managerial insights for brands planning to enter the second-hand market.....	27
Table 9: Used cases of Chapter 3	33
Table 10: Literature position of Chapter 3	34
Table 11: List of notations used in Chapter 3.....	36
Table 12: Expressions for consumer utilities and objective function for players in Chapter 3.....	41
Table 13: Pre-set parameters for numerical illustration of Chapter 3 results.....	42
Table 14: Managerial insights for different second-hand market strategies	49

Table of Figures

Figure 1: Luxury Goods-Revenue by segment Worldwide (Statistica, 2023)	2
--	---

Figure 2. Model structures depicting players and their decision variables used in Chapter 2	10
Figure 3. Sequence of events in Chapter 2.....	11
Figure 4. Profit functions (b1 and b2).....	17
Figure 5. Luxury brand profit functions (b1, c1 and c3).....	19
Figure 6. Luxury brand profit functions (b2, c2 and c4) with respect to platform acquisition/ launch cost (S)	20
Figure 7. Luxury brand profit functions (b2, and c2) with respect to luxury identity (i).....	21
Figure 8. Luxury brand profit functions (c1 and c2).....	22
Figure 9. Brand exclusivity (b1 and c1).....	23
Figure 10. Brand exclusivity (b1 and b2)	24
Figure 11: Variation of second-hand product price (p_s) across cases	26
Figure 12: Model structures depicting players and their decision variables used in Chapter 3	36
Figure 13: Sequence of events in Chapter 3	38
Figure 14: Decision chart for second-hand platform profitability	46

Chapter 4 Conclusion

4.1 Theoretical contributions

This thesis contributes to two main streams of literature: the second-hand luxury market and the use of blockchain technology for luxury brand authentication (Shen et al., 2020, 2021). While prior studies have explored these topics separately, they have not examined the simultaneous impact of blockchain on brand exclusivity and profitability in the second-hand luxury market.

Essay one uses a single-period game-theoretic analytical model to analyse brand-appropriate strategies for blockchain technology in the second-hand market, considering factors such as the social value attached to knowingly purchasing counterfeits, the brand's aspirational value, and status utility. Our findings offer valuable insights for luxury brand managers regarding the role of blockchain technology, luxury identity, and product quality in preserving brand exclusivity and the circumstances under which the second-hand platform can impact the brand's aspirational value.

Building on essay one, essay two proposes second-hand platform strategy implications for the luxury brand. A single-period game-theoretic analytical model is proposed to examine two benefits of blockchain implementation. The first benefit is product authentication captured through changes in status utility. The second benefit is product ownership record desirability captured through gains in utility. Furthermore, the allure of a luxury brand is magnified by its impressive resale value, underscoring its significant asset value. This symbiotic relationship between the prices of new offerings and their resale values not only enhances the brand's appeal but also raises the price bar for the entire collection (Kapferer & Bastien, 2012). Essay two suggests that focusing on a specific timeframe characterized by neutral market sentiment towards luxury brands can help in decoupling the relationship between new product price and resale value, thereby being helpful in gaining insights into a clearer understanding of the second-hand market. The findings in the study can serve as a stepping stone for further research in developing effective strategies for luxury second-hand markets.

4.2 Limitations and future research directions

While these essays provide valuable insights, there are some limitations that present opportunities for future research. First, it is important to acknowledge that this study assumed consumer product valuations are heterogeneously distributed with a uniform distribution, which may not accurately reflect societal wealth distribution. Future research could explore the impact of different income levels on consumer valuation of luxury brands. Second, with sustainability becoming an increasingly important factor for both consumers and companies,

it would be valuable for future research to examine brand strategies that appeal to environmentally conscious consumers (Feng et al., 2020; Klaus & Tynan, 2022)

Third, luxury brands have observed that young consumers value access to luxury more than owning them permanently (Luxe Digital, 2022). This shift has prompted luxury brands like Kering to offer rental services (Feng et al., 2020; Chitrakorn, 2021). Fourth, as the luxury industry (valued at \$1319B in 2022) increasingly enters the sharing economy, it is crucial to determine the optimal strategy for luxury brand in engaging with second-hand platform and rental platform (Cisse, 2020; Feng et al., 2020). Fifth, in addition to second-hand and rental markets, luxury brands are expanding into the metaverse to target new consumer demographics like gamers, warranting analysis of these strategies together (Girod, 2023).

Sixth, luxury brands are interested in emerging markets like India and China, where the number of millionaires is projected to grow by 105% and 97% by 2026, respectively (Schorrocks et al., 2022). Despite Balenciaga and Reliance Brands Limited has signed a partnership deal (D'Souza, 2022), brands in India need to comply with regulatory requirements, such as sourcing at least 30% of the value of goods locally (DPIIT, 2020). Additionally, these brands will face tough competition from homegrown luxury brands like Sabyasachi and Heaven Gaia, which resonate with their culture. Considering these complexities alongside second-hand opportunities could provide impactful insights.

Chapter 5 Proofs of the results in Chapter 2 and 3

5.1 List of Appendix for chapter 2

Appendix A: Summary of Notations

Table A.1: Parametric notations

Notations	Definitions	Parametric Ranges
$p_{\alpha j}$	Price of player α in case j . Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha= B, S$ & C	$[0, \infty)$
λ	Second-hand product quality	$[0, 1]$
δ	Counterfeit product quality	$[0, 1]$
μ	Reselling utility gain sensitivity parameter	$[0, 1]$
γ	Consumer's perception about quality of product	$[0, 1]$
i	Brand Identity/ Luxury identity	$[0, 1]$
S	Second-hand Platform acquisition cost	$[0, \infty)$
a	Authentication cost	$[0, 1]$
$T_{\alpha j}$	Consumer valuation threshold for player α for case j	$[0, 1]$
$\pi_{\alpha j}$	Profit for player α for case j	$(-\infty, \infty)$
SU_{Bj}	Exclusivity of a luxury product for case j	$(-\infty, \infty)$
CW_j	Consumer welfare function for case j	$(-\infty, \infty)$

Table A.2: Utility functions ($U_{\alpha j}$) expressions. Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha= B, S$ & C

Cases	Luxury Brand product (B)	Second-hand product (S)	Counterfeit product (C)	Non-Buyers (N)
b1	$v - p_{Bb1} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cb1} + 1)$	NA	$\delta v - p_{Cb1} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cb1} + 1)$	$\frac{iT_{Cb1}}{2}$
b2	$v - p_{Bb2} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sb2} + 1)$	NA	NA	$\frac{iT_{Bb2}}{2}$
c1	$v - p_{Bc1} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc1} + 1) + \mu p_{Sc1}$	$v((1-\gamma)\delta + \gamma) - p_{Sc1} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc1} + 1)$	$\delta v - p_{Cc1} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc1} + 1)$	$\frac{iT_{Cc1}}{2}$

c2	$v - p_{Bc2} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sc2} + 1) + \mu p_{Sc2}$	$\lambda v - p_{Sc2} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sc2} + 1)$	NA	$\frac{iT_{Sc2}}{2}$
c3	$v - p_{Bc3} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc3} + 1) + \mu p_{Sc3}$	$v\lambda - p_{Sc3} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc3} + 1)$	$\delta v - p_{Cc3} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc3} + 1)$	$\frac{iT_{Cc3}}{2}$
c4	$v - p_{Bc4} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sc4} + 1) + \mu p_{Sc4}$	$v\lambda - p_{Sc4} + \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sc4} + 1)$	NA	$\frac{iT_{Sc4}}{2}$

Table A.3: Profit function (π_{α_j}) expression. Where $j = b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha = B, S$ & C

Cases	Luxury Brand product (B)	Second-hand product (S)	Counterfeit product (C)
b1	$p_{Bb1}(1 - T_{Bb1})$	NA	$(p_{Cb1} - \delta)(T_{Sb1} - T_{Cb1})$
b2	$(p_{Bb2} - a)(1 - T_{Bb2})$	NA	NA
c1	$p_{Bc1}(1 - T_{Bc1})$	$(p_{Sc1} - \lambda)(T_{Bc1} - T_{Sc1})$	$(p_{Cc1} - \delta)(T_{Sc1} - T_{Cc1})$
c2	$(p_{Bc2} - a)(1 - T_{Bc2}) + a(T_{Bc2} - T_{Sc2})$	$(p_{Sc2} - a - \lambda)(T_{Bc2} - T_{Sc2})$	NA
c3	$p_{Bc3}(1 - T_{Bc3}) + (p_{Sc3} - \lambda)(T_{Bc3} - T_{Sc3}) - S$		$(p_{Cc3} - \delta)(T_{Sc3} - T_{Cc3})$
c4	$(p_{Bc4} - a)(1 - T_{Bc4}) + (p_{Sc4} - a - \lambda)(T_{Bc4} - T_{Sc4}) - S$		NA

Table A.4: Exclusivity (SU_{B_j}) expression of luxury product. Where $j = b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$

Case	Calculation for Exclusivity
b1	$\int_{T_{Cb1}}^1 \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cb1} + 1)dv$
b2	$\int_{T_{Bb2}}^1 \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Bb2} + 1)dv$
c1	$\int_{T_{Cc1}}^1 \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc1} + 1)dv$
c2	$\int_{T_{Sc2}}^1 \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sc2} + 1)dv$
c3	$\int_{T_{Cc3}}^1 \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Cc3} + 1)dv$
c4	$\int_{T_{Sc4}}^1 \frac{1}{2}i(T_{Sc4} + 1)dv$

Table A.5: Luxury consumer welfare function (CW_j). Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$

Case	Expression
b1	$\int_{T_{Bb1}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (i + 2v - 2p_{Bb1} + iT_{Cb1}) \right) dv$
b2	$\int_{T_{Bb2}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (i + 2v - 2p_{Bb2} + iT_{Bb2}) \right) dv$
c1	$\int_{T_{Bc1}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (i + 2v - 2p_{Bc1} + 2\mu p_{Sc1} + iT_{Cc1}) \right) dv$
c2	$\int_{T_{Bc2}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (i + 2v - 2p_{Bc2} + 2\mu p_{Sc2} + iT_{Sc2}) \right) dv$
c3	$\int_{T_{Bc3}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (i + 2v - 2p_{Bc3} + 2\mu p_{Sc3} + iT_{Cc3}) \right) dv$
c4	$\int_{T_{Bc4}}^1 \left(\frac{1}{2} (i + 2v - 2p_{Bc4} + 2\mu p_{Sc4} + iT_{Sc4}) \right) dv$

Appendix B: Equilibrium expressions

Table B.1: Equilibrium Outcomes

Case	Notation	Expression
b1	P_{Bb1}^*	$\frac{4+i-(2+i)\delta}{8-2\delta}$
	P_{Cb1}^*	$\frac{(i^2(-1+\delta)+8\delta-2i(6+(-9+\delta)\delta))(8\delta+i(-4+7i(-1+\delta)+2\delta(3+\delta)))}{16(2+i)^2(-4+\delta)^2(1-\delta)\delta}$
b2	P_{Bb2}^*	$\frac{(2+2a+i)}{4}$
c1	P_{Bc1}^*	$\frac{(8\gamma^3(-1+\delta)^2\mu-2\delta(3+\delta(-2+\mu)+2\lambda(1+\mu))-\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(8+i(1+\mu)+2\delta(-2+9\mu)-4\mu(2+\lambda+\lambda\mu))+\gamma(i(-1+\delta)(1+\mu)+4\delta^2(-2+3\mu)-4(2+\lambda+\lambda\mu)+2\delta(9-5\mu-2\lambda(-1+\mu^2))))}{(4(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu)))}$
	P_{Sc1}^*	$\frac{i\gamma(-1+\gamma+\delta-\gamma\delta)+2(\gamma(-1+\delta)-\delta)(\gamma^2(-1+\delta)+\delta+2\lambda+\gamma(1-2\delta+2\lambda\mu))}{2(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))}$
	P_{Cc1}^*	$\frac{i\gamma(-1+\delta)(4+\gamma(-1+3\mu))+2\delta(-2(2\delta+\lambda)+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-2+3\mu)-\gamma(5+3\delta(-2+\mu)+2\lambda\mu))}{4(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))}$
c2	P_{Bc2}^*	$\frac{4-2\lambda+6\lambda\mu-2\lambda^2\mu+2\lambda^2\mu^2-i(-1+\lambda)(1+\mu)+2a(5+\mu)(1+\lambda\mu)}{8+\lambda(-2+6\mu)}$
	P_{Sc2}^*	$\frac{i-i\lambda+2a(1+\lambda+\lambda\mu)+\lambda(3-\lambda+2\lambda\mu)}{4+\lambda(-1+3\mu)}$
c3	P_{Bc3}^*	$\frac{(\delta(-4+4\lambda-8\lambda\mu-4\lambda^2(-1+\mu)\mu+i(-1+\lambda)(2+\mu))+\lambda(8+4\lambda^2(-2+\mu)\mu-i(-1+\lambda)(2+\mu)+4\lambda(-2+3\mu)))}{(4\delta(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2))-4\lambda(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2)))}$
	P_{Sc3}^*	$\frac{i(\delta-\lambda)(-1+\lambda)+2\lambda(\delta(-2+2\lambda-\mu)+\lambda(4-4\lambda+\mu))}{2\delta(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2))-2\lambda(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2))}$
	P_{Cc3}^*	$\frac{(-2\delta\lambda(\delta(-4-\mu+\lambda(4+\mu^2)))+\lambda(8+\mu-\lambda(8+\mu^2)))+i(\delta^2(-1+\lambda+\lambda\mu^2)+\lambda^2(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2))+\delta\lambda(5-2\mu^2))}{(4\lambda(-\delta(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2))+\lambda(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2))))}$
c4	P_{Bc4}^*	$\frac{-4+4\lambda-6\lambda\mu+4\lambda^2\mu-2\lambda^2\mu^2+2a(-1+\lambda)(2+\mu)+i(-1+\lambda)(2+\mu)}{-8+2\lambda(4+\mu^2)}$
	P_{Sc4}^*	$\frac{2a(-1+\lambda)+i(-1+\lambda)+\lambda(-4+4\lambda-\mu)}{-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2)}$

Table B.2: Exclusivity ($SU_{\alpha_j}^*$) expression of a luxury product at equilibrium. Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha= B$

Cases	Exclusivity of a luxury product
b1	$-\left(\frac{i(-4+i(-7+\delta))(i+2\delta)(i^2(-7+\delta)+8(7-2\delta)\delta-2i(2-9\delta+3\delta^2))}{32(2+i)^2(-4+\delta)^2\delta^2}\right)$
b2	$\frac{16i-i(-2-2a+i)^2}{32}$

c1	$-\left(\frac{\left(i\left(-6\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu)+\gamma(-4+4\delta-6\delta\mu)\right)+2\delta(-2\delta+2\lambda+3\gamma^2(-1+\delta)\mu+\gamma(-3+2\delta-3\delta\mu+2\lambda\mu))\right)\left(-6\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu)+\gamma(-4+4\delta-6\delta\mu)\right)+2\delta(2(5\delta+\lambda)-\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-4+9\mu)+\gamma(13-14\delta+9\delta\mu+2\lambda\mu))\right)}{\left(32\delta^2(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))\right)^2}\right)$
c2	$-\left(\frac{i(2\lambda(1+\lambda\mu)-4a(1+\lambda+\lambda\mu)+i(2+\lambda+3\lambda\mu))(-4a(1+\lambda+\lambda\mu)+i(2+\lambda+3\lambda\mu)-2\lambda(7-2\lambda+5\lambda\mu))}{8\lambda^2(4+\lambda(-1+3\mu))^2}\right)$
c3	$-\left(\frac{\left(i\left(2\delta(\delta-\lambda)\lambda\mu(1+\lambda\mu)+i(-\delta(-1+\lambda)\lambda+\delta^2(-1+\lambda+\lambda\mu^2)-\lambda^2(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2)))\right)\right)\left(2\delta\lambda(\delta(8-8\lambda+\mu-3\lambda\mu^2)+\lambda(-16+16\lambda-\mu+3\lambda\mu^2))+i(-\delta(-1+\lambda)\lambda+\delta^2(-1+\lambda+\lambda\mu^2)-\lambda^2(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2)))\right)}{\left(32\delta^2\lambda^2(\delta(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2))-\lambda(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2)))\right)^2}\right)$
c4	$-\left(\frac{i(-4a(-1+\lambda)+2\lambda\mu(1+\lambda\mu)+i(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2)))(-4a(-1+\lambda)+i(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2))+2\lambda(8+\mu-\lambda(8+\mu^2)))}{8\lambda^2(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2))^2}\right)$

Table B.3. Demand (D_{Bj}^*) expression of a luxury product at equilibrium. Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$

Cases	Demand of a luxury product
b1	$\frac{-8(\delta-2)+(\delta-1)i^2+2(\delta^2-7\delta+8)i}{4(\delta-4)(\delta-1)(i+2)}$
b2	$\frac{(2-2a+i)}{4}$
c1	$\frac{\left(8\gamma^3(-1+\delta)^2\mu-2\delta(3+\delta(-2+\mu)+2\lambda(1+\mu))-\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(8-4(2+\lambda)\mu-4\lambda\mu^2+i(1+\mu)+2\delta(-2+9\mu))+\gamma(i(-1+\delta)(1+\mu)+4\delta^2(-2+3\mu)-4(2+\lambda+\lambda\mu))+2\delta(9-5\mu-2\lambda(-1+\mu^2))\right)}{\left(4(-1+\gamma)(-1+\delta)(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))\right)}$
c2	$\frac{\left(-4+2\lambda-6\lambda\mu-2\lambda^2(-1+\mu)\mu+i(-1+\lambda)(1+\mu)-2a(-3+\mu+\lambda(2-\mu+\mu^2))\right)}{\left(2(-1+\lambda)(4+\lambda(-1+3\mu))\right)}$
c3	$\frac{-\delta(4+i\mu+4\lambda\mu)+\lambda(8+i\mu+8\lambda\mu)}{4\delta(-2+\lambda(2+\mu^2))-4\lambda(-4+\lambda(4+\mu^2))}$
c4	$\frac{4+2a\mu+i\mu+4\lambda\mu}{8-2\lambda(4+\mu^2)}$

Appendix C: Notations of expressions used in intermediary calculations

Table C.1: Consumer valuation threshold ($T_{\alpha j}$) for player α for case j . Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha= B, S$ & C

Cases	Notation	Expression
b1	T_{Bb1}	$\frac{p_{Bb1} - p_{Cb1}}{1 - \delta}$
	T_{Cb1}	$\frac{2p_{Cb1} - i}{2\delta}$
b2	T_{Bb2}	$\frac{2p_{Bb2} - i}{2}$
c1	T_{Bc1}	$\frac{p_{Bc1} - (1 + \mu)p_{Sc1}}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \delta)}$
	T_{Sc1}	$\frac{p_{Sc1} - p_{Cc1}}{\gamma(1 - \delta)}$
	T_{Cc1}	$\frac{2p_{Cc1} - i}{2\delta}$
c2	T_{Bc2}	$\frac{p_{Bc2} - p_{Sc2} - \mu p_{Sc2}}{1 - \lambda}$
	T_{Sc2}	$\frac{2p_{Sc2} - i}{2\lambda}$
c3	T_{Bc3}	$\frac{p_{Bc3} - p_{Sc3} - \mu p_{Sc3}}{1 - \lambda}$
	T_{Sc3}	$\frac{p_{Sc3} - p_{Cc3}}{\lambda - \delta}$
	T_{Cc3}	$\frac{2p_{Cc3} - i}{2\delta}$
c4	T_{Bc4}	$\frac{p_{Bc4} - p_{Sc4} - \mu p_{Sc4}}{1 - \lambda}$
	T_{Sc4}	$\frac{2p_{Sc4} - i}{2\lambda}$

Table C.2 Exclusivity ($SU_{\alpha j}$) expression of a luxury product. Where $j= b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha= B$

Cases	Exclusivity of a luxury product
b1	$\frac{i(4\delta^2 - (2p_{Cb1} - i)^2)}{8\delta^2}$
b2	$\frac{i(4 - (2p_{Bb2} - i)^2)}{8}$
c1	$\frac{i(4\delta^2 - (2p_{Cc1} - i)^2)}{8\delta^2}$
c2	$\frac{i(4\lambda^2 - (2p_{Sc2} - i)^2)}{8\lambda^2}$

c3	$\frac{i(4\delta^2 - (2p_{Cc3} - i)^2)}{8\delta^2}$
c4	$\frac{i(4\lambda^2 - (2p_{Sc4} - i)^2)}{8\lambda^2}$

Table C.3: The demand $D_{\alpha j}$ for player α for case j . Where $j = b1, b2, c1, c2, c3$ & $c4$ and $\alpha = B, S$ & C

Cases	Luxury product	Second-hand platform	Counterfeits
b1	$1 - \frac{p_{Bb1} - p_{Cb1}}{1 - \delta}$	-	$\frac{p_{Bb1} - p_{Cb1}}{1 - \delta} - \frac{2p_{Cb1} - i}{2\delta}$
b2	$1 - \frac{2p_{Bb2} - i}{2}$	-	-
c1	$1 - \frac{p_{Bc1} - (1 + \mu)p_{Sc1}}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \delta)}$	$\frac{p_{Bc1} - (1 + \mu)p_{Sc1}}{(1 - \gamma)(1 - \delta)} - \frac{p_{Sc1} - p_{Cc1}}{\gamma(1 - \delta)}$	$\frac{p_{Sc1} - p_{Cc1}}{\gamma(1 - \delta)} - \frac{2p_{Cc1} - i}{2\delta}$
c2	$1 - \frac{p_{Bc2} - p_{Sc2} - \mu p_{Sc2}}{1 - \lambda}$	$\frac{p_{Bc2} - p_{Sc2} - \mu p_{Sc2}}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{2p_{Sc2} - i}{2\lambda}$	-
c3	$1 - \frac{p_{Bc3} - p_{Sc3} - \mu p_{Sc3}}{1 - \lambda}$	$\frac{p_{Bc3} - p_{Sc3} - \mu p_{Sc3}}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{p_{Sc3} - p_{Cc3}}{\lambda - \delta}$	$\frac{p_{Sc3} - p_{Cc3}}{\lambda - \delta} - \frac{2p_{Cc3} - i}{2\delta}$
c4	$1 - \frac{p_{Bc4} - p_{Sc4} - \mu p_{Sc4}}{1 - \lambda}$	$\frac{p_{Bc4} - p_{Sc4} - \mu p_{Sc4}}{1 - \lambda} - \frac{2p_{Sc4} - i}{2\lambda}$	-

Appendix D: Summary of results threshold

Table D.1: Threshold values for section 4.1

a_{1P1}	$\frac{4(-3+\delta)\delta+2(-3+\delta)\delta+i^2(5-6\delta+\delta^2)}{2(2+i)(-4+\delta)(-1+\delta)}$
a_{2P1}	$4\delta^3-36\delta^2+96\delta+(\delta-4)^2(\delta-1)(i+2)\left(-16(\delta-2)^2+(\delta-1)^2+2(\delta^3-7\delta^2+12\delta-6)\right)^2+4(\delta-4)^2(\delta-1)i-64$
a_{3P1}	$4\delta^3-36\delta^2+96\delta+(\delta-4)^2(\delta-1)^2-\sqrt{2}\sqrt{(\delta-4)^2(\delta-1)(i+2)\left(-16(\delta-2)^2+(\delta-1)^2+2(\delta^3-7\delta^2+12\delta-6)\right)^2+4(\delta-4)^2(\delta-1)i-64}$
λ_{1P2}	$\frac{(1-\gamma)(8\gamma^2(\delta-1)^2\mu+2\delta(\delta(\mu-2)+3)-\gamma(\delta-1)(10\delta\mu-4\delta+i\mu+i+8))}{4(\mu+1)(\gamma(\delta-1)-\delta)(7\mu+1)}$
λ_{2P2}	$\frac{(-1+\gamma)\left(i^2(-1+\delta)\left(-3\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+\delta)(-1+\delta)\gamma(8-5\delta+4\mu+2\delta\mu)\right)-2i\left(\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(8+\delta(-7+\mu)-8\mu+\delta^2(1+\mu))+\delta(12+\delta^2(1+\mu)+\delta^2(1+\mu)+9\delta(-3+\mu)-2\delta^2(1+\mu)+\delta^2(17+3\mu))\right)-\left(4(\delta^2(5+\delta(-2+\mu)-4\mu)+2\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(2-\delta+2\mu-7\delta\mu+2\delta^2\mu)+\gamma\delta(4+\delta^2(2-5\mu)-8\mu+\delta(-6+19\mu))\right)\right)}{(4(2+i)\gamma(-1+\delta)-\delta)(-4+\delta)(1+\mu)(1+\gamma\mu)}$
i_{1P2}	$\frac{-2\gamma(1+2\gamma)+8(-1+\gamma)\gamma\delta-4(-1+\gamma)^2\delta^2-2(-1+4\gamma)(\gamma+\delta-\gamma\delta)^2\mu}{\gamma(1+\mu)}$
f_{P4}	$i^2 + i \left(\frac{-4a(\lambda-1)\left(\lambda(\lambda^2(1-3\mu)^2+2\lambda(\mu(2\mu+9)+14)-1)+4(\mu+7)+8\right)+16}{(\lambda-1)\lambda(\lambda^2(1-3\mu)^2+4\lambda(\mu(\mu+8)-1)-4(\mu-1)(\mu+3))} \right) +$
a_{P5}	$\left(\frac{4(\lambda^2(8+24\mu+\lambda(-5+\lambda+4\lambda^2(-1+\mu)^2+\mu(-10+43\mu)+2\mu(2+\mu(-23+24\mu))))+a^2(-32+\lambda^4(1-3\mu)^2+4\lambda(7+(-20+\mu)\mu)+8\lambda^2(1+\mu(7+(-7+\mu)\mu))+2\lambda^3(-1+\mu(30+\mu(35+4(-2+\mu)\mu))))}{\left(\frac{(-1+\lambda)\lambda(\lambda^2(1-3\mu)^2-4(-1+\mu)(3+\mu)+4\lambda(-1+\mu(8+\mu)))}{(-1+\lambda)\lambda(1+\mu)(4+\lambda(-1+3\mu))}\right)^2} \right) + \frac{\gamma\left(-8\gamma^2(-1+\delta)^2\mu+2\delta(3+\delta(-2+\mu)+2\lambda(1+\mu))\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(8-(2+\lambda)\mu-4\lambda^2(-1+\mu)+2\delta(-2+9\mu))\right)}{(4+\lambda(-1+3\mu))^2}$

Table D.2: Threshold values for section 4.2

λ_{1P6}	$\frac{(3i^2(-1+\delta)(\delta+\gamma^2(1-3\mu)+\gamma(-4+\delta\mu))-4\delta(-2(-1+\delta)\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-2+3(-2+\delta)\mu)+\gamma(4+\delta^2(2-3\mu)+\delta(-3+6\mu)))-2i(\delta(18-19\delta+\delta^2)+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(2+\delta^2-6\mu+4\delta(-2+3\mu))+\gamma(8-2\delta^3-5\delta^2(-5+3\mu)+2\delta(-14+9\mu))))}{(4(2+i)(-4+\delta)\delta(1+\gamma\mu)}$
-----------------	---

λ_{2P6}	$\frac{(i^2(9(-5+\delta)\delta-\gamma^2(11-13\delta+2\delta^2)(-1+3\mu)+\gamma(-44+\delta(52-45\mu)+\delta^2(-8+\mu))) + 2i(\delta(-30+25\delta-7\delta^2)+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-6+18\mu+\delta^2(-3+6\mu)-2\delta(-5+9\mu)))+\gamma(-24+\delta(52-30\mu)+\delta^2(10-6\mu)+\delta^2(-41+21\mu)))+4\delta(2(17-5\delta)\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(14-30\mu+\delta(-4+9\mu)))+\gamma(44+\delta^2(14-9\mu)+\delta(-61+30\mu)))}{4(\delta-4)\delta(1+2)(\mu+1)}$
λ_{3P6}	$\frac{2\delta(-4\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-2+3\mu)+\gamma(-5+6\delta-3\delta\mu)) + i(6\delta+\gamma^2(-1+\delta+3\mu-3\delta\mu)+\gamma(4-4\delta+6\delta\mu))}{4(\delta+\gamma\delta\mu)}$
a_{1P7}	$\frac{-4i-7i^2+40\delta+2i\delta-3i^2\delta-12\delta^2-2i\delta^2+i^2\delta^2}{2(2+i)(-4\delta+\delta^2)}$
a_{2P7}	$\frac{4i+7i^2-8\delta-2i\delta-5i^2\delta+4\delta^2+2i\delta^2+i^2\delta^2}{2(2+i)(-4\delta+\delta^2)}$

Table D.3: Threshold values for section 4.3

a_{1P8}	$\frac{-2-i+i^2 + \left(\frac{-\delta(-7+\delta)(-1+\delta)^2 - 64\delta(4-8\delta+3\delta^2) + 32\delta(-24+44\delta-23\delta^2+3\delta^3) + \delta^5(-115+218\delta-115\delta^2-12\delta^3) + 4i^2(6+48\delta-144\delta^2+77\delta^3-40\delta^4+\delta^5) + 8i^3(14+106\delta-203\delta^2+178\delta^3-40\delta^4+3\delta^5) + i^4(-68-357\delta-574\delta^2+373\delta^3-96\delta^4+8\delta^5)}{(2+i)^2\delta(4-5\delta+\delta^2)^2} \right)^{1/2}}{2(-1+i)}$
a_{2P8}	$\frac{2+i-i^2 + \left(\frac{-\delta(-7+\delta)(-1+\delta)^2 - 64\delta(4-8\delta+3\delta^2) + 32\delta(-24+44\delta-23\delta^2+3\delta^3) + \delta^5(-115+218\delta-115\delta^2+12\delta^3) + 4i^2(6+48\delta-144\delta^2+77\delta^3-10\delta^4+\delta^5) + 8i^3(14+106\delta-263\delta^2-178\delta^3-40\delta^4+3\delta^5) + i^4(-68-357\delta-574\delta^2+373\delta^3-96\delta^4+8\delta^5)}{(2+i)^2\delta(4-5\delta+\delta^2)^2} \right)^{1/2}}{2(1-i)}$

Appendix E: Proofs

Proof of convexity of cases and equilibrium outcomes (As summarised in appendix B and notations in Appendix A): In case b1, the demand of luxury and counterfeit product is $1 - T_{Bb1}$ and $T_{Bb1} - T_{Cb1}$, respectively. The T_{Bb1} is calculated by comparing U_{Bb1} and U_{Cb1} . Similarly, T_{Cb1} is calculated by comparing U_{Cb1} and U_{Nb1} . Then these values are substituted in π_{Bb1} and π_{Cb1} . The luxury brand and counterfeit player decide their price by maximizing profit π_{Bb1} and π_{Cb1} . Where

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bb1}}{\partial (p_{Bb1})^2} = \frac{2}{\delta - 1} < 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Cb1}}{\partial (p_{Cb1})^2} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\delta - 1} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) < 0.$$

In case b2, blockchain technology for authentications eliminates the threat of counterfeit presence. The brand incurs per unit authentication cost (a). The demand of luxury is $1 - T_{Bb2}$. The T_{Bb2} is calculated by comparing U_{Bb2} and U_{Nb2} . Thus, luxury brand decides their price p_{Bb2} by maximizing their profit π_{Bb2} . Where $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bb2}}{\partial (p_{Bb2})^2} = -2 < 0$.

In case c1, counterfeit player strategically decides their price p_{Cc1} after observing p_{Bc1} and p_{Sc1} . Similarly, independent second-hand player decides their price p_{Sc1} after observing new product price p_{Bc1} . The demand of luxury, second-hand and counterfeit products are $1 - T_{Bc1}$, $T_{Bc1} - T_{Sc1}$, and $T_{Sc1} - T_{Cc1}$. The T_{Bc1} is calculated by comparing U_{Bc1} and U_{Sc1} , T_{Sc1} is calculated by comparing U_{Sc1} and U_{Cc1} , and T_{Cc1} is calculated by comparing U_{Cc1} and U_{Nc1} . The luxury brand, second-hand player and counterfeit player decides their prices by maximizing their profit π_{Bc1} , π_{Sc1} and π_{Cc1} .

Where $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bc1}}{\partial (p_{Bc1})^2} = \frac{-2}{(-1+\gamma)(-1+\delta)} < 0$, $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Sc1}}{\partial (p_{Sc1})^2} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma(-1+\delta)} + \frac{-1-\mu}{(-1+\gamma)(-1+\delta)} \right) < 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Cc1}}{\partial (p_{Cc1})^2} = 2 \left(\frac{1}{\gamma(-1+\delta)} - \frac{1}{\delta} \right) < 0.$$

In case c2, the independent second-hand player benefits from brand's use of blockchain technology and agrees to pay the authentication charge on its demand to luxury brand. The demand of luxury, and second-hand are $1 - T_{Bc2}$ and $T_{Bc2} - T_{Sc2}$. The T_{Bc2} is calculated by comparing U_{Bc2} and U_{Sc2} and T_{Sc2} is calculated by comparing U_{Sc2} and U_{Nc2} . The luxury brand, second-hand player and counterfeit

player decides their prices by maximizing their profit π_{Bc2} and π_{Sc2} . Where,

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bc2}}{\partial (p_{Bc2})^2} = \frac{2}{-1+\lambda} < 0 \text{ and } \frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Sc2}}{\partial (p_{Sc2})^2} = 2\left(\frac{-1}{\lambda} + \frac{1+\mu}{-1+\lambda}\right) < 0 .$$

In case c3, the luxury brand acquires the second-hand platform to strategically decide the resale value of their products. The demand of luxury, second-hand and counterfeit products are $1-T_{Bc3}$, $T_{Bc3}-T_{Sc3}$, and $T_{Sc3}-T_{Cc3}$. The T_{Bc3} is calculated by comparing U_{Bc3} and U_{Sc3} , T_{Sc3} is calculated by comparing U_{Sc3} and U_{Cc3} , and T_{Cc3} is calculated by comparing U_{Cc3} and U_{Nc3} . The luxury brand and counterfeit player decides their prices by maximizing their profits π_{Bc3} and π_{Cc3} .

Where, $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Cc3}}{\partial (p_{Cc3})^2} = 2\left(\frac{1}{\delta-\lambda} - \frac{1}{\delta}\right) < 0$ for $\lambda > \delta$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bc3}}{\partial \{p_{Bc3}, p_{Sc3}\}^2}$ is negative definite if lemma 1 holds true.

Lemma 1 proof:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bc3}}{\partial \{p_{Bc3}, p_{Sc3}\}^2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\mu+2}{1-\lambda} \\ \frac{\mu+2}{1-\lambda} & 2\left(\frac{\mu+1}{\lambda-1} + \frac{1}{\delta-\lambda}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

For π_{Bc3} to be negative definite we require the first principal order of above hessian matrix negative and second principal order positive. We can observe that first principal order is always negative whereas second principal order $\left(= \frac{4(\delta-\delta_{L1})(\mu-2(-\sqrt{\lambda+1}-1))(\mu-\mu_{L1})}{(\lambda-1)^2(\lambda-\delta)}\right)$ will be positive if conditions in lemma 1 hold true. Where, $\delta_{L1} = \frac{4\lambda^2 - \lambda(\mu+2)^2 + 4}{4\lambda - \mu(\mu+4)}$, $\mu_{L1} = 2\left(\sqrt{\lambda+1} - 1\right)$.

In case 4, The luxury brand employs blockchain to authenticate their products and owns second-hand platform to strategically decides the resale value of their products. The demand of luxury, and second-hand are $1-T_{Bc4}$ and $T_{Bc4}-T_{Sc4}$. The T_{Bc4} is calculated by comparing U_{Bc4} and U_{Sc4} and T_{Sc4} is calculated by comparing U_{Sc4} and U_{Nc4} . The luxury brand player decides his price by maximizing

their profits π_{Bc4} . Where, $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bc4}}{\partial \{p_{Bc4}, p_{Sc4}\}^2}$ is negative definite if lemma 2 holds true.

Lemma 2 proof:

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{Bc4}}{\partial \{p_{Bc4}, p_{Sc4}\}^2} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{\lambda-1} & \frac{\mu+2}{1-\lambda} \\ \frac{\mu+2}{1-\lambda} & \frac{2(\lambda\mu+1)}{(\lambda-1)\lambda} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For π_{Bc4} to be negative definite we require the first principal order of above hessian matrix negative and second principal order positive. We can observe that first principal order is always negative

whereas second principal order is $\frac{4-\lambda(\mu^2+4)}{(\lambda-1)^2\lambda} > 0$ for $\mu < \mu_{L2} = \frac{2\sqrt{1-\lambda}}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$.

Proof of Proposition 1:

In this proof we are comparing case b1 and b2 to find conditions when blockchain could be profitable for luxury brands. We compared π_{Bb1}^* and π_{Bb2}^* and found that: $\pi_{Bb2}^* - \pi_{Bb1}^* = \frac{(a-a_{2P1})(a-a_{3P1})}{4}$. Where $a_{3P1} > 1 \forall i \in [0,1] \Rightarrow (a-a_{3P1}) < 0 \forall a \in [0,1]$ which means that $\forall a < a_{2P1} \Rightarrow \pi_{Bb2}^* > \pi_{Bb1}^*$. Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 1:

In this proof we are comparing luxury product demand and prices of case b1 and b2. We compared D_{Bb2}^* and D_{Bb1}^* and found that: $D_{Bb2}^* - D_{Bb1}^* = \frac{-(a-a_{1P1})}{2}$. Where $a_{1P1} < a_{2P1}$ always, which means that $D_{Bb2}^* > D_{Bb1}^* \forall a < a_{1P1}$. Similarly, we compared p_{Bb2}^* and p_{Bb1}^* and found that: $p_{Bb2}^* - p_{Bb1}^* = \frac{a-a'}{2}$. Where $0 > a' = -\left(\frac{2\delta+i(2+\delta)}{2(4-\delta)}\right)$ which means that $p_{Bb2}^* > p_{Bb1}^*$ always. Table B.1 and B.3 tabulates the expressions used in this proof.

Proof of Proposition 2:

In this proof we are concerned when and how a luxury brand can benefit form second-hand market presence. We compared π_{Bb1}^* and π_{Bc1}^* and found that: $\frac{d(\pi_{Bc1}^* - \pi_{Bb1}^*)}{d\lambda} = A(\lambda - \lambda_{1P2})$, Where

$$0 < A = \frac{2(\mu+1)^2(\gamma(-\delta)+\gamma+\delta)^2(\gamma\mu+1)^2}{(1-\gamma)(1-\delta)(\gamma^2(\delta-1)(3\mu-1)+\gamma(\delta(4-3\mu)-4)-3\delta)^2} \Rightarrow \forall \lambda > \lambda_{1P2} \text{ we have } \frac{d(\pi_{Bc1}^* - \pi_{Bb1}^*)}{d\lambda} > 0.$$

We further tried to understand the behaviour of threshold λ_{1P2} . Part a) suggest that

$$\frac{d\lambda_{1P2}}{di} = -\left(\frac{(1-\gamma)\gamma(1-\delta)}{4(\gamma(1-\delta)+\delta)(1+\gamma\mu)}\right) < 0. \text{ In part b) we found that } \frac{d\lambda_{1P2}}{d\delta} = (i - i_{1P2})B \text{ where}$$

$0 < B = \frac{(1-\gamma)\gamma}{4(\gamma+\delta-\gamma\delta)^2(1+\gamma\mu)}$ and $i_{1P2} < 0$ in parametric range suggest that $\frac{d\lambda_{1P2}}{d\delta} > 0$. Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 2:

In this proof we are comparing luxury product demand of case c1 and b1. We compared D_{Bc1}^* and D_{Bb1}^* and found that:

$$D_{Bc1}^* - D_{Bb1}^* = A(\lambda - \lambda_{2P2}) \text{ such that } 0 < A = \frac{-(\gamma(1-\delta)+\delta)(1+\mu)(1+\gamma\mu)}{(1-\gamma)(1-\delta)(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))}$$

$\cdot \cdot (-3\delta + \gamma(-4 + \delta(4 - 3\mu)) + \gamma^2(-1 + \delta)(-1 + 3\mu)) < 0 \forall \mu \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda_{2P2} > \lambda_{1P2}$ (checked via plotting graph). This suggest that for $\lambda > \lambda_{2P2}$, $D_{Bc1}^* > D_{Bb1}^*$. We compared p_{Bc1}^* and p_{Bb1}^* and

found that: $\frac{d(p_{Bc1}^* - p_{Bb1}^*)}{d\lambda} > 0$ in the parametric region.

Proof of Proposition 3

In this proof we are concerned with finding the regions in second-hand platform acquisition cost in determining appropriate strategies for a brand who is facing the threat of counterfeits. We compared π_{Bc3}^* and π_{Bb1}^* and π_{Bc3}^* and π_{Bc1}^* found that:

$$\pi_{Bc3}^* - \pi_{Bb1}^* = (p_{Bc3}^*(1 - T_{Bc3}^*) + (p_{Sc3}^* - \lambda)(T_{Bc3}^* - T_{Sc3}^*)) - S - (p_{Bb1}^*(1 - T_{Bb1}^*)) = S_{1P3} - S$$

$$\pi_{Bc3}^* - \pi_{Bc1}^* = (p_{Bc3}^*(1 - T_{Bc3}^*) + (p_{Sc3}^* - \lambda)(T_{Bc3}^* - T_{Sc3}^*)) - S - (p_{Bc1}^*(1 - T_{Bc1}^*)) = S_{2P3} - S$$

The above two equation suggest that if $S < S_{1P3}$ then $\pi_{Bc3}^* > \pi_{Bb1}^*$ and if $S < S_{2P3}$ then $\pi_{Bc3}^* > \pi_{Bc1}^*$. Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Proposition 4:

This proof aims to identify the optimal strategy regions for blockchain-enabled brands. We compared π_{Bb2}^* , π_{Bc2}^* , and π_{Bc4}^* and got the following result:

$$\pi_{Bc2}^* - \pi_{Bb2}^* = A(i - i_{1P4})(i - i_{2P4}) \text{ where } 0 > A = \frac{-(\lambda^2(1-3\mu)^2 - 4(-1+\mu)(3+\mu) + 4\lambda(-1+\mu(8+\mu)))}{16(-4+\lambda-3\lambda\mu)^2} \text{ and } i_{1P4} \text{ and } i_{2P4}$$

are roots of f_{P4} . Such that $i_{1P4} < i_{2P4}$ always in parametric region. This suggest that

$\forall i \in (i_{1P4}, i_{2P4})$, $\pi_{Bc2}^* > \pi_{Bb2}^*$ otherwise $\pi_{Bc2}^* < \pi_{Bb2}^*$. When we compared π_{Bb2}^* and π_{Bc4}^* we get following result:

$$\pi_{Bc4}^* - \pi_{Bb2}^* = \left((p_{Bc4}^* - a)(1 - T_{Bc4}^*) + (p_{Sc4}^* - a - \lambda)(T_{Bc4}^* - T_{Sc4}^*) \right) - S - \left((p_{Bb2}^* - a)(1 - T_{Bb2}^*) \right) = S_{1P4} - S$$

This suggests that $\forall S < S_{1P4}$, $\pi_{Bc4}^* > \pi_{Bb2}^*$ otherwise $\pi_{Bc4}^* < \pi_{Bb2}^*$.

And when we compared π_{Bc2}^* and π_{Bc4}^* we get following result:

$$\pi_{Bc4}^* - \pi_{Bc2}^* = \left((p_{Bc4}^* - a)(1 - T_{Bc4}^*) + (p_{Sc4}^* - a - \lambda)(T_{Bc4}^* - T_{Sc4}^*) \right) - S - \left((p_{Bc2}^* - a)(1 - T_{Bc2}^*) + a(T_{Bc2}^* - T_{Sc2}^*) \right)$$

$\Rightarrow \pi_{Bc4}^* - \pi_{Bc2}^* = S_{2P4} - S$. This suggest that $\forall S < S_{2P4}$, $\pi_{Bc4}^* > \pi_{Bc2}^*$ otherwise $\pi_{Bc4}^* < \pi_{Bc2}^*$.

By comparing above results we can understand that when $S < S' = \min\{S_{1P4}, S_{2P4}\}$ then $\pi_{Bc4}^* > \pi_{Bb2}^*$ and $\pi_{Bc4}^* > \pi_{Bc2}^*$. When $S > S'' = \max\{S_{1P4}, S_{2P4}\}$ then $\forall i \in [i_{2P4}, i_{1P4}]$, $\pi_{Bc2}^* > \pi_{Bb2}^*$ otherwise $\pi_{Bc2}^* < \pi_{Bb2}^*$. Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 3:

In this proof we are comparing luxury product demand of case c2 and b2. We compared

D_{Bc2}^* and D_{Bb2}^* and found that: $\frac{d(D_{Bc2}^* - D_{Bb2}^*)}{di} = \frac{1 + \mu}{8 - 2\lambda + 6\lambda\mu} - \frac{1}{4} < 0$. Similarly, we compared

p_{Bc2}^* and p_{Bb2}^* and found that: $\frac{d(p_{Bc2}^* - p_{Bb2}^*)}{di} = \frac{(1 - \lambda)(1 + \mu)}{8 + \lambda(-2 + 6\mu)} - \frac{1}{4} < 0$ in the parametric region.

Proof of Proposition 5:

In this proof, our aim is to identify potential strategies that luxury brands can use to combat counterfeits in the secondary retail market through the implementation of blockchain technology. We compared π_{Bc1}^* and π_{Bc2}^* and got the following result:

$\frac{d(\pi_{Bc2}^* - \pi_{Bc1}^*)}{di} = A(a - a_{p5})$ Where, $0 < A = \frac{\lambda^2(2\mu^3 + 9\mu^2 + 2\mu + 3) + 2\lambda(\mu^2 + 7\mu - 2) + 8}{2\lambda(\lambda(3\mu - 1) + 4)^2}$ and $a_{p5} < 0$ in the

parametric domain. This result suggests that $\forall a \in [0, 1]$, $\frac{d(\pi_{Bc2}^* - \pi_{Bc1}^*)}{di} > 0$. Table D.1 tabulates the

expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 4:

In this proof we are comparing luxury product demand of case c2 and c1. We compared

D_{Bc2}^* and D_{Bc1}^* and found that: $\frac{d(D_{Bc2}^* - D_{Bc1}^*)}{di} = \frac{(1+\mu)(-6\delta+2\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu)-\gamma(4+\lambda-3\lambda\mu+\delta(-8+6\mu)))}{4(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))(4+\lambda(-1+3\mu))}$. Upon

checking the numerator, we found that:

$$(-6\delta+2\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu)-\gamma(4+\lambda-3\lambda\mu+\delta(-8+6\mu))) = A(\delta-\delta_1) < 0 \quad \forall \delta \in [0,1],$$

where $0 > A = 2(-1+\gamma)(3+\gamma(-1+3\mu))$ and $0 > \delta_1 = \frac{\gamma(4+\lambda-3\lambda\mu+\gamma(-2+6\mu))}{2(-1+\gamma)(3+\gamma(-1+3\mu))} \quad \forall \mu \in [0,1]$ checked via

graph. Similarly, checking the denominator of $\frac{d(D_{Bc2}^* - D_{Bc1}^*)}{di}$ we found that:

$$(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu)) = A(\mu-\mu_1) < 0 \quad \text{where}$$

$$0 > A = 3\gamma(\gamma(-1+\delta)-\delta) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 > \mu_1 = \frac{-4\gamma(-1+\delta)+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)+3\delta}{3\gamma(\gamma(-1+\delta)-\delta)}, \quad \text{and} \quad (4+\lambda(-1+3\mu)) > 0.$$

$$\therefore \frac{d(D_{Bc2}^* - D_{Bc1}^*)}{di} > 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 6:

Our goal in this proof is to identify regions where luxury brands may lose their product exclusivity due to quality of second-hand products. We compared SU_{Bb1}^* and SU_{Bc1}^* and found that:

$$SU_{Bc1}^* - SU_{Bb1}^* = A(\lambda - \lambda_{1P6})(\lambda - \lambda_{2P6}) \text{ such that } \lambda_{2P6} - \lambda_{1P6} = Bg(i, \delta)$$

$$\text{where } g(i, \delta) = \frac{-i^2(-7+\delta)+8(-3+\delta)\delta+2i(2-\delta+\delta^2)}{2(4+i)}, \quad 0 < B = \frac{(4+i)(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))}{(2+i)(-4+\delta)\delta(1+\gamma\mu)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$0 > A = -\left(\frac{i(1+\gamma\mu)^2}{2(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))^2}\right) \text{ in parametric range. It suggest that } SU_{Bc1}^* > SU_{Bb1}^*$$

when second-hand product quality is in the range of $[\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$ such that if $g(i, \delta) > 0$ then

$\lambda_{1P6} < \lambda_{2P6}$ and if $g(i, \delta) < 0$ then $\lambda_{1P6} > \lambda_{2P6}$. Table D.2 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Table A.4 shows the calculation of exclusivity of luxury product. Table B.2 tabulates the exclusivity expression of a luxury product at equilibrium.

Proof of Corollary 5

In this proof we are comparing luxury brand exclusivity of case c1 and b1. We compared

$$SU_{Bb1}^* \text{ and } SU_{Bc1}^* \text{ and found that: } \frac{d(SU_{Bc1}^* - SU_{Bb1}^*)^2}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{-(i(1+\gamma\mu)^2)}{(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))^2} < 0.$$

$$\frac{d(SU_{Bc1}^* - SU_{Bb1}^*)}{d\lambda} = A(\lambda - \lambda_{3P6}) \text{ such that } 0 > A = -\frac{i(1+\gamma\mu)^2}{(-3\delta+\gamma(-4+\delta(4-3\mu))+\gamma^2(-1+\delta)(-1+3\mu))^2}. \text{ Furthermore,}$$

if $g(i, \delta) > 0$ then $\lambda_{1P6} < \lambda_{3P6} < \lambda_{2P6}$, and if $g(i, \delta) < 0$ then $\lambda_{1P6} > \lambda_{3P6} > \lambda_{2P6}$.

Proof of Proposition 7:

In this proof, our aim to check when an authentication technology will be deemed useful in increasing the exclusivity of luxury product. We compared SU_{Bb1}^* and SU_{Bb2}^* and found that:

$SU_{Bb1}^* - SU_{Bb2}^* = \frac{i(a-a_{2P7})(a-a_{1P7})}{8}$. Where, $a_{2P7} - a_{1P7} = f(i, \delta)$. This suggest that if $f(i, \delta) > 0$ then $\forall a \in (a_{1P7}, a_{2P7})$, $SU_{Bb2}^* > SU_{Bb1}^*$ and if $f(i, \delta) < 0$ then $\forall a \in (a_{2P7}, a_{1P7})$, $SU_{Bb2}^* > SU_{Bb1}^*$. Table D.2 tabulates the expressions for thresholds. Table A.4 shows the calculation of exclusivity of luxury product. Table B.2 tabulates the exclusivity expression of a luxury product at equilibrium.

Proof of Corollary 6:

In this proof we are comparing luxury brand exclusivity of case b1 and b2. We compared

$$SU_{Bb1}^* \text{ and } SU_{Bb2}^* \text{ and found that: } \frac{d(SU_{Bb2}^* - SU_{Bb1}^*)^2}{da^2} = -\frac{i}{4} < 0.$$

$$\frac{d(SU_{Bb2}^* - SU_{Bb1}^*)}{da} = -\frac{i}{4}(a - a_{3P7}), \text{ where } a_{3P7} = \frac{(-2+i)}{2}. \text{ Furthermore, If } f(i, \delta) > 0, \text{ then}$$

$a_{1P7} < a_{3P7} < a_{2P7}$ and if $f(i, \delta) < 0$, then $a_{1P7} > a_{3P7} > a_{2P7}$. Similarly checking price, we found

$$\frac{d p_{Bb2}^*}{da} = \frac{1}{2} > 0.$$

Proof of Proposition 8:

In this proof, our aim to check when luxury consumer welfare is higher. We compare CW_{b2} and CW_{b1}

and found that: $CW_{b2} - CW_{b1} = A(a - a_{1P8})(a - a_{2P8})$, where $0 < A = \frac{1-i}{8}$ and $a_{2P8} > 1$, $a_{2P8} > a_{1P8}$

(Checked via graph). This implies that for $a < a_{1P8}$, $CW_{b2} > CW_{b1}$.

Proof of Corollary 7

In this proof, we check the price of luxury brand in case b2. We found that $\frac{d p_{bb2}^*}{da} = \frac{1}{2} > 0$.

Proof of Corollary 8

In this proof, we compared a_{2p1} and a_{1p8} and found that: $\forall \mathbb{R}, a_{2p1} > a_{1p8}$ checked via graph

5.2 List of Appendix for chapter 3

Appendix F: Summary of Notations

Table F.1: Parametric notations

Notations	Definitions	Parametric Ranges
$p_{\alpha j}$	Price of player α in case j . Where $\alpha \in \{S, F\}$ and $j \in \{C0, C1, C2, C3\}$	$[0, \infty)$
μ	Luxury brand experience during purchase	$[0, 1)$
δ	Platform emphasis on luxury brand experience	$(0, 1)$
s	Service quality performance of Brand Run mono brand second-hand market	$(0, 1)$
λ	Consumers inclination towards price comparison	$(0, 1)$
ε	Style closeness index of follower product	$(0, 1)$
d	Blockchain-based product provenance benefit	$(0, 1)$
F	Blockchain network participation fixed cost (with read only access)	$[0, \infty)$
a	Blockchain-based product provenance records maintenance per unit cost	$[0, 1]$
c	Follower product cost	$[0, 1]$
q_{CC0}	Counterfeit product quality	$[0, 1]$
q_{Fj}	Follower product quality, where $j \in \{C0, C1, C2, C3\}$	$[0, 1]$
$T_{\alpha j}$	Consumer valuation threshold for player α for case j , where $\alpha \in \{S, F, C\}$ and $j \in \{C0, C1, C2, C3\}$	$[0, 1]$
$\pi_{\alpha j}$	Profit for player α for case j . Where $\alpha \in \{S, F, C\}$ and $j \in \{C0, C1, C2, C3\}$	$(-\infty, \infty)$
E_j	Exclusivity of a luxury brand for case j , where $j \in \{C0, C1, C2, C3\}$	$(-\infty, \infty)$
CS_j	Second-hand product consumer surplus for case j , where $j \in \{C0, C1, C2, C3\}$	$(-\infty, \infty)$

Appendix G: Equilibrium expressions

Table G.1: Equilibrium Outcomes

Case	Notation	Expression
C0	P_{SC0}^*	$\frac{q_F(-8-\epsilon-8\lambda-4c_F+2c_c(-1+q_F))+8q_F+\epsilon q_F+4\lambda q_F+q_c(4+\epsilon+4\lambda-\epsilon q_F-4q_F^2+2c_F(1+q_F))}{4((-4+q_F)q_F+q_c(2+q_F))}$
	P_{FC0}^*	$\frac{q_F(-\epsilon-4c_F+2c_c(-1+q_F))-2q_F+\epsilon q_F+2\lambda q_F+2q_F^2+q_c(\epsilon-(-2+\epsilon+2\lambda)q_F-2q_F^2+2c_F(1+q_F))}{2((-4+q_F)q_F+q_c(2+q_F))}$
	P_{CC0}^*	$\frac{1}{4}\left(\epsilon+2c_c-\frac{q_c(q_F(-3\epsilon+4c_F-2c_c(-1+q_F))+2q_F-2\lambda q_F-2q_F^2)+q_c(\epsilon+2(-1+\epsilon+\lambda)q_F+2q_F^2-2c_F(1+q_F))}{q_F((-4+q_F)q_F+q_c(2+q_F))}\right)$

C1	P_{SC1}^*	$\frac{\epsilon^2(4+\epsilon+2\lambda)-4c_F(\epsilon-2q_F)+8(2+\epsilon+2\lambda)q_F-4(4+\epsilon+2\lambda)q_F^2}{2(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)}$
	P_{FC1}^*	$\frac{\epsilon^3+4(\epsilon-\epsilon\lambda+4c_F)q_F-8(-1+\epsilon+\lambda)q_F^2-8q_F^3}{2(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)}$
C2	P_{SC2}^*	$\frac{\epsilon^2(2d+4s+\epsilon+2\mu)-4sc_F(\epsilon-2q_F)+8s(2a+2d+2s+\epsilon+2\mu)q_F-4(2d+4s+\epsilon+2\mu)q_F^2}{2(\epsilon^2+16sq_F-4q_F^2)}$
	P_{FC2}^*	$\frac{\epsilon^3+4(\epsilon(a-d+s-\mu)+4sc_F)q_F+8(a-d+s-\epsilon-\mu)q_F^2-8q_F^3}{2(\epsilon^2+16sq_F-4q_F^2)}$
C3	P_{SC3}^*	$\frac{\epsilon^2(4+2d+\epsilon+2\lambda+2\delta\mu)-4c_F(\epsilon-2q_F)+8(2+2a+2d+2\delta+\epsilon+2\lambda+2\delta\mu)q_F-4(4+2d+\epsilon+2\lambda+2\delta\mu)q_F^2}{2(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)}$
	P_{FC3}^*	$\frac{\epsilon^3+4(\epsilon(1+a-d+\delta-\lambda-\delta\mu)+4c_F)q_F+8(1+a-d+\delta-\epsilon-\lambda-\delta\mu)q_F^2-8q_F^3}{2(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)}$

Table G.2: Exclusivity (E_j) expression of a luxury product at equilibrium. Where $j= C0, C1, C2$ & $C3$

Cases	Exclusivity of a luxury product
C0	$\frac{-\left(\epsilon(q_F(8+\epsilon+8\lambda+2c_c+4c_F-(8+\epsilon+4\lambda+2c_c)q_F)+q_c(-4-\epsilon-4\lambda-2c_F(1+q_F)+q_F(\epsilon+4q_F)))\right)\left(\epsilon-2c_c\right)\left(-4+q_F\right)q_F^2+q_c^2\left(\epsilon+2\left(-5+\epsilon+\lambda-q_F\right)q_F-2c_F\left(1+q_F\right)\right)-q_cq_F\left(\epsilon-4c_F+c_c\left(2+4q_F\right)+q_F\left(-18-\epsilon+2\lambda+6q_F\right)\right)}{\left(32q_c\left(-1+q_F\right)q_F\left(-4+q_F\right)q_F+q_c\left(2+q_F\right)\right)^2}$
C1	$-\frac{1}{2}\epsilon\left(-1+\frac{\left(\epsilon^4+2q_F\left(-\epsilon^2\left(-6+\epsilon+2\lambda\right)+4c_F\left(\epsilon-2q_F\right)+4q_F\left(4-\epsilon\left(2+\epsilon\right)-4\lambda+q_F\left(-6+\epsilon+2\lambda+2q_F\right)\right)\right)^2}{\left(\epsilon^2-4\left(-4+q_F\right)q_F\right)^2\left(\epsilon^2-4\left(-1+q_F\right)q_F\right)^2}\right)$
C2	$\left(\frac{2q_F\left(\epsilon\left(\epsilon\left(-2a+2d+4s+\epsilon+2\mu\right)-4sc_F\right)+8s\left(-2a+2d+2s+\epsilon+2\mu+c_F\right)q_F-4\left(-2a+2d+4s+\epsilon+2\mu\right)q_F^2\right)}{\left(\epsilon^2+4\left(s-q_F\right)q_F\right)^2}\right)$ $\left(\frac{\left(\epsilon^4\left(\epsilon+\mu\right)+\epsilon^2\left(2a\epsilon-\epsilon\left(2d-16s+\epsilon\right)-2\left(-10s+\epsilon\right)\mu+4sc_F\right)q_F-8\left(-2a\epsilon+2d\epsilon-\left(2s-\epsilon\right)\left(\epsilon\left(\epsilon+\mu\right)+s\left(3\epsilon+4\mu\right)\right)+s\epsilon c_F\right)q_F^2+4\left(-2a\epsilon+\epsilon\left(2d-16s+\epsilon\right)+2\left(-10s+\epsilon\right)\mu\right)q_F^3+16\left(\epsilon+\mu\right)q_F^4\right)}{\left(\epsilon^2+16sq_F-4q_F^2\right)^2}\right)$
C3	$\left(-\left(\frac{2q_F\left(\epsilon\left(-\epsilon\left(4-2a+2d+\epsilon+2\lambda+2\delta\left(-1+\mu\right)\right)+4c_F\right)-8\left(2-2a+2d+\epsilon+2\lambda+2\delta\left(-1+\mu\right)+c_F\right)q_F+4\left(4-2a+2d+\epsilon+2\lambda+2\delta\left(-1+\mu\right)\right)q_F^2\right)}{\left(\epsilon^2-4\left(-4+q_F\right)q_F\right)^2}\right)\right)$ $\left(\frac{\left(\epsilon^4\left(\epsilon+\delta\mu\right)+\epsilon^2\left(-\epsilon^2+20\delta\mu+2\epsilon\left(8+a-d+\delta-\lambda-\delta\mu\right)+4c_F\right)q_F-8\left(\epsilon\left(-6-2a+2d-2\delta+\epsilon+\epsilon^2+2\lambda\right)+\delta\left(-2+\epsilon\right)\left(4+\epsilon\right)\mu+cc_F\right)q_F^2+4\left(\epsilon^2+2\epsilon\left(-8-a+d+\lambda+\delta\left(-1+\mu\right)\right)-20\delta\mu\right)q_F^3+16\left(\epsilon+\delta\mu\right)q_F^4\right)}{\left(\epsilon^2-4\left(-1+q_F\right)q_F\right)^2}\right)$

Table G.3 Expression of second-hand luxury product consumer surplus (CS_j) Where $j= C0, C1, C2$ and $C3$

Cases	Consumer surplus
C0	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\lambda + p_{FC0}^* - p_{SC0}^*}{1 - q_F} \right) \left(1 + \epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC0}^* - \frac{\epsilon(\epsilon - 2p_{CC0}^*)}{2q_c} + \frac{\lambda + p_{FC0}^* - p_{SC0}^*}{-1 + q_F} \right)$
C1	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2p_{FC1}^*(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(\epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC1}^*)q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2} \right) \left(1 + \epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC1}^* + \frac{(1+\epsilon)(2p_{FC1}^*(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(\epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC1}^*)q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2} \right)$
C2	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2p_{FC2}^*(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2}^*)q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2} \right) \left(2d + s + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2}^* + \frac{(s+\epsilon)(2p_{FC2}^*(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2}^*)q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2} \right)$
C3	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2p_{FC3}^*(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3}^*)q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2} \right) \left(1 + 2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3}^* + \frac{(1+\epsilon)(2p_{FC3}^*(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3}^*)q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2} \right)$

Appendix H: Notations of expressions used in intermediary calculations

Table H.1: Consumer valuation threshold ($T_{\alpha j}$) for player α for case j . Where $j = C0, C1, C2$ & $C3$ and $\alpha = S, F$ & C

Cases	Notation	Expression
C0	T_{SC0}	$\frac{\lambda + p_{FC0} - p_{SC0}}{-1 + q_F}$
	T_{FC0}	$\frac{p_{CC0} - p_{FC0}}{q_c - q_F}$
	T_{CC0}	$-\frac{\epsilon - 2p_{CC0}}{2q_c}$
C1	T_{SC1}	$\frac{\epsilon(\epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC1}) + 2p_{FC1}(2 + \epsilon - 2q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2}$
	T_{FC1}	$\frac{2p_{FC1}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(\epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC1})q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2}$
C2	T_{SC2}	$\frac{2p_{FC2}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2})q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2}$
	T_{FC2}	$\frac{\epsilon(2d + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2}) + 2p_{FC2}(2s + \epsilon - 2q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2}$
C3	T_{SC3}	$\frac{(\epsilon(2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3}) + 2p_{FC3}(2 + \epsilon - 2q_F))}{(\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)}$

	T_{FC3}	$\frac{(2p_{FC3}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3})q_F)}{(\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)}$
--	-----------	---

Table H.2 Exclusivity (E_j) expression of a luxury brand. Where $j= C0, C1, C2$ and $C3$

Cases	Exclusivity of a luxury brand
C0	$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon \left(1 - \frac{\epsilon - 2p_{CC0}}{2q_c} \right) \left(1 + \frac{\lambda + p_{FC0} - p_{SC0}}{1 - q_F} \right)$
C1	$\frac{1}{2}\epsilon \left(1 - \frac{(2p_{FC1}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(\epsilon + 2\lambda - 2p_{SC1})q_F)^2}{(\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \right)$
C2	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2p_{FC2}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2})q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2} \right) \left(\epsilon + 2\mu + \frac{\epsilon(2p_{FC2}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\mu - 2p_{SC2})q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2} \right)$
C3	$\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{2p_{FC3}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3})q_F}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2} \right) \left(\epsilon + 2\delta\mu + \frac{\epsilon(2p_{FC3}(\epsilon - 2q_F) - 2(2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda + 2\delta\mu - 2p_{SC3})q_F)}{\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2} \right)$

Appendix I: Summary of results threshold

Table I.1: Threshold values for section 4.1

Notations	Expressions
\mathcal{H}_{1P1}	$\frac{4q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2(-2a+2d+4s+\epsilon)-4sc_f(\epsilon-2q_f)+8s(-2a+2d+2s+\epsilon)q_f+4(2a-2d-4s-\epsilon)q_f^2\right)}{8q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)} - \frac{(\epsilon^2+4sq_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2+16sq_f-4q_f^2\right)^2}{8q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)} \left(\frac{q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)^2}{(-1+q_f)(\epsilon^2+16sq_f-4q_f^2)^2} \left(-\epsilon^2-4sq_f+4q_f^2 \right) \left((-4+q_f)q_f+q_c(2+q_f) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} + q_c(4+\epsilon+4\lambda-\epsilon q_f-4q_f^2+2c_f(1+q_f))$
\mathcal{H}_{2P1}	$-4q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon(-2a+2d+4s+\epsilon)-4sc_f(\epsilon-2q_f)+8s(-2a+2d(d+s)+\epsilon+c_f)q_f-4(-2a+2d+4s+\epsilon)q_f^2\right) + \frac{(\epsilon^2+4sq_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2+16sq_f-4q_f^2\right)^2}{8q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)} \left(\frac{q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)^2}{(-1+q_f)(\epsilon^2+16sq_f-4q_f^2)^2} \left(-\epsilon^2-4sq_f+4q_f^2 \right) \left((-4+q_f)q_f+q_c(2+q_f) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} + q_c(4+\epsilon+4\lambda-\epsilon q_f-4q_f^2+2c_f(1+q_f))$
$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{1P1}$	$\frac{4\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2(4-2a+2d-2\delta+\epsilon+2\lambda)-4c_f(\epsilon-2q_f)-8(-2a+2a-2d+2\delta-\epsilon-2\lambda)q_f+4(-4+2a-2d+2\delta-\epsilon-2\lambda)q_f^2\right)}{8\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)} - \frac{(\epsilon^2+4q_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2+16q_f-4q_f^2\right)^2}{8\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)} \left(\frac{\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)^2}{(-1+q_f)(\epsilon^2+16q_f-4q_f^2)^2} \left(-\epsilon^2-4q_f+4q_f^2 \right) \left((-4+q_f)q_f+q_c(2+q_f) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} + q_c(4+\epsilon+4\lambda-\epsilon q_f-4q_f^2+2c_f(1+q_f))$
$\bar{\mathcal{H}}_{2P1}$	$-4\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2(4-2a+2d-2\delta+\epsilon+2\lambda)-4c_f(\epsilon-2q_f)-8(-2a+2a-2d+2\delta-\epsilon-2\lambda)q_f+4(-4+2a-2d+2\delta-\epsilon-2\lambda)q_f^2\right) + \frac{(\epsilon^2+4q_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2+16q_f-4q_f^2\right)^2}{8\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)} \left(\frac{\delta^2 q_f(\epsilon^2+8q_f-4q_f^2)^2}{(-1+q_f)(\epsilon^2+16q_f-4q_f^2)^2} \left(-\epsilon^2-4q_f+4q_f^2 \right) \left((-4+q_f)q_f+q_c(2+q_f) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2} + q_c(4+\epsilon+4\lambda-\epsilon q_f-4q_f^2+2c_f(1+q_f))$
d_{1P2}	$-q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)\left(\epsilon^2(-2a+4s+\epsilon+2\mu)-4sc_f(\epsilon-2q_f)+8s(-2a+2s+\epsilon+2\mu)q_f+4(2a-4s-\epsilon-2\mu)q_f^2\right) - \frac{2q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)^2}{2q_f(\epsilon^2+8sq_f-4q_f^2)} \left(\frac{\epsilon^6+4q_f(-9sc^4+q_f(-96s^2\epsilon^2+3\epsilon^4+4q_f(-64s^3+18s\epsilon^2+q_f(96s^2-3\epsilon^2+4q_f(-9s+q_f))))}{(-1+q_f)(\epsilon^2+16q_f-4q_f^2)^2} \left(-\epsilon^2-4q_f+4q_f^2 \right) \left((-4+q_f)q_f+q_c(2+q_f) \right)^2 \right)^{1/2}$

	$\left(\frac{q_F (c^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2)^2 \left(-F^6 + q_F \left(c^4 (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2 + 16c_F^2 (-c-2q_F)^2 - 8c_F (-c-2q_F) \left(c^2 (4+c+2\lambda)(8(2+c+2\lambda)q_F - 4(4+c+2\lambda)q_F^2 + 4q_F \left(c^2 (3F(-32+c^2) + (2+c+2\lambda)(4+c+2\lambda)) - 2q_F (-c^4 + 4F(-32+9c^2) + 32\epsilon(1+\lambda) - 32(1+\lambda)^2 - 4\epsilon(2+\lambda) - 4\epsilon(2+\lambda)(4+c+2\lambda)) + 2q_F (-3F(-32+c^2) - (2+c+2\lambda)(4+c+2\lambda)q_F (-36F - (4+c+2\lambda)^2 + 4sq_F) \right) \right) \right) \right)^{1/2}}{\left(c^2 + 4(s-q_F)q_F \left(c^2 - 4(-4+q_F)q_F \right) \left(c^2 - 4(-1+q_F)q_F \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \right)}$
d_{2P2}	$\frac{-q_F (c^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2) \left(c^2 (-2a+4s+c+2\mu) - 4sc_F (-c-2q_F) + 8s(-2a+2s+c+2\mu)q_F + 4(2a-4s-c-2\mu)q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{2q_F (c^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2)^2} + \frac{(c^2 - c^2 - 8sq_F + 4q_F^2)}{2q_F (c^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \left(-c^2 - 8sq_F + 4q_F^2 \right)$ $\left(\frac{q_F \left(-F^6 + c^2 \left(c^2 (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2) - 8\epsilon(4+c+2\lambda)c_F + 16c_F^2 \right) q_F + 4\epsilon \left(3F(-32+c^2) + 4(8+6c+c^2 + 12\lambda + 4\epsilon\lambda + 4\lambda^2) \right) q_F + (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2) q_F^2 + 4Fq_F^3 + 2c_F(8+8c+c^2 + 8\lambda + 2\epsilon\lambda - 2(4+c+2\lambda)q_F) \right) \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{\left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2 \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2} + \frac{16q_F^4 \left(2\lambda(c^3 + 8\lambda - c^2\lambda) + 4c_F^2 \left(3F(-32+c^2) + 4(8+6c+c^2 + 12\lambda + 4\epsilon\lambda + 4\lambda^2) \right) q_F + (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2) q_F^2 + 4Fq_F^3 + 2c_F(8+8c+c^2 + 8\lambda + 2\epsilon\lambda - 2(4+c+2\lambda)q_F) \right) \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{\left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2 \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2} \right)^{1/2}$
\bar{d}_{1P2}	$\frac{q_F (c^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2) \left(c^2 (4-2a+c+2\lambda + 2\delta(-1+\mu)) - 4c_F (-c-2q_F) - 8(-2+2a+c-2\lambda - 2\delta(-1+\mu))q_F + 4(-4+2a-c-2\lambda - 2\delta(-1+\mu))q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{-2q_F (c^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} - \frac{(c^2 - c^2 - 8q_F + 4q_F^2)}{2q_F (c^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \left(-c^2 - 8q_F + 4q_F^2 \right)$ $\left(\frac{q_F \left(-F^6 + c^2 \left(c^2 (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2) - 8\epsilon(4+c+2\lambda)c_F + 16c_F^2 \right) q_F + 4\epsilon \left(3F(-32+c^2) + 4(8+6c+c^2 + 12\lambda + 4\epsilon\lambda + 4\lambda^2) \right) q_F + (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2) q_F^2 + 4Fq_F^3 + 2c_F(8+8c+c^2 + 8\lambda + 2\epsilon\lambda - 2(4+c+2\lambda)q_F) \right) \left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{\left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2 \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2} + \frac{16q_F^4 \left(2\lambda(c^3 + 8\lambda - c^2\lambda) + 4c_F^2 \left(3F(-32+c^2) + 4(8+6c+c^2 + 12\lambda + 4\epsilon\lambda + 4\lambda^2) \right) q_F + (-36F + (4+c+2\lambda)^2) q_F^2 + 4Fq_F^3 + 2c_F(8+8c+c^2 + 8\lambda + 2\epsilon\lambda - 2(4+c+2\lambda)q_F) \right) \left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{\left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2 \left(c^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2} \right)^{1/2}$
\bar{d}_{2P2}	$\frac{-q_F (c^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2) \left(c^2 (4-2a+c+2\lambda + 2\delta(-1+\mu)) - 4c_F (-c-2q_F) - 8(-2+2a+c-2\lambda - 2\delta(-1+\mu))q_F + 4(-4+2a-c-2\lambda - 2\delta(-1+\mu))q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2 \right) \left(c^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2 \right)^2}{2q_F (c^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} + \frac{(c^2 - c^2 - 8q_F + 4q_F^2)}{2q_F (c^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \left(-c^2 - 8q_F + 4q_F^2 \right)$

	$\left(\frac{q_F (-F e^6 + e^2 (e^2 (-36F + (4 + e + 2\lambda)^2) - 8\epsilon(4 + e + 2\lambda)c_F + 16c_F^2)q_F + 4\epsilon(3F(-32 + e^2) + 4(8 + 6\epsilon + e^2 + 12\lambda + 4\epsilon\lambda + 4\lambda^2) + 4(e^2 + 2\epsilon\lambda - 8(1 + \lambda))c_F - 16c_F^2)q_F^2 - 8(8\epsilon^3 + e^4 - 4F(-32 + 6\epsilon^2) - 32(1 + \lambda) - 32(1 + 2\lambda) + 8e^{\epsilon(1 + 2\lambda)}))q_F^2}{(e^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)^2 (e^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)^4} \right)$ $+ \frac{q_F (16q_F^3 (-2\lambda(c^3 - 8\lambda + c^2\lambda) + 4c_F^2 - (3F(-32 + e^2) + 4(8 + 6\epsilon + e^2 + 12\lambda + 4\epsilon\lambda + 4\lambda^2))q_F + (-36F + (4 + e + 2\lambda)^2)q_F^2 + 4Fq_F^3 + 2c_F(8 + 8\epsilon + e^2 + 8\lambda + 2\epsilon\lambda - 2(4 + e + 2\lambda)q_F)))^{1/2}}{(e^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)^2 (e^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)^4}$
δ_{1P3}	$\frac{(-1 + \mu)(e^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)(e^2(4 - 2a + 2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda) - 4c_F(\epsilon - 2q_F) + 8(2 - 2a + 2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda)q_F + 4(-4 + 2a - 2d - \epsilon - 2\lambda)q_F^2)}{-2(1 - \mu)^2 (e^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} - \frac{(e^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)(e^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)^2}{2(-1 + \mu)^2 (e^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \left(\frac{(e^2(-2a + 2d + 4s + \epsilon + 2\mu) - 4sc_F(\epsilon - 2q_F) + 8s(-2a + 2d + 2s + \epsilon + 2\mu)q_F + 4(2a - 2d - 4s - \epsilon - 2\mu)q_F^2)}{(e^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)^2 (e^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \right)^{1/2}$
δ_{2P3}	$\frac{-(-1 + \mu)(e^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)(e^2(4 - 2a + 2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda) - 4c_F(\epsilon - 2q_F) + 8(2 - 2a + 2d + \epsilon + 2\lambda)q_F + 4(-4 + 2a - 2d - \epsilon - 2\lambda)q_F^2)}{2(-1 + \mu)^2 (e^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} + \frac{(e^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)(e^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)^2}{2(-1 + \mu)^2 (e^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \left(\frac{(e^2(-2a + 2d + 4s + \epsilon + 2\mu) - 4sc_F(\epsilon - 2q_F) + 8s(-2a + 2d + 2s + \epsilon + 2\mu)q_F + 4(2a - 2d - 4s - \epsilon - 2\mu)q_F^2)}{(e^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)^2 (e^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \right)^{1/2}$

Appendix J: Proofs

Proof of convexity of cases and equilibrium outcomes (As summarised in appendix F and notations in Appendix G): In the case C0, the demand of second-hand platform, follower brand and counterfeit are $1-T_{SC0}$, $T_{SC0}-T_{FC0}$ and $T_{FC0}-T_{CC0}$, respectively. The T_{SC0} is calculated by comparing U_{SC0} and U_{FC0} . Similarly, T_{FC0} is calculated by comparing U_{FC0} and U_{CC0} , and T_{CC0} is calculated by comparing U_{FC0} and U_{NC0} . Then these values are substituted in π_{SC0} , π_{FC0} and π_{CC0} .

The second-hand platform, follower brand and counterfeit player decide their prices by maximizing profit π_{SC0} , π_{FC0} and π_{CC0} . Where $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{SC0}}{\partial (p_{SC0})^2} = \frac{-2}{1-q_F} < 0$, $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{FC0}}{\partial (p_{FC0})^2} = 2 \left(\frac{-1}{q_F - q_C} - \frac{1}{1-q_F} \right) < 0$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{CC0}}{\partial (p_{CC0})^2} = -2 \left(\frac{1}{q_F - q_C} + \frac{1}{q_C} \right) < 0$.

In case C1, third party second-hand platform uses luxury brand shared product authentications information to keep the counterfeits out from the market, raising the status utility for its consumers. The demand of second-hand platform and follower brand are $1-T_{SC1}$ and $T_{SC1}-T_{FC1}$. The T_{SC1} is calculated by comparing U_{SC1} and U_{FC1} . Similarly, T_{FC1} is calculated by comparing U_{FC1} and U_{NC1} .

The second-hand platform and follower brand simultaneously decide their prices maximizing their profit functions π_{SC1} and π_{FC1} , respectively. Where $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{SC1}}{\partial (p_{SC1})^2} = \frac{-8q_F}{\epsilon^2 - 4q_F^2 + 4q_F} < 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{FC1}}{\partial (p_{FC1})^2} = \frac{-8}{\epsilon^2 - 4q_F^2 + 4q_F} < 0 \text{ in parametric region.}$$

In case C2, the second-hand platform is a mono-brand second-hand platform which facilitates in providing the luxury experience to the second-hand consumers and records ownership transfer of luxury product on blockchain based database. The platform focuses on maximising the aspirational value luxury brand while deciding its prices. Consequently, follower brand strategically decides its price (p_{FC2}) after observing the second-hand product process in the market (p_{SC2}). The demand of second-hand platform and follower brand are $1-T_{SC2}$ and $T_{SC2}-T_{FC2}$. The T_{SC2} is calculated by comparing U_{SC2} and U_{FC2} . Similarly, T_{FC2} is calculated by comparing U_{FC2} and U_{NC2} . The second-hand platform and follower brand then sequentially decide their prices maximizing their profit

functions π_{SC2} and π_{FC2} , respectively. Where $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{SC2}}{\partial (p_{SC2})^2} = \frac{-8q_F}{4sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2}$ and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{FC2}}{\partial (p_{FC2})^2} = \frac{-8s}{4sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2}$$
 is negative definite if lemma 1 holds true.

Lemma 1 proof:

For $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{SC2}}{\partial (p_{SC2})^2}$ and $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{FC2}}{\partial (p_{FC2})^2}$ will be always negative if the denominator $4sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2 > 0$. The

above quadratic equation in q_F is always positive for $q_F \in \left[0, \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2} + s}{2}\right]$.

In case C3, the second-hand platform is a multi-brand second-hand platform that records ownership transfer of luxury product on blockchain based database. The platform focuses on maximising the aspirational value luxury brand while deciding its prices. Consequently, follower brand strategically decides its price (p_{FC3}) after observing the second-hand product process in the market (p_{SC3}). The demand of second-hand platform and follower brand are $1 - T_{SC3}$ and $T_{SC3} - T_{FC3}$. The T_{SC3} is calculated by comparing U_{SC3} and U_{FC3} . Similarly, T_{FC3} is calculated by comparing U_{FC3} and U_{NC3} . The second-hand platform and follower brand then sequentially decide their prices maximizing their profit functions π_{SC3} and π_{FC3} , respectively. Where $\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{SC3}}{\partial (p_{SC3})^2} = \frac{-8q_F}{\epsilon^2 - 4q_F^2 + 4q_F} < 0$ and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \pi_{FC3}}{\partial (p_{FC3})^2} = \frac{-8s}{4sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2} < 0.$$

Proof of proposition 1:

In this proof we are comparing case C0 with C1, C2 and C3 to find the conditions when benchmark case is profitable choice for second-hand platform. We compared π_{SC0}^* and π_{SC1}^* and found that:

$$\pi_{SC1}^* - \pi_{SC0}^* = \left(p_{SC1}^* (1 - T_{SC1}^*) - F\right) - \left(p_{SC0}^* (1 - T_{SC0}^*)\right) = F_{P1} - F. \quad \text{Suggesting that for}$$

$$F > F_{P1} \Rightarrow \pi_{SC1}^* < \pi_{SC0}^* .$$

Furthermore, when we compared π_{SC0}^* and π_{SC2}^* , we found that:

$$\pi_{SC2}^* - \pi_{SC0}^* = A(\mu - \mu_{1P1})(\mu - \mu_{2P1}) \text{ such that } 0 < A = \frac{4q_F(\epsilon^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2)^2}{(\epsilon^2 + 4(s - q_F)q_F)(\epsilon^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2)^2} \text{ and } \mu_{2P1} > \mu_{1P1}$$

for $q_F \in \left(0, \frac{s + \sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2}}{2}\right)$. This implies for $\mu \in (\mu_{1P1}, \mu_{2P1})$, $\pi_{SC2}^* < \pi_{SC0}^*$

Similarly, by comparing π_{SC0}^* and π_{SC3}^* , we found that $\pi_{SC3}^* - \pi_{SC0}^* = B(\mu - \bar{\mu}_{1P1})(\mu - \bar{\mu}_{2P1})$ such that $0 < B = \frac{4\delta^2 q_F(\epsilon^2 - 4(-2 - q_F)q_F)^2}{(\epsilon^2 - 4(-4 + q_F)q_F)^2(\epsilon^2 - 4(-1 + q_F)q_F)}$ and $\bar{\mu}_{2P1} > \bar{\mu}_{1P1}$ in the parametric region. This implies for

$\mu \in (\bar{\mu}_{1P1}, \bar{\mu}_{2P1})$, $\pi_{SC3}^* < \pi_{SC0}^*$. The above results suggest that for $\mu \in (\mu_{\min}, \mu_{\max})$ and

$F > F_{P1} \Rightarrow \pi_{SC0}^* > \pi_{SC2}^*$, $\pi_{SC0}^* > \pi_{SC3}^*$ and $\pi_{SC0}^* > \pi_{SC1}^*$. Where, $\mu_{\min} = \max\{\mu_{1P1}, \bar{\mu}_{1P1}\}$ and

$\mu_{\max} = \min\{\mu_{2P1}, \bar{\mu}_{2P1}\}$ (\because for most of the parametric region μ_{1P1} is lesser than $\bar{\mu}_{2P1}$). Table D.1

tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 1:

In this proof we are comparing second-hand product demand and prices of case C0, C2 and C3. We

compared D_{SC0}^* , D_{SC2}^* , and D_{SC3}^* and found that: $\frac{d(D_{SC0}^* - D_{SC2}^*)}{d\mu} = \frac{-4q_F(\epsilon^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2)}{(\epsilon^2 + 4sq_F - 4q_F^2)(\epsilon^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2)} < 0$ for

$$q_F \in \left[0, \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2} + s}{2}\right] \text{ and } \frac{d(D_{SC0}^* - D_{SC3}^*)}{d\mu} = \frac{-4\delta q_F(\epsilon^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)}{(\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)(\epsilon^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)} < 0.$$

Similarly, comparing p_{SC0}^* , p_{SC2}^* , and p_{SC3}^* and found that: $\frac{d(p_{SC0}^* - p_{SC2}^*)}{d\mu} = -\frac{\epsilon^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2}{\epsilon^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2} < 0$ for

$$q_F \in \left[0, \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2} + s}{2}\right] \text{ and } \frac{d(p_{SC0}^* - p_{SC3}^*)}{d\mu} = -\frac{\delta(\epsilon^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)}{\epsilon^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2} < 0.$$

Proof of proposition 2:

This proof compared the case C1 with C0, C2 and C3 to find conditions when platform should collaborate with luxury brand for authentication information sharing. We compared π_{SC1}^* and π_{SC2}^* ,

and found that: $\pi_{SC2}^* - \pi_{SC1}^* = A(d - d_{1P2})(d - d_{2P2})$ such that $0 < A = \frac{4q_F(8sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2)^2}{(4q_F(s - q_F) + \epsilon^2)(16sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2)^2}$ and

$d_{2P2} > d_{1P2}$ for $q_F \in \left(0, \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2} + s}{2}\right)$. Suggesting that for $d \in (d_{1P2}, d_{2P2})$, $\pi_{SC2}^* < \pi_{SC1}^*$. Similarly, by

comparing π_{SC1}^* and π_{SC3}^* we found that: $\pi_{SC3}^* - \pi_{SC1}^* = B(d - \bar{d}_{1P2})(d - \bar{d}_{2P2})$. Such that

$0 < B = \frac{4q_F(\epsilon^2 - 4(q_F - 2)q_F)^2}{(\epsilon^2 + 4(4 - q_F)q_F)^2(\epsilon^2 - 4(q_F - 1)q_F)}$ and $\bar{d}_{2P2} > \bar{d}_{1P2}$ in parametric region. Suggesting that for $d \in (\bar{d}_{1P2}, \bar{d}_{2P2})$, $\pi_{SC1}^* > \pi_{SC3}^*$. Furthermore, from proof of proposition 2 we know that for $F < F_{P1} \Rightarrow \pi_{SC1}^* > \pi_{SC0}^*$. The above results suggest that for $d \in (d_{\min}, d_{\max})$ and $F < F_{P1} \Rightarrow \pi_{SC1}^* > \pi_{SC0}^*$, $\pi_{SC1}^* > \pi_{SC2}^*$ and $\pi_{SC1}^* > \pi_{SC3}^*$. Where, $d_{\min} = \max\{d_{1P2}, \bar{d}_{1P2}\}$ and $d_{\max} = \min\{d_{2P2}, \bar{d}_{2P2}\}$ (\because for most of the parametric region d_{1P2} is lesser than \bar{d}_{2P2}). Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds. Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 2:

In this proof we are comparing second-hand product demand and prices of case C1, C2 and C3. We

compared D_{SC0}^* , D_{SC2}^* , and D_{SC3}^* and found that: $\frac{d(D_{SC1}^* - D_{SC2}^*)}{d(d)} = -\frac{4q_F(8sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2)}{(4sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2)(16sq_F - 4q_F^2 + \epsilon^2)} < 0$

for $q_F \in \left[0, \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2} + s}{2}\right]$ and $\frac{d(D_{SC1}^* - D_{SC3}^*)}{d(d)} = -\frac{4q_F(\epsilon^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2)}{(\epsilon^2 + 4q_F - 4q_F^2)(\epsilon^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2)} < 0$. Similarly, comparing

p_{SC1}^* , p_{SC2}^* , and p_{SC3}^* and found that: $\frac{d(p_{SC1}^* - p_{SC2}^*)}{d(d)} = -\frac{\epsilon^2 + 8sq_F - 4q_F^2}{\epsilon^2 + 16sq_F - 4q_F^2} < 0$ for $q_F \in \left[0, \frac{\sqrt{s^2 + \epsilon^2} + s}{2}\right]$ and

$\frac{d(p_{SC1}^* - p_{SC3}^*)}{d(d)} = -\frac{\epsilon^2 + 8q_F - 4q_F^2}{\epsilon^2 + 16q_F - 4q_F^2} < 0$.

Proof of proposition 3:

This proof compares the case C2 and case C3 to find when luxury brand controlled second-hand platform should be mono brand or multi brand. We compared π_{SC2}^* and π_{SC3}^* and found that:

$\pi_{SC3}^* - \pi_{SC2}^* = A(\delta - \delta_{1P3})(\delta - \delta_{2P3})$ such that $0 < A = \frac{4(\mu - 1)^2 q_F(\epsilon^2 - 4(q_F - 2)q_F)^2}{(\epsilon^2 - 4(q_F - 4)q_F)^2(\epsilon^2 + 4(1 - q_F)q_F)}$ and $\delta_{2P3} > \delta_{1P3}$ in

the parametric region. This suggests that for $\delta \in (\delta_{1P3}, \delta_{2P3})$, $\pi_{SC3}^* < \pi_{SC2}^*$. Table D.1 tabulates the expressions for thresholds.

Proof of Corollary 3:

In this proof we are comparing second-hand platform profits and prices of case C2 and C3. We compared π_{SC2}^* and π_{SC3}^* and found that:

$$\frac{d\left(\pi_{SC2}^* - \pi_{SC3}^*\right)^2}{d\delta^2} = -\frac{2q_F(-\epsilon^2(-2+2\mu)+8(2-2\mu)q_F+4(-2+2\mu)q_F^2)^2}{(\epsilon^2+4q_F-4q_F^2)(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)^2} < 0 \quad \forall \delta \in [0,1].$$

Similarly, we compared p_{SC2}^* , and p_{SC3}^* and found that: $\frac{d\left(p_{SC2}^* - p_{SC3}^*\right)}{d\delta} = \frac{-\epsilon^2\mu-8(1+\mu)q_F+4\mu q_F^2}{\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2} < 0 \quad \forall \delta \in [0,1].$

Proof of proposition 4:

In this proof we are checking the variation of brand exclusivity with consumers price sensitivity in case C0, C1 and C3. We checked with E_{C0}^* , E_{C1}^* and E_{C3}^* and found that:

$$\frac{d\left(E_{C0}^*\right)^2}{d\lambda^2} = \frac{\epsilon(q_c-q_F)(q_c+(-2+q_F)q_F)}{2(-1+q_F)((-4+q_F)q_F+q_c(2+q_F))^2} < 0 \quad \text{for } q_F > q_C, \quad \frac{d\left(E_{C1}^*\right)^2}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{16\epsilon q_F^2(\epsilon^2+8q_F-4q_F^2)^2}{(\epsilon^2-4(-4+q_F)q_F)^2(\epsilon^2-4(-1+q_F)q_F)^2} < 0,$$

and $\frac{d\left(E_{C3}^*\right)^2}{d\lambda^2} = -\frac{16\epsilon q_F^2(\epsilon^2+8q_F-4q_F^2)^2}{(\epsilon^2+4q_F-4q_F^2)^2(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)^2} < 0.$

Proof of proposition 5:

In this proof we are checking the variation of brand exclusivity with product ownership transfer desirability in case C2 and C3. We checked with E_{C2}^* and E_{C3}^* and found that:

$$\frac{d\left(E_{C2}^*\right)^2}{d(d)^2} = -\frac{16\epsilon q_F^2(\epsilon^2+8sq_F-4q_F^2)^2}{(\epsilon^2+4sq_F-4q_F^2)^2(\epsilon^2+16sq_F-4q_F^2)^2} < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d\left(E_{C3}^*\right)^2}{d(d)^2} = -\frac{16\epsilon q_F^2(\epsilon^2+8q_F-4q_F^2)^2}{(\epsilon^2+4q_F-4q_F^2)^2(\epsilon^2+16q_F-4q_F^2)^2} < 0.$$

Proof of proposition 6:

In this proof we are checking the variation of consumer surplus of case C3. We checked with CS_{C3}^*

and found that: $\frac{d\left(CS_{C3}^*\right)^2}{d\delta^2} = -\frac{16(-1+\mu)^2 q_F^2((-3+\epsilon)\epsilon^2+8(-1+\epsilon)q_F-4(-3+\epsilon)q_F^2)}{(\epsilon^2-4(-4+q_F)q_F)(\epsilon^2-4(-1+q_F)q_F)^2} > 0$ for $q_F < q'_F \quad \forall \epsilon \in [0,1].$ Where

$$q'_F = \frac{2-2\epsilon+\sqrt{4-8\epsilon+13\epsilon^2-6\epsilon^3+\epsilon^4}}{6-2\epsilon}.$$

Chapter 6 References

- Ariane. (2022). *Ariane | Digital Assets for Customer Relationships 3.0*. ..Ariane. <https://www.arianee.org/>
- Aura. (2022, August 23). *Authenticating luxury goods with blockchain – Aura*. Aura Blockchain Consortium. <https://auraluxuryblockchain.com/insight/authenticating-luxury-goods-with-blockchain>
- Aura. (2023). *Members – Aura*. Aura Blockchain Consortium. <https://auraluxuryblockchain.com/members>
- Babich, V., & Hilary, G. (2020). Distributed ledgers and operations: What operations management researchers should know about blockchain technology. *Manufacturing and Service Operations Management*, 22(2), 223–240. <https://doi.org/10.1287/MSOM.2018.0752>
- Balachander, S., & Stock, A. (2009). Limited edition products: when and when not to offer them. *Marketing Science*, 28(2), 336–355. <https://doi.org/10.1287/MKSC.1080.0401>
- Barrow, G. (2022, November 16). *The resale of fast fashion - a redeeming quality or one to reject?* Lexology. <https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx?g=f6f3a58e-1864-47ac-bc47-7429c7489846>
- Baruah, J. (2018, February 25). Some controversial high-profile instances of design copy. *The Economic Times*. <https://economictimes.indiatimes.com/magazines/panache/some-controversial-high-profile-instances-of-design-copy/articleshow/63059688.cms?from=mdr>
- Bauk, W. (2018, October 15). *What is blockchain: ethical fashion supply chain explainer*. Fashionista. <https://fashionista.com/2018/04/what-is-blockchain-explained-ethical-fashion-supply-chain>
- Beuloye, F. E. (2023a). *The future of luxury: 7 trends to stay ahead in 2023*. Luxe Digital. <https://luxe.digital/business/digital-luxury-trends/luxury-future-trends/>
- Beuloye, F. E. (2023b). Luxury resale: a secondhand strategy for luxury brands. In *Luxe Digital*. <https://luxe.digital/business/digital-luxury-reports/luxury-resale-transformation/>
- Beverland, M. B., Napoli, J., & Farrelly, F. (2010). Can all brands innovate in the same way? a typology of brand position and innovation effort. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 27(1), 33–48. <https://doi.org/10.1111/J.1540-5885.2009.00698.X>

- Bianchi, F., Flicker, I., Krueger, F., Ricci, G., Schuler, M., Seara, J., & Willersdorf, S. (2020). *The secondhand opportunity in hard luxury*. <https://web-assets.bcg.com/02/62/38ebcb2c40e89fd31156e153b8a3/bcg-the-secondhand-opportunity-in-hard-luxury-sep-2020.pdf>
- Borovits, I., & Giladi, R. (1993). Evaluating cost/utilization of organizations' information systems and users. *Information & Management*, 25(5), 273–280. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7206\(93\)90076-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-7206(93)90076-6)
- Brown, J. (2022, June 1). *What you need to know about the EU's Digital Product Passport Policy*. Eon. <https://www.eon.xyz/insights/digital-passport-policy-guide>
- Busby, J. S. (2019). The co-evolution of competition and parasitism in the resource-based view: A risk model of product counterfeiting. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 276(1), 300–313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EJOR.2018.12.039>
- Carter, K., Jayachandran, S., & Murdock, M. R. (2021). Building A Sustainable Shelf: The Role of Firm Sustainability Reputation. *Journal of Retailing*, 97(4), 507–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2021.03.003>
- Chen, C. (2023, March 14). *How to choose a resale partner*. Business of Fashion. https://www.businessoffashion.com/articles/retail/how-to-choose-a-resale-partner/?utm_source=newsletter_dailydigest&utm_medium=email&utm_campaign=Daily_Digest_140323&utm_term=VTYPZIYP4NDTXPXQO6JQNANYOA&utm_content=top_story_1_cta
- Chittrakorn, K. (2021). *Kering signals rental interest with stake in Cocoon*. <https://www.voguebusiness.com/companies/kering-signals-rental-interest-with-stake-in-luxury-bags-cocoon>
- Choi, J., Madhavaram, S. R., & Park, H. Y. (2020). The role of hedonic and utilitarian motives on the effectiveness of partitioned pricing. *Journal of Retailing*, 96(2), 251–265. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2019.10.003>
- Choi, T.-M. (2018). Blockchain-technology-supported platforms for diamond authentication and certification in luxury supply chains. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2019.05.011>
- Cisse, C. (2020, February 4). *In the new age of rentable luxury, consumers are less concerned with ownership than ever*. Luxury Society.

https://www.luxurysociety.com/en/articles/2020/02/rise-sharing-economy?fbclid=IwAR0VZVTKHQ5GC4Jn0jiMfPnttOS3BSouG_4vgTUJl2_kPN8q79br2oPok8

D'Souza, S. (2022, August 5). Reliance Brands inks pact to introduce luxury brand Balenciaga in India. *Business Standard*. https://www.business-standard.com/article/companies/reliance-brands-inks-pact-to-introduce-luxury-brand-balenciaga-in-india-122080401293_1.html

Danziger, P. N. (2019a, June 10). *How Patagonia, REI and Eileen Fisher are using secondhand sales to get more new customers*. Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/pamdanziger/2019/06/10/how-patagonia-rei-and-eileen-fisher-are-using-second-hand-sales-to-make-more-new-sales-and-customers/?sh=59c224d15272>

Danziger, P. N. (2019b). What Is Really Driving The RealReal's New Partnership With Burberry. In *Forbes*. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/pamdanziger/2019/10/07/circular-fashion-is-not-whats-most-important-about-burberry-partnering-with-the-realreal/?sh=3df007606f53>

Desmichel, P., & Kocher, B. (2020). Luxury single- versus multi-brand stores: the effect of consumers' hedonic goals on brand comparisons. *Journal of Retailing*, 96(2), 203–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2019.09.002>

Diderich, J., & Theodosi, N. (2021, September 1). *Luxury brands reluctant to join resale market boom*. WWD. <https://wwd.com/fashion-news/designer-luxury/luxury-brands-reluctant-to-join-resale-market-1234898376/>

Dion, D. (2021, August 28). *Heritage brands vs. brands with heritage*. ESSEC Business School. <https://knowledge.essec.edu/en/video/heritage-brands-vs-brands-heritage.html>

Dion, D., & Arnould, E. (2011). Retail luxury strategy: assembling charisma through art and magic. *Journal of Retailing*, 87(4), 502–520. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2011.09.001>

Dion, D., & Borraz, S. (2017). Managing status: how luxury brands shape class subjectivities in the service encounter. *Journal of Marketing*, 81(5), 67–85. <https://doi.org/10.1509/JM.15.0291>

Domdouzis, K., Kumar, B., & Anumba, C. (2007). Radio-Frequency Identification (RFID)

- applications: A brief introduction. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 21(4), 350–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AEI.2006.09.001>
- Douglass, R. (2021). Kering Eyewear launches sustainability blockchain platform. In *Fashion United*. <https://fashionunited.uk/news/business/kering-eyewear-launches-sustainability-blockchain-platform/2021112559586>
- DPIIT. (2020). Consolidated FDI Policy (Effective from October 15, 2020). In *Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade Ministry of Commerce and Industry Government of India*.
- Dranove, D., & Jin, G. Z. (2010). Quality disclosure and certification: theory and practice. *Journal of Economic Literature*, 48(4), 935–963. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/29779704>
- Dusil, M. M. (2007, August 29). *News: from Hermes to eternity*. Purseblog. <https://www.purseblog.com/hermes/news-from-hermes-to-eternity/>
- Edie. (2020, October 12). Burberry and IBM collaborate on blockchain initiative to boost fashion supply chain traceability. *Edie*. <https://www.edie.net/burberry-and-ibm-collaborate-on-blockchain-initiative-to-boost-fashion-supply-chain-traceability/>
- Ellwood, M. (2022, September 1). *How to invest in handbags, the luxury asset most likely to hold value*. Bloomberg. <https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2022-09-01/how-to-invest-in-handbags-the-luxury-asset-most-likely-to-hold-value#xj4y7vzkg>
- Eon. (2023). *Case Study Chloé Digital IDs powered by EON*. Eon. <https://www.eon.xyz/clients/chloe>
- Everlane. (2018, October 18). *Everlane ReNew*. Everlane Office Magazine. <https://officemagazine.net/everlane-renew>
- Everledger. (2021). *Everledger and MCQ: bringing the future of fashion forward*. Everledger. <https://everledger.io/everledger-and-mcq-bringing-the-future-of-fashion-forward/>
- Feng, Yixuan, Tan, Y. (Ricky), Duan, Y., & Bai, Y. (2020). Strategies analysis of luxury fashion rental platform in sharing economy. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 142, 102065. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2020.102065>
- Feng, Yunting, Tong, X., & Zhu, Q. (2020). The market value of sustainable practices in the luxury industry: An identity mismatch and institutional theoretical perspective. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 137, 101919.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2020.101919>

- Findley, L. (2022). *The history of Prada*. William George. <https://www.williamgeorge.com/blog/the-history-of-prada>
- Galante, M. (2012, May 29). *Prada CEO quote on counterfeit goods*. Businessinsider. <https://www.businessinsider.com/prada-ceo-quote-on-counterfeit-goods-2012-5?IR=T>
- Gao, S. Y., Lim, W. S., & Tang, C. S. (2016). Entry of copycats of luxury brands. *Marketing Science*, 36(2), 272–289. <https://doi.org/10.1287/MKSC.2016.1008>
- Gao, S. Y., Lim, W. S., & Ye, Z. (2022). Optimal channel strategy of luxury brands in the presence of online marketplace and copycats. *European Journal of Operational Research*, November 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2022.11.053>
- Girod, S. J. (2023). *The Metaverse Is Much More Than A Cool New Channel For Luxury Brands*. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/stephanegirod/2023/03/29/the-metaverse-is-much-more-than-a-cool-new-channel-for-luxury-brands/?sh=6048d8136c32>
- Glekov, S., & Nemethy, L. (2018). *Part VI on Asset Classes: Investment in luxury goods*. Euro Phoenix. <https://europhoenix.com/blog/part-vi-on-asset-classes-investment-in-luxury-goods-by-sergey-glekov-and-les-nemethy/>
- Goldstein, K. (2022, August 2). *The global impact of counterfeiting and solutions to stop it*. Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbesbusinesscouncil/2022/08/02/the-global-impact-of-counterfeiting-and-solutions-to-stop-it/?sh=33ed20d11ca2>
- Guiot, D., & Roux, D. (2010). A second-hand shoppers' motivation scale: antecedents, consequences, and implications for retailers. *Journal of Retailing*, 86(4), 355–371. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2010.08.002>
- Ha, A. Y., Luo, H., & Shang, W. (2022). Supplier encroachment, information sharing, and channel structure in online retail platforms. *Production and Operations Management*, 31(3), 1235–1251. <https://doi.org/10.1111/POMS.13607>
- HaDEA. (2023, May 11). *Digital Product Passport*. European Health and Digital Executive Agency. https://hadea.ec.europa.eu/calls-proposals/digital-product-passport_en
- Hindman. (2020, June 6). *A brief history of Hermès-luxury fashion's dynastic family*. Hindman Auctions. <https://hindmanauctions.com/new-noteworthy/a-brief-history-of-hermes-luxury-fashion-dynastic-family>
- Hou, P., Zhen, Z., & Pun, H. (2020). Combating copycatting in the luxury market with fighter

- brands. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 140(June), 102009. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102009>
- Hsiao, A. (2021, February 3). *Buying fake stuff on eBay and what to do about it*. Liveabout Dotcom. <https://www.liveabout.com/counterfeit-item-through-ebay-1140132>
- Hu, S., Fu, K., & Wu, T. (2021). The role of consumer behavior and power structures in coping with shoddy goods. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 155, 102482. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2021.102482>
- IMARC. (2022). *Second-hand luxury goods market share and size 2023-2028*. IMARC. <https://www.imarcgroup.com/secondhand-luxury-goods-market>
- INTA. (2020, August 31). *Counterfeiting (intended for a non-legal audience)*. International Trademark Association. <https://www.inta.org/fact-sheets/counterfeiting-intended-for-a-non-legal-audience/>
- Joy, A., Wang, J. J., Chan, T. S., Sherry, J. F., & Cui, G. (2014). M(Art)Worlds: consumer perceptions of how luxury brand stores become art institutions. *Journal of Retailing*, 90(3), 347–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2014.01.002>
- Kapferer, J. N., & Bastien, V. (2012). *The luxury strategy: break the rules of marketing to build luxury brands* (2nd ed.). Kogan Page Publishers.
- Karamchandani, A., Srivastava, S. K., Kumar, S., & Srivastava, A. (2021). Analysing perceived role of blockchain technology in SCM context for the manufacturing industry. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(11), 3398–3429. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1883761>
- Kent, S. (2023). *Is luxury set for resale-ready products?* Business of Fashion. <https://www.businessoffashion.com/articles/sustainability/chloe-digital-id-vestiaire-collective-resale-transparency-con/>
- Klaus, P., & Tynan, C. (2022). The future of luxury management – 5 megatrends that are here to stay: guidance for researchers and managers. *Journal of Marketing Management*, 38(13–14), 1271–1277. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2022.2148908>
- Komazova, I. (2022, September 29). *Fast fashion is destroying our planet: what you can do*. World Cleanup Day . <https://www.worldcleanupday.org/post/fast-fashion-is-destroying-our-planet-what-you-can-do>
- Kumar, B., Bagozzi, R. P., Manrai, A. K., & Manrai, L. A. (2022). Conspicuous

- consumption: A meta-analytic review of its antecedents, consequences, and moderators. *Journal of Retailing*, 98(3), 471–485. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2021.10.003>
- Kundu, T., & Sheu, J. B. (2019). Analyzing the effect of government subsidy on shippers' mode switching behavior in the Belt and Road strategic context. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 129, 175–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2019.08.007>
- Li, K. J. (2019). Status goods and vertical line extensions. *Production and Operations Management*, 28(1), 103–120. <https://doi.org/10.1111/POMS.12902>
- Li, Z., Xu, X., Bai, Q., Guan, X., & Zeng, K. (2021). The interplay between blockchain adoption and channel selection in combating counterfeits. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 155, 102451. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2021.102451>
- Lieber, C. (2018, April 27). *Fashion's copycat problem: why brands like Zara get away with rip-offs*. Vox. <https://www.vox.com/2018/4/27/17281022/fashion-brands-knockoffs-copyright-stolen-designs-old-navy-zara-h-and-m>
- Logkizidou, M., Bottomley, P., Angell, R., & Evanschitzky, H. (2019). Why museological merchandise displays enhance luxury product evaluations: an extended art infusion effect. *Journal of Retailing*, 95(1), 67–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2018.11.001>
- Long, X., & Nasiry, J. (2022). Sustainability in the fast fashion industry. *Manufacturing & Service Operations*, 24(3), 1276–1293. <https://doi.org/10.1287/MSOM.2021.1054>
- Luxe Digital. (2022). Inside The Experiential Transformation Of Luxury Goods. In *Luxe Digital*. <https://luxe.digital/business/digital-luxury-reports/experiential-luxury-goods/>
- McCartney, S. (2023, June 7). *Traceability and Blockchain Technology*. Stella McCartney UK. <https://www.stellamccartney.com/ie/en/sustainability/traceability-and-block-chain-technology.html>
- McDowell, M. (2021, July 28). *Episode 1: Prada's RFID investment*. Vogue. <https://www.voguebusiness.com/technology/episode-1-pradas-rfid-investment>
- Mukherjee, S. (2021, May 15). *Future of luxury—consumer experience will drive value*. Forbes. <https://www.forbesindia.com/article/12th-anniversary-special/future-of-luxuryconsumer-experience-will-drive-value-sabyasachi-mukherjee/67963/1>

- Nandan, S. (2005). An exploration of the brand identity–brand image linkage: A communications perspective. *Journal of Brand Management* 2005 12:4, 12(4), 264–278. <https://doi.org/10.1057/PALGRAVE.BM.2540222>
- Niu, B., Chen, L., & Xie, F. (2020). Production outsourcing for limited-edition luxury goods with consideration of consumers' origin preferences. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 140, 101975. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2020.101975>
- Okhmatovskiy, I. (2023). *The present and future state of multi-brand platforms in luxury E-commerce: leveraging digital tools to build customer loyalty* [Nova School of Business and Economics]. https://run.unl.pt/bitstream/10362/157252/1/2022_23_Fall_39455.pdf
- Örsdemir, A., Ziya, E. K., & Parlaktürk, A. K. (2014). Competitive quality choice and remanufacturing. *Production and Operations Management*, 23(1), 48–64. <https://doi.org/10.1111/POMS.12040>
- Page, V. (2022, March 13). *The psychology behind why people buy luxury goods*. Investopedia. <https://www.investopedia.com/articles/personal-finance/091115/psychology-behind-why-people-buy-luxury-goods.asp>
- Pecarski, F. B. (2017, February 10). *The Future of Retail: Mono- vs Multi-Brand Experiences*. Medium. <https://medium.com/ok-felix/the-future-of-retail-mono-vs-multi-brand-experiences-8f6ecf7bc83e#:~:text=Multi-Brand Stores Promote Lifestyle,that is the consumer's lifestyle.>
- Phelan, J. (2022). Infringement or identification? nominative fair use and the resale of luxury goods. *Fordham Law Review*, 91. <https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/flr91&id=775&div=26&collection=sccjournals>
- Pun, H., Swaminathan, J. M., & Hou, P. (2021). Blockchain adoption for combating deceptive counterfeits. *Production and Operations Management*, 30(4), 864–882. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13348>
- Radocchia, S. (2018, June 23). *Altering the apparel industry: how the blockchain is changing fashion*. Forbes. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/samantharadocchia/2018/06/27/altering-the-apparel-industry-how-the-blockchain-is-changing-fashion/?sh=b7a2bdc29fb6>
- Rathi, R., Jain, S., & Garg, R. (2023). Exploring young consumer's adoption of secondhand

- luxury: insights from a qualitative study. *Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-11-2022-0236>
- RealReal. (2020, October 5). The RealReal and Gucci launch circular economy partnership. *TheRealReal*. <https://investor.therealreal.com/news-releases/news-release-details/realreal-and-gucci-launch-circular-economy-partnership>
- Richemont. (2015, March 31). *Richemont signs agreement to merge the Net-A-Porter group with YOOX group*. Richemont Media. <https://www.richemont.com/en/home/media/press-releases-and-news/richemont-signs-agreement-to-merge-the-net-a-porter-group-with-yoox-group/>
- Richemont. (2018). *Richemont acquires Watchfinder.co.uk limited*. Richemont Media Page. <https://www.richemont.com/en/home/media/press-releases-and-news/richemont-acquires-watchfinder-co-uk-limited/>
- Richemont. (2023). *Richemont Partnerships*. Richemont. <https://www.richemont.com/about-us/partnerships/>
- Risius, M., & Spohrer, K. (2017). A blockchain research framework: what we (don't) know, where we go from here, and how we will get there. *Business and Information Systems Engineering*, 59(6), 385–409. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S12599-017-0506-0/TABLES/5>
- Roberts, C. (2023, June 20). *Investor Relations: Corporate Governance*. Mulberry. <https://www.mulberry.com/cy/investor-relations/corporate-governance>
- Roggeveen, A. L., Grewal, D., Karsberg, J., Noble, S. M., Nordfält, J., Patrick, V. M., Schweiger, E., Soysal, G., Dillard, A., Cooper, N., & Olson, R. (2021). Forging meaningful consumer-brand relationships through creative merchandise offerings and innovative merchandising strategies. *Journal of Retailing*, 97(1), 81–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2020.11.006>
- Ross, G. R., Bolton, L. E., & Meloy, M. G. (2023). Disorder in secondhand retail spaces: The countervailing forces of hidden treasure and risk. *Journal of Retailing*, 99(1), 136–148. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2022.12.002>
- Salam, A. K. A. (2022). Inspiration: a mirror of copy or not? *Int'l JL Mgmt. & Human*, 5(6), 276.
- Salamone, L. (2021, April 21). *What works and what doesn't with fashion's diffusion lines*. NSS Magazine. <https://www.nssmag.com/en/fashion/26522/diffusion-line>

- Schorrocks, A., Davies, J., & Lluberias, R. (2022). Global Wealth Report 2022. In *Credit Suisse Research Institute* (Issue December). <https://www.credit-suisse.com/media/assets/corporate/docs/about-us/research/publications/global-wealth-report-2020-en.pdf>
- Segura, A. (2019, March 11). *The fashion retailer the fashion pyramid of brands*. The Fashion Retail. <https://fashionretail.blog/2019/03/11/the-fashion-pyramid-of-brands/>
- Shen, B., Dong, C., & Minner, S. (2021). Combating copycats in the supply chain with permissioned blockchain technology. *Production and Operations Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/poms.13456>
- Shen, B., Xu, X., & Yuan, Q. (2020). Selling secondhand products through an online platform with blockchain. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 142, 102066. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2020.102066>
- Shukla, P., Rios, V. R., Trott, S., Lyu, J., & Khalifa, D. (2022). Managing the challenge of luxury democratization: a multicounty analysis. *Journal of International Marketing*, 30(4), 44–59. https://doi.org/10.1177/1069031X221126925/ASSET/IMAGES/LARGE/10.1177_1069031X221126925-FIG1.JPEG
- Statistica. (2023, August). *Luxury Goods - Worldwide market forecast*. Statista Market Forecast. <https://www.statista.com/outlook/cmo/luxury-goods/worldwide>
- Stone, D. W. (2021, March 15). *Mulberry joins Vestiaire Collective's new 'Brand Approved' programme*. Fashion United. <https://fashionunited.uk/news/fashion/mulberry-joins-vestiaire-collective-s-new-brand-approved-programme/2021031554409>
- Sun, J., Zhang, X., & Zhu, Q. (2020). Counterfeiters in online marketplaces: stealing your sales or sharing your costs. *Journal of Retailing*, 96(2), 189–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2019.07.002>
- TFL. (2021, September 21). *Buyback programs: the future of the luxury market?* The Fashion Law. <https://www.thefashionlaw.com/buyback-programs-the-future-of-the-luxury-market/>
- Thanasi-Boçe, M., AL-Issa, N., & Ali, O. (2022). Combating luxury counterfeiting through blockchain technology. In *Blockchain Technologies in the textile and Fashion Industry* (pp. 1–16). Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-6569-2_1

- Turk, R. (2018, November 20). *Chanel accuses the RealReal of selling counterfeit handbags*. Fashion United. <https://fashionunited.uk/news/fashion/chanel-accuses-the-realreal-of-selling-counterfeit-handbags/2018112040092>
- Turunen, L. L. M., & Pöyry, E. (2019). Shopping with the resale value in mind: A study on second-hand luxury consumers. *International Journal of Consumer Studies*, 43(6), 549–556. <https://doi.org/10.1111/IJCS.12539>
- USTR, O. of. (2021). *2021 review of notorious markets for counterfeiting and piracy*. [https://ustr.gov/sites/default/files/IssueAreas/IP/2021 Notorious Markets List.pdf](https://ustr.gov/sites/default/files/IssueAreas/IP/2021%20Notorious%20Markets%20List.pdf)
- Varshney, U., Karamchandani, A., Kundu, T., & Kapoor, R. (2023). *To Enter or Not to Enter: Strategies for Luxury brands Using Blockchain Technology to Navigate the Second-Hand Market*. Working paper
- Vigneron, F., & Johnson, L. W. (2004). Measuring perceptions of brand luxury. *Journal of Brand Management* 2004 11:6, 11(6), 484–506. <https://doi.org/10.1057/PALGRAVE.BM.2540194>
- Wall Street Journal. (2022, February 22). *Building on the blockchain: interview of aura blockchain consortium's Daniela Ott*. WSJ. <https://www.wsj.com/video/events/building-on-the-blockchain/ED769872-26AA-43FF-834A-93D9AA686B2B.html?page=5>
- Wang, Y., Xu, X., Choi, T. M., & Shen, B. (2023). Will the presence of ‘fashion knockoffs’ benefit the original-designer-label product supply chain? <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2023.2196352>
- Waste360. (2018). The RealReal and Stella McCartney Strengthen Partnership. In *Waste 360*. <https://www.waste360.com/generators/realreal-and-stella-mccartney-strengthen-partnership>
- Webb, B. (2022). *Inside the secretive world of luxury authentication*. Vogue Business. <https://www.voguebusiness.com/sustainability/inside-the-secretive-world-of-luxury-authentication-vestiaire-collective-the-realreal-ebay-stockx-goat>
- Wei, Y., & Li, F. (2020). Omnichannel supply chain operations for luxury products with conspicuous consumers. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 137(March), 101918. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.101918>
- Welté, J. B., Cayla, J., & Fischer, E. (2022). Navigating contradictory logics in the field of

- luxury retailing. *Journal of Retailing*, 98(3), 510–526.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2021.11.002>
- Wernerfelt, B. (1984). A resource-based view of the firm. *Strategic Management Journal*, 5(2), 171–180. <https://doi.org/10.1002/SMJ.4250050207>
- Wintermeier, N. (2022). *Luxury meets sustainability: Friend or foe? These brands say different*. Crobox. <https://blog.crobox.com/article/unboxed/luxury-sustainability>
- Xu, X., Zhang, M., Dou, G., & Yu, Y. (2021). Coordination of a supply chain with an online platform considering green technology in the blockchain era. *International Journal of Production Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1894367>
- Yao, A. (2023). Explore information disclosure strategies in pre-loved luxury digital market. *Journal of Strategic Marketing*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0965254X.2023.2236130>
- Ying, H., Peng, X. (David), Zhao, X., & Chen, Z. (2023). The effects of signaling blockchain-based track and trace on consumer purchases: Insights from a quasi-natural experiment. *Production and Operations Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/POMS.13968>
- Zhang, X., Li, Z., & Li, G. (2023). Impacts of blockchain-based digital transition on cold supply chains with a third-party logistics service provider. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 170, 103014. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2023.103014>
- Zhang, Y., Voorhees, C. M., Lin, C., Chiang, J., Hult, G. T. M., & Calantone, R. J. (2022). Information search and product returns across mobile and traditional online channels. *Journal of Retailing*, 98(2), 260–276. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JRETAI.2021.05.001>
- Zhou, Y., Gao, X., Luo, S., Xiong, Y., & Ye, N. (2022). Anti-counterfeiting in a retail platform: a game-theoretic approach. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 165, 102839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2022.102839>
- Zhu, G., Zhang, J., Xing, E., & Han, D. (2022). Pricing and quality decisions with conspicuous consumers. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 165, 102857. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TRE.2022.102857>