

Understanding User Privacy Through Affordances: A Study in The Mobile Platform Ecosystem



A Dissertation Presented in Partial Fulfillment of the
Requirements for the Degree
Doctor of Philosophy
By
Parvathy Venkatachalam
[2020EFPM25]

Thesis Advisory Committee

Prof. Saurabh Kumar (Chair)

Prof. Sanjog Ray (Member)

Prof. Saurabh Chandra (Member)

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT INDORE
2025

Abstract

The widespread adoption of mobile devices has transformed them into essential tools for personal data management. However, the mobile platform ecosystem, consisting of software platforms and apps, presents significant privacy challenges. We investigate the factors influencing users' ability to effectively utilize privacy features in mobile platforms. We adopt a socio-technical perspective, focusing on the interplay of user characteristics, privacy affordances, and the mobile platform ecosystem. By examining user interactions, perceptions, and decision-making processes, we aim to understand the challenges hindering privacy feature adoption and identify strategies for enhancing user-centric privacy design.

The first part contains a literature review that aims to assess the current state of knowledge on privacy within the mobile platform ecosystem in information systems (IS) research. By examining existing literature, we identify key conceptualizations of privacy and outline promising avenues for future research. Our goal in this part is to provide a comprehensive overview and identify gaps in our understanding of privacy in this rapidly evolving context.

In today's data-driven world, privacy control features are crucial for user empowerment in the mobile platform ecosystem. However, a persistent gap is reported between their availability and user interaction with these features. In the second part, through qualitative inquiry, and by employing a three-stage study incorporating practitioner views, an affordances-based framework, and end-user assessment, we investigate the underlying reasons for this underutilization. We selected methods to comprehensively observe the elements that influence user interaction and validate the findings in the context of our research. Our analysis based on practice interaction reveals that a lack of effective communication about privacy control features is a constraint in promoting usage by end-users. Applying an affordance lens within the socio-technical framework of human-computer interaction (HCI), we elucidate privacy affordances in the mobile platform ecosystem, with a focus on iOS and Android platforms.

This helps in understanding how controls are perceived and used. Subsequently, we conduct an end-user assessment to verify the receptiveness of affordance as a communication tool for promoting the usage of privacy control features. Our findings emphasize the pivotal role of communication in shaping user behaviour and suggest privacy affordances as a conceptual framework for enhancing communication in the mobile platform ecosystem. We offer nuanced insights into technology-user relations, advancing HCI theory and practice.

Privacy management in mobile ecosystems is a complex interplay of technology and user behaviour. In the third part, we investigate the impact of mobile skills, privacy awareness, and attitudes on users' ability to protect personal information from a socio-technical perspective. Using affordance and effective use theories, we aim to explore how users' mental models of these factors shape their interactions with privacy provisions. Through a survey of 160 global mobile users, we explore user perceptions and actions on privacy affordances in the mobile platform ecosystem. Our findings underscore the critical role of mobile skills in utilizing privacy features. Additionally, our analysis indicates a complex interplay between privacy awareness and user action, challenging the hypothesized direct influence of awareness on actions. These results emphasize the need for proactive privacy protections, such as privacy-by-default policies. Further, we demonstrate the potential of shaping user privacy attitudes to enhance the effectiveness of privacy provisions. We contribute to the literature by operationalizing privacy affordances through the development of measurement scales and testing the proposed model through structured equation modelling. Our analysis helps to shape the perspectives on designing privacy provisions and fostering the factors that could have a positive influence on user's privacy experience in the mobile platform ecosystem.

Table of Contents

Abstract	2
Acknowledgements	7
Candidate Declaration	8
List of Tables.....	9
List of Figures	10
Chapter 1: Introduction	11
1.1 <i>Background and Motivation</i>	11
1.2 <i>Problem Statement and Research Objectives</i>	15
1.3 <i>Contributions</i>	18
1.4 <i>Thesis Structure</i>	19
Chapter 2: Systematic Literature Review	20
2.1 <i>Objectives</i>	20
2.2 <i>Review Methodology</i>	20
2.2.1 Search Strategy, Inclusion & Exclusion Criteria	20
2.2.2 Prior Reviews on Privacy in IS	22
2.2.3 Conceptualization of Privacy in the Mobile Platform Ecosystem	22
2.3 <i>Summary of Qualitative Synthesis</i>	27
2.3.1 <i>Potential Research Directions</i>	29
Chapter 3: Theoretical Background	33
3.1 <i>Theory of Affordances</i>	33
3.1.1 Background of Affordances Approach	33
3.1.2 Affordances in IS Literature	34
3.1.3 Evolution of Affordances in IS Research	34
3.1.4 Recent works of Affordances in IS Research	35
3.1.5 Role of Affordances in this Research	36
3.2 <i>Theory of Effective Use</i>	38
Chapter 4: Explicating Privacy Affordances	40
4.1 <i>Background</i>	40
4.1.1 Research Gap	40
4.1.2 Research Objectives.....	41
4.1.3 Research Design	41
4.2 <i>Methodology</i>	42
4.2.1 Interaction with Practice	42
4.2.2 Case Study	43
4.2.3 End-user Response on Affordances – An Exploratory Analysis	45
4.3 <i>Data and Analysis</i>	46
4.3.1 Practitioners' view on Privacy Control Features	46
4.3.2 Explicating Privacy Affordances	49
4.3.3 Interdependency of Privacy Affordances.....	53
4.3.4 End User Receptions of Newly Proposed Affordances	55
4.4 <i>Discussion</i>	60
4.4.1 Towards Meaningful Design of Features.....	60

4.4.2 Empowering end-users through Privacy Affordances	61
4.4.3 Exploring Effective Communication through Privacy Affordances	62
Chapter 5: Factors Influencing Privacy Affordances in the Mobile Platform Ecosystem	64
5.1 <i>Introduction</i>	64
5.2 <i>Theoretical Framework</i>	64
5.3 <i>Research Model</i>	66
5.3.1 Privacy Affordances in the Mobile Platform Ecosystem	66
5.3.2 Constructs	67
5.4 <i>Hypothesis Development</i>	70
5.4.1 Perception and Actualization of Affordances	70
5.4.2 Actualization of Affordances and Desired Privacy Goal	71
5.4.3 Privacy Awareness as an Influencing Factor	71
5.4.4 Privacy Attitude as an Influencing Factor	72
5.4.5 Mobile Technology Knowledge & Skills as an Influencing Factor	73
5.5 <i>Method</i>	74
5.5.1 Research Design	74
5.5.2 Measurement development	75
5.5.3 Developing Measures	75
5.5.4 Scale Refinement	78
5.5.5 Assess Scale Validity	83
5.5.6 Participants and Data Collection.....	84
5.6 <i>Data and Analysis</i>	84
5.6.1 Participants Profile.....	85
5.6.2 Measurement Model Assessment	85
5.6.3 Structural Model Assessment	88
5.7 <i>Discussion</i>	92
5.7.1 How do cognitive factors impact user interaction with Privacy Provisions?.....	92
5.7.2 Role of privacy attitude in user interaction with Privacy Provisions	95
Chapter 6: Implications	98
6.1 <i>Implications for Theory</i>	98
6.1.1 <i>Privacy Affordance: A Framework for user-centric Design</i>	98
6.1.2 <i>Interplay of User Characteristics, Privacy Affordances, and Behaviour in Mobile Ecosystems</i>	100
6.1.3 <i>Theoretical Contributions</i>	102
6.2 <i>Implications for Practice</i>	103
6.2.1 <i>Affordances and Design Implications for Privacy Provisions</i>	103
6.2.1.1 Design Consistency for Effective Privacy Controls	104
6.2.1.2 Prioritize Intuitive and Accessible Privacy Provisions.....	104
6.2.1.3 Enhancing Transparency and Trust in Privacy Affordance Actualization	105
6.2.1.4 Bridging the Gap: Simplifying Privacy Language for Effective User Interaction.....	106
6.3 <i>The Interplay of User Goals and System Feedback in Learning (Theory and Practice)</i>	107
Chapter 7: Conclusion.....	109
7.1 <i>Limitations</i>	109
7.2 <i>Future Research Directions</i>	110
Appendix -A	114
A1. Prior Literature Reviews	114
A2. Emerging Topics in Privacy Research	115
A3. Technological Contexts.....	116

A4. Prior Reviews on Affordances	117
A5. Definitions and Concept of Affordances.....	118
Appendix -B	120
B1. List of First Order Privacy Affordances in Mobile Platform Ecosystem	120
B2. List of Immediate Concrete Outcomes in Literature	121
B3. List of Immediate Concrete Outcomes in iOS.....	121
B4. List of Immediate Concrete Outcomes in Android	124
B5. List of Immediate Concrete Outcomes in Mobile Apps.....	126
Annexure	127
<i>Methods used to reason issues on feature utilization</i>	<i>127</i>
<i>Structured Questionnaire for Interaction with Practice.....</i>	<i>128</i>
<i>Testing End-User Receptiveness</i>	<i>131</i>
REFERENCES	133
Research Outcome.....	151

REFERENCES

- Acquisti, A., Adjerid, I., idIdris, Balebako, R., Brandimarte, L., Faith, C., Komanduri, S., Giovanni, L., Sadeh, N., Schaub, F., Sleeper, M., Wang, Y., & Wilson, S. (2017). Nudges for Privacy and Security. *ACM Computing Surveys (CSUR)*, 50(3). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3054926>
- Acquisti, A., Adjerid, I., & Peer, E. (2018). Beyond the Privacy Paradox: Objective Versus Relative Risk in Privacy Decision Making. *MIS Quarterly*, 42(2), 465–488. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2018/14316>
- Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2015). Privacy and human behaviour in the age of information. *Science*, 347(6221).
- Acquisti, A., Brandimarte, L., & Loewenstein, G. (2020). Secrets and Likes: The Drive for Privacy and the Difficulty of Achieving It in the Digital Age. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 30(4), 736–758. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jcpy.1191>
- Acquisti, A., & Grossklags, J. (n.d.). *Privacy and Rationality in Individual Decision Making*. www.heinz.cmu.edu/~acquisti/survey/page1.htm.
- Aïmeur, E., Lawani, O., & Dalkir, K. (2016). When changing the look of privacy policies affects user trust: An experimental study. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 58, 368–379. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.11.014>
- Alashoor, T., Han, S., & Joseph, R. C. (2017). Familiarity with big data, privacy concerns, and self-disclosure accuracy in social networking websites: An APCO model. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 41, 62–96. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.04104>
- Alashoor, T., Lambert, L. S., & Farivar, S. (2016). A review of measures of disclosure outcomes in the privacy literature. *AMCIS 2016: Surfing the IT Innovation Wave - 22nd Americas Conference on Information Systems, October*.
- Alhazmi, A., & Arachchilage, N. A. G. (2021). I'm all ears! Listening to software developers on putting GDPR principles into software development practice. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 25(5), 879–892. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-021-01544-1>
- Almuhimedi, H., Schaub, F., Sadeh, N., Adjerid, I., Acquisti, A., Gluck, J., Cranor, L. F., & Agarwal, Y. (2015). Your Location has been Shared 5,398 Times! 1012763, 787–796. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702210>
- Al-Natour, S., Cavusoglu, H., & Benbasat, I. (2020). Usman Aleem (2020) An Empirical Investigation of the Antecedents and Consequences of Privacy Uncertainty in the Context of Mobile Apps. *Information Systems Research*, 31(4), 1037–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2020.0931>
- Al-Natour, S., Cavusoglu, H., Benbasat, I., & Aleem, U. (2020). An empirical investigation of the antecedents and consequences of privacy uncertainty in the context of mobile apps. *Information Systems Research*, 31(4), 1037–1063. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2020.0931>
- Anderson, C., & Robey, D. (2017). Affordance potency: Explaining the actualization of technology affordances. *Information and Organization*, 27(2), 100–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2017.03.002>
- Ataei, M., Degbelo, A., & Kray, C. (2018). Privacy theory in practice: designing a user interface for managing location privacy on mobile devices. *Journal of Location Based Services*, 12(3–4), 141–178. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17489725.2018.1511839>

- Auer, F., Ros, R., Kaltenbrunner, L., & Felderer, M. (2021). Controlled experimentation in continuous experimentation: Knowledge and challenges. *Information and Software Technology*, 134, 106551. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2021.106551>
- Ayuning Budi, N. F., Adnan, H. R., Firmansyah, F., Hidayanto, A. N., Kurnia, S., & Purwandari, B. (2021). Why do people want to use location-based application for emergency situations? The extension of UTAUT perspectives. *Technology in Society*, 65(February), 101480. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101480>
- Azad, B., & Faraj, S. (2012). *The Materiality of Technology: An Affordance Perspective*.
- Bal, G. (2014). Designing privacy indicators for smartphone app markets: A new perspective on the nature of privacy risks of apps. *20th Americas Conference on Information Systems, AMCIS 2014, June*.
- Balkrishan, D., Nizam, N., Joshi, A., Parab, C., Rajendran, C., & Devkar, S. (2016). Making and breaking the user-usage model: Whatsapp adoption amongst emergent users in India. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, 07-09-December-2016*, 52–63. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3014362.3014367>
- Barth, S., & de Jong, M. D. T. (2017). The privacy paradox – Investigating discrepancies between expressed privacy concerns and actual online behaviour – A systematic literature review. *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(7), 1038–1058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TELE.2017.04.013>
- Barth, S., de Jong, M. D. T., & Junger, M. (2022). Lost in privacy? Online privacy from a cybersecurity expert perspective. *Telematics and Informatics*, 68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101782>
- Barth, S., de Jong, M. D. T., Junger, M., Hartel, P. H., & Roppelt, J. C. (2019). Putting the privacy paradox to the test: Online privacy and security behaviours among users with technical knowledge, privacy awareness, and financial resources. *Telematics and Informatics*, 41(February 2019), 55–69. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2019.03.003>
- Barth, S., Ionita, D., De Jong, M., Hartel, P., & Junger, M. (2021). Privacy Rating: A User-Centered Approach for Visualizing Data Handling Practices of Online Services. *IEEE Transactions on Professional Communication*, 64(4), 354–373. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPC.2021.3110617>
- Barth, S., Ionita, D., & Hartel, P. (2022). Understanding Online Privacy - A Systematic Review of Privacy Visualizations and Privacy by Design Guidelines. *ACM Computing Surveys*, 55(3). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3502288>
- Bednar, K., Spiekermann, S., & Langheinrich, M. (2019). Engineering Privacy by Design: Are engineers ready to live up to the challenge? *Information Society*, 35(3), 122–142. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01972243.2019.1583296>
- Beierle, F., Tran, V. T., Allemand, M., Neff, P., Schlee, W., Probst, T., Pryss, R., & Zimmermann, J. (2018). Context Data Categories and Privacy Model for Mobile Data Collection Apps. *Procedia Computer Science*, 134, 18–25. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2018.07.139>
- Bélanger, F., & Crossler, R. E. (2011a). Privacy in the Digital Age: A Review of Information Privacy Research in Information Systems. *Source: MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 1017–1041.
- Bélanger, F., & Crossler, R. E. (2011b). Privacy in the digital age: A review of information privacy research in information systems. In *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems* (Vol. 35, Issue 4, pp. 1017–1041). <https://doi.org/10.2307/41409971>
- Belanger, F., & Crossler, R. E. (2019). Dealing with digital traces: Understanding protective behaviours on mobile devices. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 28(1), 34–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2018.11.002>

- Bélanger, F., & James, T. L. (2020). A Theory of Multilevel Information Privacy Management for the Digital Era. *Https://Doi.Org/10.1287/Isre.2019.0900*, 31(2), 510–536. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ISRE.2019.0900>
- Belanger, F., & Xu, H. (2015). The role of information systems research in shaping the future of information privacy. *Information Systems Journal*, 25(6), 573–578. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ISJ.12092>
- Bernhard, E., Recker, J., & Burton-Jones, A. (2013). *Understanding the Actualization of Affordances: A Study in the Process Modeling Context Remote Collaborative Process Modelling and Validation Using Virtual Environments View project Process Model Re-Use View project*. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/279182037>
- Betzing, J. H., Tietz, M., vom Brocke, J., & Becker, J. (2020). The impact of transparency on mobile privacy decision making. *Electronic Markets*, 30(3), 607–625. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-019-00332-3>
- Bourdoucen, A., & Lindqvist, J. (2024, May 11). Privacy of Default Apps in Apple's Mobile Ecosystem. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3613904.3642831>
- Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa>
- Bucher, T., & Helmond, A. (2017). *The Affordances of Social Media Platforms*.
- Buck, C., & Burster, S. (2017). App information privacy concerns. *AMCIS 2017 - America's Conference on Information Systems: A Tradition of Innovation, 2017-Augus*.
- Bulgurcu, B., Cavusoglu, H., & Benbasat, I. (2010). SPECIAL ISSUE INFORMATION SECURITY POLICY COMPLIANCE: AN EMPIRICAL STUDY OF RATIONALITY-BASED BELIEFS AND INFORMATION SECURITY AWARENESS 1. *MIS Quarterly*, 34(3), 523–548. <http://www.misq.org>
- Burton-Jones, A., & Grange, C. (2013). From use to effective use: A representation theory perspective. *Information Systems Research*, 24(3), 632–658. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1120.0444>
- Burton-Jones, A., & Volkoff, O. (2017). *How can we develop contextualized theories of effective use? A demonstration in the context of community-care electronic health records*.
- Bygstad, B., Munkvold, B. E., & Volkoff, O. (2016). Identifying generative mechanisms through affordances: A framework for critical realist data analysis. *Journal of Information Technology*, 31(1), 83–96. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2015.13>
- Cabalquinto, E., & Hutchins, B. (2020). “It should allow me to opt in or opt out”: Investigating smartphone use and the contending attitudes of commuters towards geolocation data collection. *Telematics and Informatics*, 51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101403>
- Carpenter, S. (2018). Ten Steps in Scale Development and Reporting: A Guide for Researchers. *Communication Methods and Measures*, 12(1), 25–44. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2017.1396583>
- Cavoukian, A. (2010). Privacy by design: the definitive workshop. A foreword by Ann Cavoukian, Ph.D. *Identity in the Information Society*, 3(2), 247–251. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12394-010-0062-y>
- Chan, E. Y., & Saqib, N. U. (2020). *Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information . January*.

- Chang, S. E., Shen, W. C., & Liu, A. Y. (2016). Why mobile users trust smartphone social networking services? A PLS-SEM approach. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(11), 4890–4895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.048>
- Chen, H., & Li, W. (2017). Mobile device users' privacy security assurance behaviour A technology threat avoidance perspective. *Information and Computer Security*, 25(3), 330–344. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ICS-04-2016-0027>
- Chen, Q., Gong, Y., & Lu, Y. (2023). User Experience of Digital Voice Assistant: Conceptualization and Measurement. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 31(1). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3622782>
- Chen, Y., Zha, M., Zhang, N., Xu, D., Zhao, Q., Feng, X., Yuan, K., Suya, F., Tian, Y., Chen, K., Wang, X., & Zou, W. (2019). Demystifying hidden privacy settings in mobile apps. *Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 2019-May*, 570–586. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2019.00054>
- Chhabra, S. (2021). Why Does Privacy Paradox Exist? *Journal of Electronic Commerce in Organizations*, 20(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jeco.292470>
- Chin, A. G., Harris, M. A., & Brookshire, R. (2018). A bidirectional perspective of trust and risk in determining factors that influence mobile app installation. *International Journal of Information Management*, 39(May 2017), 49–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2017.11.010>
- Choi, S. (2016). The flipside of ubiquitous connectivity enabled by smartphone-based social networking service: Social presence and privacy concern. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 65, 325–333. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.08.039>
- Choi, S. Y. (2018). *MANAGING SELF-DISCLOSURE IN SOCIAL NETWORK SITES (SNSs): A TECHNOLOGY AFFORDANCES PERSPECTIVE ON PRIVACY BOUNDARY MANAGEMENT*.
- Chong, I., & Proctor, R. W. (2020). On the Evolution of a Radical Concept: Affordances According to Gibson and Their Subsequent Use and Development. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 15(1), 117–132. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691619868207>
- Chua, W. Y., Chang, K. T. T., & Wan, M. P. H. (2014). Information privacy concerns among novice and expert users of SoLoMo. *Proceedings - Pacific Asia Conference on Information Systems, PACIS 2014*.
- Colnago, J., Cranor, L. F., Acquisti, A., Colnago Google, J., Lorrie, ↵, Cranor, F., & Stanton, K. H. (2022). *Is it a concern or a preference? An investigation into the ability of privacy scales to capture and distinguish granular privacy constructs* (USENIX Association). Eighteenth Symposium on Usable Privacy and Security. <https://www.usenix.org/conference/soups2022/presentation/colnago>
- Conole, G., & Dyke, M. (2004). What are the affordances of information and communication technologies? *Alt-J*, 12(2), 113–124. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0968776042000216183>
- Correia, J., & Compeau, D. (2017). Information Privacy Awareness (IPA): A Review of the Use, Definition and Measurement of IPA. *Proceedings of the 50th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (2017)*, 4021–4030. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2017.486>
- Cram, W. A., Proudfoot, J. G., & D'Arcy, J. (2018). Organizational information security policies: a review and research framework. <Http://Doi.Org.Iimb.Remotexs.in/10.1057/S41303-017-0059-9>, 26(6), 605–641. <https://doi.org/10.1057/S41303-017-0059-9>
- Crossler, R. E., & Bélanger, F. (2019). Why would I use location-protective settings on my smartphone? Motivating protective behaviours and the existence of the privacy knowledge–belief gap. *Information Systems Research*, 30(3), 995–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2019.0846>

- Damiani, M. L. (2014). Location privacy models in mobile applications: conceptual view and research directions. *GeoInformatica*, 18(4), 819–842. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10707-014-0205-7>
- Davis, J. L., & Chouinard, J. B. (2016). Theorizing Affordances: From Request to Refuse. *Bulletin of Science, Technology & Society*, 36(4), 241–248. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0270467617714944>
- De, R., Rao, R., Pal, A., Herath, T., De', R., & Rao, H. R. (2021). *Why do people use mobile payment technologies and why would they continue? An examination and implications from India Science and Technology in the North-South Divide View project Advancing digital financial service solutions View project Abhipsa Pal Indian Institute of Management Bangalore Why do people use mobile payment technologies and why would they continue? An examination and implications from India.* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2021.104228>
- Degirmenci, K. (2020). Mobile users' information privacy concerns and the role of app permission requests. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50(May 2019), 261–272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.05.010>
- Degirmenci, K., Guhr, N., & Breitner, M. H. (2013). Mobile applications and access to personal information: A discussion of users' privacy concerns. *International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS 2013): Reshaping Society Through Information Systems Design*, 3(December), 2570–2590.
- Desimpelaere, L., Hudders, L., & Van de Sompel, D. (2020). Knowledge as a strategy for privacy protection: How a privacy literacy training affects children's online disclosure behaviour. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106382>
- Devanuj, & Joshi, A. (2013). Technology adoption by “emergent” users - The user-usage model. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 28–38. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2525194.2525209>
- Dhaygude, M., & Chakraborty, D. (2020). *Rethinking Design of Digital Platforms for Emergent Users: Findings from a Study with Rural Indian Farmers.* <https://doi.org/10.1145/3429290>
- Dinev, T. (2014). Why would we care about privacy? *European Journal of Information Systems*, 23, 97–102. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2014.1>
- Dinev, T., & Hart, P. (2006). An extended privacy calculus model for e-commerce transactions. *Information Systems Research*, 17(1), 61–80. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1060.0080>
- Dinev, T., McConnell, A. R., & Jeff Smith, H. (2015). Research Commentary—Informing Privacy Research Through Information Systems, Psychology, and Behavioural Economics: Thinking Outside the “APCO” Box. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2015.0600>, 26(4), 639–655. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ISRE.2015.0600>
- Dinev, T., Xu, H., Smith, J. H., & Hart, P. (2013). Information privacy and correlates: an empirical attempt to bridge and distinguish privacy-related concepts. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 22, 295–316. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2012.23>
- Dogruel, L., Joeckel, S., & Vitak, J. (2017). The valuation of privacy premium features for smartphone apps: The influence of defaults and expert recommendations. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 77, 230–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.08.035>
- Dong, X., Wang, T., & Benbasat, I. (2016). Measuring IT affordance in OSC IT Affordances in Online Social Commerce: Conceptualization Validation and Scale Development Full papers. In *Americas Conference on Information Systems* (Issue 1).

- Drev, M., & Delak, B. (2021). *Conceptual Model of Privacy by Design*.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/08874417.2021.1939197>
- Du, W., Pan, S. L., Leidner, D., & Ying, W. (2019). Affordances, Experimentation and Actualization of Fintech: A Blockchain Implementation Study. In *Journal of Strategic Information Systems* (Vol. 28).
- Dubé, L., & Paré, G. (2003). *RESEARCH ESSAY RIGOR IN INFORMATION SYSTEMS POSITIVIST CASE RESEARCH: CURRENT PRACTICES, TRENDS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS 1* (Vol. 27, Issue 4).
- Eaton, B., Elaluf-Calderwood, S., Sørensen, C., & Yoo, Y. (2015). *Distributed tuning of boundary resources: the case of Apple's iOS service system Article (Published version)* (*Refereed*) *DISTRIBUTED TUNING OF BOUNDARY RESOURCES: THE CASE OF APPLE'S IOS SERVICE SYSTEM 1*. <http://www.misq.org>
- Ebert, N., Ackermann, K. A., & Scheppeler, B. (2021, May 6). Bolder is better: Raising user awareness through salient and concise privacy notices. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445516>
- Eden, Rebekah, Murphy, & Glen. (2020). ADVANCING THE THEORY OF EFFECTIVE USE THROUGH OPERATIONALIZATION. *ECIS 2020 Proceedings*.
https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2020_rp/116
- Evans, S. K., Pearce, K. E., Vitak, J., & Treem, J. W. (2017). Explicating Affordances: A Conceptual Framework for Understanding Affordances in Communication Research. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 22(1), 35–52.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jcc4.12180>
- Fayard, A. L., & Weeks, J. (2014). Affordances for practice. *Information and Organization*, 24(4), 236–249. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2014.10.001>
- Feng, Y., Yao, Y., & Sadeh, N. (2021, May 6). A design space for privacy choices: Towards meaningful privacy control in the internet of things. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445148>
- ferrarello, laura, cavallaro, andrea, fiadeiro, rute, & Mazzon, R. (2022, June 25). *Reframing the narrative of privacy through system-thinking design*.
<https://doi.org/10.21606/drs.2022.620>
- Fife, E., & Orjuela, J. (2012). The privacy calculus: Mobile apps and user perceptions of privacy and security. *International Journal of Engineering Business Management*, 4(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.5772/51645>
- Frik, A., Kim, J., Sanchez, J. R., & Ma, J. (2022, April 29). Users' Expectations About and Use of Smartphone Privacy and Security Settings. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3491102.3517504>
- Furini, M., Mirri, S., Montangero, M., & Prandi, C. (2020). Privacy Perception when Using Smartphone Applications. *Mobile Networks and Applications*, 25, 1055–1061.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11036-020-01529-z>
- Furnell, S. (2015). Managing privacy settings: lots of options, but beyond control? *Computer Fraud & Security*, 4, 8–13.
- Gawer, A., & Cusumano, M. A. (2014). Industry platforms and ecosystem innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 31(3), 417–433.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12105>
- Gerber, N., Gerber, P., & Volkamer, M. (2018). Explaining the privacy paradox: A systematic review of literature investigating privacy attitude and behaviour. *Computers and Security*, 77, 226–261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.COSE.2018.04.002>
- Gerpott, T. J., & Berg, S. (2011). Determinants of the willingness to use mobile location-based services: An empirical analysis of residential mobile phone customers. *Business*

- and Information Systems Engineering*, 3(5), 279–287. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-011-0176-2>
- Gharib, M., Giorgini, P., & Mylopoulos, J. (2020). An Ontology for Privacy Requirements via a Systematic Literature Review. *Journal on Data Semantics*, 9(4), 123–149. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13740-020-00116-5>
- Goyal, S., Pillai, A., & Jindal, O. P. (2021). E-governance using mobile applications: A case study of India during the COVID-19 pandemic Sumedha Chauhan. *Australasian Journal of Information Systems Goyal*, 25.
- Greene, D., & Shilton, K. (2018). Platform privacies: Governance, collaboration, and the different meanings of “privacy” in iOS and Android development. *New Media and Society*, 20(4), 1640–1657. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444817702397>
- Grgecic, D., Holten, R., & Rosenkranz, C. (2015). The impact of functional affordances and symbolic expressions on the formation of beliefs. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 16(7), 580–607. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1jais.00402>
- Gu, J., Xu, Y. (Calvin), Xu, H., Zhang, C., & Ling, H. (2017). Privacy concerns for mobile app download: An elaboration likelihood model perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 94, 19–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2016.10.002>
- Haag, S., Eckhardt, A., & Venkatesh, V. (2022). DEVIANT AFFORDANCES: WHEN TENSIONS, DEADLOCKS, AND NONCONFORMANCE GENERATE PERFORMANCE. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 46(4), 2111–2162. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2022/14340>
- Habib, H., Pearman, S., Wang, J., Zou, Y., Acquisti, A., Cranor, L. F., Sadeh, N., & Schaub, F. (2020, April 21). “It’s a scavenger hunt”: Usability of Websites’ Opt-Out and Data Deletion Choices. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3313831.3376511>
- Hair, J., & Alamer, A. (2022). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) in second language and education research: Guidelines using an applied example. *Research Methods in Applied Linguistics*, 1(3), 100027. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmal.2022.100027>
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 19(2), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679190202>
- Handrich, M. (2021). Alexa, you freak me out – Identifying drivers of innovation resistance and adoption of Intelligent Personal Assistants. *ICIS 2021 Proceedings*, 11, 1–17.
- Harborth, D., & Pape, S. (2021). Investigating privacy concerns related to mobile augmented reality Apps – A vignette based online experiment. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 122(Nellis 2017), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106833>
- Havelka, S. (2021). Typologies of Mobile Privacy Behaviour and Attitude: A Case Study Comparing German and American Library and Information Science Students. *Serials Librarian*, 81(1), 42–58. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0361526X.2021.1875961>
- Hein, A., Schreieck, M., Riasanow, T., Setzke, D. S., Wiesche, M., Böhm, M., & Krcmar, H. (2020). Digital platform ecosystems. *Electronic Markets*, 30(1), 87–98. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-019-00377-4>
- Henke, J., Joeckel, S., & Dogruel, L. (2018). Processing privacy information and decision-making for smartphone apps among young German smartphone users. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 37(5), 488–501. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2018.1458902>
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>

- Hew, J. J., Tan, G. W. H., Lin, B., & Ooi, K. B. (2017). Generating travel-related contents through mobile social tourism: Does privacy paradox persist? *Telematics and Informatics*, 34(7), 914–935. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2017.04.001>
- Hoehle, H., Aloysius, J. A., Goodarzi, S., & Venkatesh, V. (2019). A nomological network of customers' privacy perceptions: linking artifact design to shopping efficiency. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 28(1), 91–113. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0960085X.2018.1496882>
- Hong, W., & Thong, J. Y. L. (2013). INTERNET PRIVACY CONCERNS: AN INTEGRATED CONCEPTUALIZATION AND FOUR EMPIRICAL STUDIES Appendix B Example Items from Existing IPC Instruments. In *MIS Quarterly* (Vol. 37, Issue 1, pp. 275–298). [https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.25300/misq/2013/37.1.12](https://doi.org/10.25300/misq/2013/37.1.12)
- Hsieh, S. H., & Lee, C. T. (2020). Traces of mobility: Examining location disclosure on social networks with mobile location tagging. *Telematics and Informatics*, 49(July 2019), 101366. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101366>
- Huijts, N. M. A., Haans, A., Budimir, S., Fontaine, J. R. J., Loukas, G., Bezemskij, A., Oostveen, A., Filippoupolitis, A., Ras, I., IJsselsteijn, W. A., & Roesch, E. B. (2023). User experiences with simulated cyber-physical attacks on smart home IoT. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 27(6), 2243–2266. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-023-01774-5>
- Hutchby, I. (2001). Technologies, Texts and Affordances. *Sociology*, 35(2), 441–456. <https://doi.org/10.1177/s0038038501000219>
- Ioannou Id, A., Tussyadiah, I., Miller, G., Li, S., & Id, M. W. (2021). *Privacy nudges for disclosure of personal information: A systematic literature review and meta-analysis Method*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0256822>
- Jayaratna, L., Eden, R., Fielt, E., & Nili, A. (2020). *Contextualizing the Effective Use of Social Media Network for Collaborative Learning: An Affordance Perspective*. <https://aisnet.org/page/SeniorScholarBasket>
- Jiang, Z., Heng, C. S., & Choi, B. C. F. (2013). Privacy concerns and privacy-protective behaviour in synchronous online social interactions. *Information Systems Research*, 24(3), 579–595. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1120.0441>
- Jordan, P. J., & Troth, A. C. (2020). Common method bias in applied settings: The dilemma of researching in organizations. *Australian Journal of Management*, 45(1), 3–14. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0312896219871976>
- Jozani, M., Ayaburi, E., Ko, M., & Choo, K. K. R. (2020). Privacy concerns and benefits of engagement with social media-enabled apps: A privacy calculus perspective. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2020.106260>
- Kang, H., & Shin, W. (2016). Do Smartphone Power Users Protect Mobile Privacy Better than Nonpower Users? Exploring Power Usage as a Factor in Mobile Privacy Protection and Disclosure. *Cyberpsychology, Behaviour, and Social Networking*, 19(3), 179–185. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2015.0340>
- Kang, H., Shin, W., & Tam, L. (2016). Differential responses of loyal versus habitual consumers towards mobile site personalization on privacy management. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 56, 281–288. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.11.013>
- Kapoor, K., Ziae Bigdeli, A., Dwivedi, Y. K., Schroeder, A., Beltagui, A., & Baines, T. (2021). A socio-technical view of platform ecosystems: Systematic review and research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 94–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.01.060>
- Karahanna, E., Xu, S. X., Xu, Y., & Zhang, N. (2018). The needs-affordances-features perspective for the use of social media. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 42(3), 737–756. <https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2018/11492>

- Karwatzki, S., Dytynko, O., Trenz, M., & Veit, D. (2017). *Beyond the Personalization-Privacy Paradox: Privacy Valuation, Transparency Features, and Service Personalization*.
- Kawaf, F., & Girotto, M. (2024). Mapping the terrain of social and livestream commerce research through the affordance lens: A bibliometric review and research agenda. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 65. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2024.101399>
- Kaye, L. K., Rousaki, A., Joyner, L. C., Barrett, L. A. F., & Orchard, L. J. (2022). The Online Behaviour Taxonomy: A conceptual framework to understand behaviour in computer-mediated communication. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 137. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107443>
- Kehr, F., Kowatsch, T., Wentzel, D., & Fleisch, E. (2015). Blissfully ignorant: The effects of general privacy concerns, general institutional trust, and affect in the privacy calculus. *Information Systems Journal*, 25(6), 607–635. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12062>
- Keith, M. J., Babb, J. S., Furner, C. P., & Abdullat, A. (2010). Privacy assurance and network effects in the adoption of location-based services: An iphone experiment. *ICIS 2010 Proceedings - Thirty First International Conference on Information Systems*.
- Keith, M. J., Babb, J. S., Lowry, P. B., Furner, C. P., & Abdullat, A. (2015). The role of mobile-computing self-efficacy in consumer information disclosure. *Information Systems Journal*, 25(6), 637–667. <https://doi.org/10.1111/isj.12082>
- Keith, M. J., Lowry, P. B., Babb, J., Lowry, P. B., Furner, C. P., & Abdullat, A. (2016). Limited information and quick decisions: Consumer privacy calculus for mobile applications. *AIS Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction*, 8(3), 88–130.
- Keith, M. J., Thompson, S. C., Hale, J. E., & Greer, C. (2012). Examining the rationality of information disclosure through mobile devices. *International Conference on Information Systems, ICIS 2012*, 3, 2294–2310.
- Keith, M. J., Thompson, S. C., Hale, J., Lowry, P. B., & Greer, C. (2013). Information disclosure on mobile devices: Re-examining privacy calculus with actual user behaviour. *International Journal of Human Computer Studies*, 71(12), 1163–1173. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhcs.2013.08.016>
- Ketelaar, P. E., & van Balen, M. (2018). The smartphone as your follower: The role of smartphone literacy in the relation between privacy concerns, attitude and behaviour towards phone-embedded tracking. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 78, 174–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.09.034>
- Kim, H. S. (2016). What drives you to check in on Facebook? Motivations, privacy concerns, and mobile phone involvement for location-based information sharing. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 54, 397–406. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2015.08.016>
- Kisekka, V., Bagchi-Sen, S., & Raghav Rao, H. (2013). Extent of private information disclosure on online social networks: An exploration of Facebook mobile phone users. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 29(6), 2722–2729. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.07.023>
- Kitchenham, B. (2004). *Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews*.
- Kitchenham, B., Pearl Brereton, O., Budgen, D., Turner, M., Bailey, J., & Linkman, S. (2009). Systematic literature reviews in software engineering - A systematic literature review. In *Information and Software Technology* (Vol. 51, Issue 1, pp. 7–15). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infsof.2008.09.009>
- Klumpe, J., Koch, O. F., & Benlian, A. (2020). Correction to: How pull vs. push information delivery and social proof affect information disclosure in location based services (Electronic Markets, (2020), 30, 3, (569-586), 10.1007/s12525-018-0318-1). *Electronic Markets*, 30(3), 587–588. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-018-0324-3>

- Knijnenburg, B. P., & Bulgurcu, B. (2023). Designing Alternative Form-Autocompletion Tools to Enhance Privacy Decision Making and Prevent Unintended Disclosure. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3610366>
- Kock, F., Berbekova, A., & Assaf, A. G. (2021). Understanding and managing the threat of common method bias: Detection, prevention and control. *Tourism Management*, 86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tourman.2021.104330>
- Kock, N. (2015). Common method bias in PLS-SEM: A full collinearity assessment approach. *International Journal of E-Collaboration*, 11(4), 1–10.
- Kokolakis, S. (2015). Privacy attitudes and privacy behaviour: A review of current research on the privacy paradox phenomenon. *Computers & Security*, 64, 122–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cose.2015.07.002>
- Koohikamali, M., French, A. M., & Kim, D. J. (2019). An investigation of a dynamic model of privacy trade-off in use of mobile social network applications: A longitudinal perspective. *Decision Support Systems*, 119(February), 46–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2019.02.007>
- Krasnova, H., Eling, N., Abramova, O., & Buxmann, P. (2014). Dangers of “facebook login” for mobile apps: Is there a price tag for social information? *35th International Conference on Information Systems “Building a Better World Through Information Systems”, ICIS 2014, July 2015*.
- Kummer, M., & Schulte, P. (2019). When private information settles the bill: Money and privacy in Google’s market for smartphone applications. *Management Science*, 65(8), 3470–3494. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.2018.3132>
- Lanamäki, A., Thapa, D., & Stendal, K. (2016). *When Is an Affordance? Outlining Four Stances*. 125–139. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49733-4_8ii
- Larose, R., & Rifon, N. J. (2007). *Promoting i-Safety: Effects of Privacy Warnings and Privacy Seals on Risk Assessment and Online Privacy Behaviour*.
- Lee, H., & Kobsa, A. (2019). Confident privacy decision-making in IoT environments. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 27(1). <https://doi.org/10.1145/3364223>
- Lehrig, T., Krancher, O., & Dibbern, J. (2017). *How Users Perceive and Actualize Affordances: An Exploratory Case Study of Collaboration Platforms*.
- Leonardi, P. (2023). *MISQ Guest Editorial: Affordances and Agency: A Clarification and Integration of Fractured Concepts* (Vol. 47, Issue 4).
- Leonardi, P. M. (2011). *WHEN FLEXIBLE ROUTINES MEET FLEXIBLE TECHNOLOGIES: AFFORDANCE, CONSTRAINT, AND THE IMBRICATION OF HUMAN AND MATERIAL AGENCIES I*.
- Leonardi, P. M., Nardi, B. A., & Kallinikos, J. (2012). Materiality and Organizing Social Interaction in a Technological World. In P. M. , Leonardi, B. A. , Nardi, & J. Kallinikos (Eds.), *OUP UNCORRECTED PROOF-REVISES*. Oxford University Press.
- Li, Y. (2011). Empirical studies on online information privacy concerns: Literature review and an integrative framework. In *Communications of the Association for Information Systems* (Vol. 28, Issue 1, pp. 453–496). Association for Information Systems. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.02828>
- Liu, Z., Wang, X., Li, X., & Liu, J. (2022). Protecting Privacy on Mobile Apps: A Principal-Agent Perspective. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 29(1), 1–32. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3475797>
- Lu, C., & Gao, S. (2023). Research Progress and Trends in Technology Affordances Research: A Knowledge Graph Analysis Based on CiteSpace. *Journal of Computer and Communications*, 11(07), 174–190. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jcc.2023.117011>

- Ma, X., Qin, Y., Chen, Z., & Cho, H. (2021). Perceived ephemerality, privacy calculus, and the privacy settings of an ephemeral social media site. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 124, 106928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106928>
- MacKenzie, S. B., Podsakoff, P. M., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2011). Construct measurement and validation procedures in MIS and behavioural research: Integrating new and existing techniques. In *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems* (Vol. 35, Issue 2, pp. 293–334). University of Minnesota. <https://doi.org/10.2307/23044045>
- Majchrzak, A., Faraj, S., Kane, G. C., & Azad, B. (2013). The Contradictory Influence of Social Media Affordances on Online Communal Knowledge Sharing. In *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication* (Vol. 19).
- Majchrzak, A., & Markus, M. L. (2012). TechnologyAffordancesConstraints.of_.Technology.Ann_.M.2012. *Encylopedia of Management Theory*.
- Malandrino, D., Petta, A., Scarano, V., Serra, L., Spinelli, R., & Krishnamurthy, B. (2013). Privacy Awareness about Information Leakage: Who knows what about me? *Proceedings of the 12th ACM Workshop on Workshop on Privacy in the Electronic Society*, 279–284. <http://adblockplus.org>
- Malhotra, N. K., Kim, S. S., & Agarwal, J. (2004). Internet users' information privacy concerns (IUIPC): The construct, the scale, and a causal model. In *Information Systems Research* (Vol. 15, Issue 4, pp. 336–355). INFORMS Inst.for Operations Res.and the Management Sciences. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1040.0032>
- Mamonov, S., & Benbunan-Fich, R. (2018). The impact of information security threat awareness on privacy-protective behaviours. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 83, 32–44. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.01.028>
- Mamonov, S., & Koufaris, M. (2014). The impact of perceived privacy breach on smartphone user attitudes and intention to terminate the relationship with the mobile carrier. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 34(1), 1157–1174. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.03460>
- Mani, Z., & Chouk, I. (2019). Impact of privacy concerns on resistance to smart services: does the “Big Brother effect” matter? *Journal of Marketing Management*, 35(15–16), 1460–1479. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2019.1667856>
- Markus, M. L., Silver, M. S., Desantis, G., Poole, M. S., & Cummings, J. (2008). A Foundation for the Study of IT Effects: A New Look at DeSanctis and Poole's Concepts of Structural Features and Spirit * A Foundation for the Study of IT Effects: A New Look at DeSanctis and Poole's Concepts of Structural Features and Spirit. In *Journal of the Association for Information Systems* (Vol. 9).
- Martin, K., & Shilton, K. (2016a). Putting mobile application privacy in context: An empirical study of user privacy expectations for mobile devices. *Information Society*, 32(3), 200–216. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01972243.2016.1153012>
- Martin, K., & Shilton, K. (2016b). Why experience matters to privacy: How context-based experience moderates consumer privacy expectations for mobile applications. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 67(8), 1871–1882. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.23500>
- Maseeh, H. I., Nahar, S., Jebarajakirthy, C., Ross, M., Arli, D., Das, M., Rehman, M., & Ashraf, H. A. (2023). Exploring the privacy concerns of smartphone app users: a qualitative approach. *Marketing Intelligence and Planning*, 41(7), 945–969. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-11-2022-0515>
- Merhi, M., Hone, K., Tarhini, A., & Ameen, N. (2020). An empirical examination of the moderating role of age and gender in consumer mobile banking use: a cross-national,

- quantitative study. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 34(4), 1144–1168. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-03-2020-0092>
- Mesgari, M., Mohajeri, K., & Azad, B. (2022). *Affordances and Information Systems Research: Taking Stock Affordances and Information Systems Research: Taking Stock and Moving Forward and Moving Forward*. https://digitalcommons.lmu.edu/isba_fac
- Mettler, T., Sprenger, M., & Winter, R. (2017). Service robots in hospitals: New perspectives on niche evolution and technology affordances. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 26(5), 451–468. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41303-017-0046-1>
- Modern Socio-Technical Perspectives on Privacy*. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-82786-1>
- Morgan, D. L. (2018). Living Within Blurry Boundaries: The Value of Distinguishing Between Qualitative and Quantitative Research. *Journal of Mixed Methods Research*, 12(3), 268–279. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689816686433>
- Mousavi, R., Chen, R., Kim, D. J., & Chen, K. (2020). Effectiveness of privacy assurance mechanisms in users' privacy protection on social networking sites from the perspective of protection motivation theory. *Decision Support Systems*, 135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2020.113323>
- Nagy, P., & Neff, G. (2015). Imagined Affordance: Reconstructing a Keyword for Communication Theory. *Social Media and Society*, 1(2). <https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305115603385>
- Naik, P., Schroeder, A., Kapoor, K. K., Ziae Bigdeli, A., & Baines, T. (2020). Behind the scenes of digital servitization: Actualising IoT-enabled affordances. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 89, 232–244. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.indmarman.2020.03.010>
- Najjar, M. S., & Ngoc Bui, S. (2012). The influence of technology characteristics on privacy calculus: A theoretical framework. *18th Americas Conference on Information Systems 2012, AMCIS 2012*, 1, 218–225.
- Nema, P., Anthonysamy, P., Taft, N., & Peddinti, S. T. (2022). Analyzing User Perspectives on Mobile App Privacy at Scale. *Proceedings - International Conference on Software Engineering*, 2022-May, 112–124. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3510003.3510079>
- Nguyen, D. H., & Mynatt, E. D. (n.d.). *Privacy Mirrors: Understanding and Shaping Socio-technical Ubiquitous Computing Systems*.
- Noninska, I. (2019). DATA SECURITY IN A MOBILE ECOSYSTEM. *International Journal on Information Technologies & Security*, 11(2).
- Nyheim, P., Xu, S., Zhang, L., & Mattila, A. S. (2015). Predictors of avoidance towards personalization of restaurant smartphone advertising: A study from the Millennials' perspective. *Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Technology*, 6(2), 145–159. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTT-07-2014-0026>
- Ooi, K. B., Hew, J. J., & Lin, B. (2018). Unfolding the privacy paradox among mobile social commerce users: a multi-mediation approach. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, 37(6), 575–595. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0144929X.2018.1465997>
- Ostern, N., & Rosemann, M. (2021). *A FRAMEWORK FOR DIGITAL AFFORDANCES* (Issue 1).
- Ozturk, A. B., Nusair, K., Okumus, F., & Singh, D. (2017). Understanding mobile hotel booking loyalty: an integration of privacy calculus theory and trust-risk framework. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 19(4), 753–767. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10796-017-9736-4>
- Park, Y. J., & Mo Jang, S. (2014). Understanding privacy knowledge and skill in mobile communication. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 38, 296–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.05.041>

- Pavlou, P. A. (2011). State of the information privacy literature: Where are we now and where should we go? *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 35(4), 977–988. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41409969>
- Pee, L. G. (2011). Attenuating perceived privacy risk of location-based mobile services. *19th European Conference on Information Systems, ECIS 2011, September.*
- Pennekamp, J., Henze, M., & Wehrle, K. (2017). A survey on the evolution of privacy enforcement on smartphones and the road ahead. *Pervasive and Mobile Computing*, 42, 58–76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmcj.2017.09.005>
- Pentina, I., Zhang, L., Bata, H., & Chen, Y. (2016). Exploring privacy paradox in information-sensitive mobile app adoption: A cross-cultural comparison. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 65, 409–419. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.09.005>
- Ply, J. K., Moore, J. E., Williams, C. K., & Thatcher, J. B. (2012). IS Employee Attitudes and Perceptions at Varying Levels of Software Process Maturity Quarterly IS Employee Attitudes and Perceptions at Varying Levels of Software Process Maturity1. In *Source: MIS Quarterly* (Vol. 36, Issue 2).
- Pozzi, G., Pigni, F., & Vitari, C. (2014). Affordance Theory in the IS Discipline: a Review and Synthesis of the Literature. In *AMCIS*. <https://aisel.aisnet.org/amcis2014/ResearchMethods>
- Prakash, A. V., & Das, S. (2022). Explaining citizens' resistance to use digital contact tracing apps: A mixed-methods study. *International Journal of Information Management*, 63(May 2021), 102468. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102468>
- Psychoula, I., Singh, D., Chen, L., Chen, F., Holzinger, A., & Ning, H. (2018). Users' privacy concerns in IoT based applications. *Proceedings - 2018 IEEE SmartWorld, Ubiquitous Intelligence and Computing, Advanced and Trusted Computing, Scalable Computing and Communications, Cloud and Big Data Computing, Internet of People and Smart City Innovations, SmartWorld/UIC/ATC/ScalCom/CBDCo, September*, 1887–1894. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SmartWorld.2018.00317>
- Qin, L., Kim, Y., & Tan, X. (2018). Understanding the Intention of Using Mobile Social Networking Apps Across Cultures. *International Journal of Human-Computer Interaction*, 34(12), 1183–1193. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2018.1428262>
- Quay-De La Vallee, H., Selby, P., & Krishnamurthi, S. (2016). On a (Per)Mission: Building privacy into the app marketplace. *SPSM 2016 - Proceedings of the 6th Workshop on Security and Privacy in Smartphones and Mobile Devices, Co-Located with CCS 2016*, 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2994459.2994466>
- Ramokapane, K. M., Mazeli, A. C., & Rashid, A. (2019). Skip, Skip, Skip, Accept!!!: A Study on the Usability of Smartphone Manufacturer Provided Default Features and User Privacy. *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies*, 2019(2), 209–227. <https://doi.org/10.2478/popets-2019-0027>
- Reith, R. (n.d.). *HOW PRIVACY AFFECTS THE ACCEPTANCE OF MOBILE PAYMENT SOLUTIONS Research paper.*
- Rice, R. E., Evans, S. K., Pearce, K. E., Sivunen, A., Vitak, J., & Treem, J. W. (2017). Organizational Media Affordances: Operationalization and Associations with Media Use. *Journal of Communication*, 67(1), 106–130. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12273>
- Robinson, S. C. (2018). Factors predicting attitude toward disclosing personal data online. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 28(3), 214–233. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2018.1482601>
- Rodríguez-Priego, N., Porcu, L., & Kitchen, P. J. (2022). Sharing but caring: Location based mobile applications (LBMA) and privacy protection motivation. *Journal of Business Research*, 140, 546–555. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2021.11.022>

- Rook, L., Sabic, A., & Zanker, M. (2020). Engagement in proactive recommendations: The role of recommendation accuracy, information privacy concerns and personality traits. *Journal of Intelligent Information Systems*, 54(1), 79–100.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10844-018-0529-0>
- Rowe, F. (2020). Contact tracing apps and values dilemmas: A privacy paradox in a neo-liberal world. *International Journal of Information Management*, 55(June), 102178.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102178>
- Sadeh, N., Acquisti, A., Breaux, T. D., Cranor, L. F., McDonald, A. M., Reidenberg, J. R., Smith, N. A., Liu, F., Russell, N. C., Schaub, F., & Wilson, S. (2013). *The Usable Privacy Policy Project: Combining Crowdsourcing, Machine Learning and Natural Language Processing to Semi-Automatically Answer Those Privacy Questions Users Care About*.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J. H., Becker, J. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). How to specify, estimate, and validate higher-order constructs in PLS-SEM. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, 27(3), 197–211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ausmj.2019.05.003>
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2017). Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling. In *Handbook of Market Research* (pp. 1–40). Springer International Publishing. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-05542-8_15-1
- Saura, J. R., Ribeiro-Soriano, D., & Palacios-Marqués, D. (2021). From user-generated data to data-driven innovation: A research agenda to understand user privacy in digital markets. *International Journal of Information Management*, 60.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2021.102331>
- Seidel, S., Recker, J., & Vom Brocke, J. (2013). Sensemaking and sustainable practicing: Functional affordances of information systems in green transformations. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 37(4), 1275–1299.
<https://doi.org/10.25300/MISQ/2013/37.4.13>
- Shaw, N., & Sergueeva, K. (2019). The non-monetary benefits of mobile commerce: Extending UTAUT2 with perceived value. *International Journal of Information Management*, 45(November 2018), 44–55.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.10.024>
- Shilton, K., & Greene, D. (2019). Linking Platforms, Practices, and Developer Ethics: Levers for Privacy Discourse in Mobile Application Development. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 155(1), 131–146. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-017-3504-8>
- Sipior, J. C., Ward, B. T., & Volonino, L. (2014). Privacy Concerns Associated with Smartphone Use. *Journal of Internet Commerce*, 13, 177–193.
<https://doi.org/10.1080/15332861.2014.947902>
- Smith, H. J., Dinev, T., & Xu, H. (2011). Information Privacy Research: An Interdisciplinary Review. *Source: MIS Quarterly*, 35(4), 989–1015.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.2307/41409970>
- Smith, H. J., Milberg, S. J., & Burke, S. J. (1996). Information privacy: Measuring individuals' concerns about organizational practices. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 20(2), 167–195. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249477>
- Son, J. Y., & Kim, S. S. (2008). Internet users' information privacy-protective responses: A Taxonomy and a nomological model. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 32(3), 503–529. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148854>
- Sørensen, C., De Reuver, M., & Basole, R. C. (2015). Mobile platforms and ecosystems. *Journal of Information Technology*, 30(3), 195–197. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2015.22>
- Soumelidou, A., & Tsouhou, A. (2021). Towards the creation of a profile of the information privacy aware user through a systematic literature review of information privacy awareness. *Telematics and Informatics*, 61. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.TELE.2021.101592>

- Stach, C., & Mitschang, B. (2013). Privacy management for mobile platforms - A review of concepts and approaches. *Proceedings - IEEE International Conference on Mobile Data Management*, 1, 305–313. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MDM.2013.45>
- Stendal, K., Thapa, D., & Lanamaki, A. (2016). Analyzing the concept of affordances in information systems. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2016-March*, 5270–5277. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2016.651>
- Strauss, A. L., & Corbin, J. M. (1998). *Basics of qualitative research : techniques and procedures for developing grounded theory*. Sage Publications.
- Strong, D. M., Johnson, S. A., Tulu, B., Trudel, J., Volkoff, O., Pelletier, L. R., Bar-On, I., & Garber, L. (2014). *A Theory of Organization-EHR Affordance Actualization* (Vol. 15).
- Tahaei, M., Frik, A., & Vaniea, K. (2021, May 6). Privacy champions in software teams: Understanding their motivations, strategies, and challenges. *Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3411764.3445768>
- Tang, J., Akram, U., & Shi, W. (2020). Why people need privacy? The role of privacy fatigue in app users' intention to disclose privacy: based on personality traits. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 34(4), 1097–1120. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-03-2020-0088>
- Tarafdar, P., & Bose, I. (2019). Systems theoretic process analysis of information security: the case of Aadhaar. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 29(3), 209–222. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2019.1598608>
- Terlizzi, M., Brandimarte, L., & Sanchez, O. (2019). Replication of Internet Privacy Concerns in the Mobile Banking Context. *AIS Transactions on Replication Research*, 5, 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1atrr.00040>
- Tiwana, A. (2015). Evolutionary competition in platform ecosystems. *Information Systems Research*, 26(2), 266–281. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2015.0573>
- Tiwanaa, A. (2018). Platform synergy: Architectural origins and competitive consequences. *Information Systems Research*, 29(4), 829–848. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ISRE.2017.0739>
- Torre, I., Sanchez, O. R., Koceva, F., & Adorni, G. (2018). Supporting users to take informed decisions on privacy settings of personal devices. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 22(2), 345–364. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-017-1068-3>
- Tranfield, D., Denyer, D., & Smart, P. (2003). Towards a Methodology for Developing Evidence-Informed Management Knowledge by Means of Systematic Review*. In *British Journal of Management* (Vol. 14).
- Treem, J. W., & Leonardi, P. M. (2013). *7 Social Media Use in Organizations Exploring the Affordances of Visibility, Editability, Persistence, and Association*.
- Trepte, S. (2020). The Social Media Privacy Model: Privacy and Communication in the Light of Social Media Affordances. *Communication Theory, March*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ct/ctz035>
- Trepte, S., Scharkow, M., & Dienlin, T. (2020). The privacy calculus contextualized: The influence of affordances. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 104. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.08.022>
- Tripathi, M., & Mukhopadhyay, A. (2020). Financial Loss due to a Data Privacy Breach: An Empirical Analysis. *Journal of Organizational Computing and Electronic Commerce*, 30(4), 381–400. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10919392.2020.1818521>
- Tsavli, M., Efraimidis, P. S., & Katos, V. (2014). Reengineering the user: Privacy concerns about personal data on smartphones. *Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Human Aspects of Information Security and Assurance, HAISA 2014*, 80–89. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ics-10-2014-0071>
- Tsujimoto, M., Kajikawa, Y., Tomita, J., & Matsumoto, Y. (2017). A Review of the Ecosystem Concept-Towards Coherent Ecosystem Design. *Technological Forecasting*

- and Social Change*, 136, 49–58.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2017.06.032>
- Venkatesh, V., Thong, J. Y. L., & Xu, X. (2016). A IS ssociation for nformation ystems Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology: A Synthesis and the Road Ahead. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 17(5), 328–376.
- Vimalkumar, M., Sharma, S. K., Singh, J. B., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). ‘Okay google, what about my privacy?’: User’s privacy perceptions and acceptance of voice based digital assistants. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 120.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106763>
- Volkoff, O., & Strong, D. (2013). *Affordance Theory and How to Use it in IS Research*.
- Volkoff, O., & Strong, D. (2017). Affordance Theory and How to Use it in IS Research. In *The Routledge companion to management information systems* (pp. 232–245).
- Wagner, A., Wessels, N., Buxmann, P., & Krasnova, H. (2018). Putting a price tag on personal information – A literature review. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2018-Janua, 3760–3769.
<https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2018.474>
- Walker, K. L. (2016). Surrendering information through the looking glass: Transparency, trust, and protection. *Journal of Public Policy and Marketing*, 35(1), 144–158.
<https://doi.org/10.1509/jppm.15.020>
- Wang, H., Liu, Z., Guo, Y., Chen, X., Zhang, M., Xu, G., & Hong, J. (2017). An explorative study of the mobile app ecosystem from app developers’ perspective. *26th International World Wide Web Conference, WWW 2017*, 163–172.
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3038912.3052712>
- Wang, H., Wang, J., & Tang, Q. (2018a). A Review of Application of Affordance Theory in Information Systems. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 11(01), 56–70.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2018.111006>
- Wang, H., Wang, J., & Tang, Q. (2018b). A Review of Application of Affordance Theory in Information Systems. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 11(01), 56–70.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/jssm.2018.111006>
- Wang, L., Hu, H. H., Yan, J., & Mei, M. Q. (2020). Privacy calculus or heuristic cues? The dual process of privacy decision making on Chinese social media. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 33(2), 353–380. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-05-2019-0121>
- Wang, T., Duong, T. D., & Chen, C. C. (2016). Intention to disclose personal information via mobile applications: A privacy calculus perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 36(4), 531–542.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2016.03.003>
- Wang, X., & Lee, K. M. (2020). The paradox of technology innovativeness and risk perceptions – A profile of Asian smartphone users. *Telematics and Informatics*, 51(March), 101415. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2020.101415>
- Warberg, L., Acquisti, A., & Sicker, D. (2019). *Can Privacy Nudges be Tailored to Individuals’ Decision Making and Personality Traits?*
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3338498.3358656>
- Webster, J., & Watson, R. T. (2002). Analyzing the Past to Prepare for the Future: Writing a Literature Review. In *Quarterly* (Vol. 26, Issue 2).
- Wirth, J. (2018). Dependent variables in the privacy-related field: A descriptive literature review. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 2018-Janua, 3658–3667. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2018.463>
- Wong, R. Y., & Mulligan, D. K. (2019, May 2). Bringing design to the privacy table broadening “design” in “Privacy by design” through the lens of HCI. *Conference on*

- Human Factors in Computing Systems - Proceedings.*
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300492>
- Wotrich, V. M., van Reijmersdal, E. A., & Smit, E. G. (2018). The privacy trade-off for mobile app downloads: The roles of app value, intrusiveness, and privacy concerns. *Decision Support Systems*, 106, 44–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dss.2017.12.003>
- Wu, D., Moody, G. D., Zhang, J., & Lowry, P. B. (2020). Effects of the design of mobile security notifications and mobile app usability on users' security perceptions and continued use intention. *Information and Management*, 57(5).
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.103235>
- Wu, P. F., Vitak, J., & Zimmer, M. T. (2020). A contextual approach to information privacy research. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 71(4), 485–490. <https://doi.org/10.1002/asi.24232>
- Xia, H., Glueck, M., Annett, M., Wang, M., & Wigdor, D. (2022). Iteratively Designing Gesture Vocabularies: A Survey and Analysis of Best Practices in the HCI Literature. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, 29(4).
<https://doi.org/10.1145/3503537>
- Xu, H., & Bélanger, F. (2013). Information Systems Journal Special Issue on: Reframing Privacy in a Networked World. *Information Systems Journal*, 23(4), 371–375.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/ISJ.12026>
- Xu, H., & Dinev, T. (2022). Reflections on the 2021 Impact Award: Why Privacy Still Matters. *MIS Quarterly*, 46(4).
- Xu, H., Gupta, S., Rosson, M. B., & Carroll, J. M. (2012). *MEASURING MOBILE USERS' CONCERN FOR INFORMATION PRIVACY*.
<http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.403.7327&rep=rep1&type=pdf>
- Xu, H., Gupta, S., & Shi, P. (2009). *Balancing User Privacy Concerns in the Adoption of Location-Based Services: An Empirical Analysis across Pull-Based and Push-Based Applications*.
- Xu, H., Teo, H. H., & Tan, B. C. Y. (2005). Predicting the adoption of location-based services: The role of trust and perceived privacy risk. *Association for Information Systems - 26th International Conference on Information Systems, ICIS 2005: Forever New Frontiers*, 897–910.
- Xu, H., Teo, H. H., Tan, B. C. Y., & Agarwal, R. (2012). Effects of individual self-protection, industry self-regulation, and government regulation on privacy concerns: A study of location-based services. *Information Systems Research*, 23(4), 1342–1363.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1120.0416>
- Yao, Y., Wong, R., Emami-Naeini, P., Merrill, N., Page, X., Wang, Y., & Wisniewski, P. (2019). Ubiquitous privacy: Research and design for mobile and IoT platforms. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW*, 533–538. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3311957.3359430>
- Yoo, Y., Boland, R. J., Lyytinen, K., & Majchrzak, A. (2012). Organizing for innovation in the digitized world. *Organization Science*, 23(5), 1398–1408.
<https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1120.0771>
- Yun, H., Lee, G., & Kim, D. J. (2019). A chronological review of empirical research on personal information privacy concerns: An analysis of contexts and research constructs. *Information and Management*, 56(4), 570–601. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2018.10.001>
- Zamfiroiu, A. (2012). Integrability and Interoperability of Mobile Applications. *Informatica Economica*, 16(4).

- Zammuto, R. F., Griffith, T. L., Majchrzak, A., Dougherty, D. J., & Faraj, S. (2007). Information technology and the changing fabric of organization. *Organization Science*, 18(5), 749–762. <https://doi.org/10.1287/orsc.1070.0307>
- Zhang, B., & Shyam Sundar, S. (2019). *Proactive vs. Reactive Personalization: Can Customization of Privacy Enhance User Experience?* <https://www.elsevier.com/open-access/userlicense/1.0/>
- Zhang, B., & Xu, H. (2016). Privacy nudges for mobile applications: Effects on the creepiness emotion and privacy attitudes. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer Supported Cooperative Work, CSCW*, 27, 1676–1690. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2818048.2820073>
- Zhang, J., Luximon, Y., & Li, Q. (2022). Seeking medical advice in mobile applications: How social cue design and privacy concerns influence trust and behavioural intention in impersonal patient–physician interactions. *Computers in Human Behaviour*, 130. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.107178>
- Zhang, Y., & Garcia, R. G. (2020). Do users really care about privacy? Mobile applications' popularity, user satisfaction, and permission requests. *ECIS 2020 Research-in-Progress Papers*. https://aisel.aisnet.org/ecis2020_rip/47
- Zhang, Y., Ge, L., Xiao, L., Zhang, M., & Liu, S. (2021). A Bibliometric Review of Information Systems Research From 1975-2018. *Journal of Global Information Management*, 29(6), 1–24. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jgim.287631>
- Zhao, X., Lynch, J. G., & Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and truths about mediation analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(2), 197–206. <https://doi.org/10.1086/651257>

Research Outcome

Submitted Papers (Based on dissertation)

- “*Bring-in Privacy Provisions But Shape-up Attitude Too: Building Privacy Experience in Mobile Platform Ecosystem*”, *ACM Transactions on Human-Computer Interaction (ACM-TOCHI)*, (ABDC- A*) Status: Revise & Resubmit (Co-authored with Prof.Saurabh Kumar)
- “*Empowering User Control: Privacy Affordances for Effective Communication in Mobile Platform Ecosystem*” Peer-reviewed journal (ABDC- A) Status: Under Review (Co-authored with Prof.Saurabh Kumar)

Published Papers

- Venkatachalam, P., & Mishra, R. (2023). Future of workplace design from a socio-technical perspective. *IIMB Management Review*, 35(4), 317-332. (ABDC – B)
- Venkatachalam, P., & Ray, S. (2022). How are context-aware artificial intelligence algorithms used in fitness recommender systems? A literature review and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management Data Insights*, 2(2), 100139. (SJR Ranking – Q1)

Conferences

- 2023 “*Experimentation as Governance Approach: Digital Transition of Urban Bodies in Indian Context*”, *India Strategy Conference*. (Co-authored with Prof.Saurabh Kumar)